

DEDICATED

10

MRS CAREW

BY

THE AUTHOR'S LITERARY EXECUTOR

INTENTIONS

EY

OSCAR WILDE

METHUEN & CO LTD 36 ESSEX STREET WC LONDON

Fourteenth Edition

| First Published | 1891 |
|---|------|
| Second Edition | 1894 |
| I irst Issued by Methuen and Co (I imited | C |
| Editions on Hardmade Paper and | |
| Japanese Vellum) | 1908 |
| Fourth Edition (I'cap 8vo) | 1909 |
| Fifth Edition (F'cap 8vo) | 1911 |
| Sixth Edition (Cheap Form) | 1913 |
| Seventh Edition (Cheap Form) | 1913 |
| Eighth Edition (F cap 8vo) | 1913 |
| Ninth Edition (Cheap Form) | 1915 |
| Tenth Edition (Cheap Form) | 1917 |
| Fleierth Edition (Cheap Form) | 1918 |
| Twelfth Edition (Cheap Form) | 1919 |
| Thirteenth Edition (Fcap 810) | 1919 |
| I ourteenth Edition (I'cap 800) | 19*1 |
| | |

CONTENTS

| | ragi |
|-------------------------|------|
| THE DECAY OF LYING | 1 |
| PEN, PENCIL, AND POISON | 57 |
| THE ORITIO AS ARTIST | 98 |
| THE TRUTH OF MASKS | 22] |

THE DECAY OF LYING AN OBSERVATION

that Nature is so imperfect, as otherwise we should have no art at all. Art is our spirited protest, our gallant attempt to teach Nature her proper place. As for the infinite variety of Nature, that is a pure myth. It is not to be found in Nature herself. It resides in the imagination, or fancy, or cultivated blindness of the man who looks at her

CYRIL. Well, you need not look at the landscape You can he on the grass and smoke and talk.

VIVIAN But Nature is so uncomfortable. Grass is hard and lumpy and damp, and full of dreadful black insects Why, even Morris's poorest workman could make you a more comfortable seat than the whole of Nature can. Nature pales before the furniture of 'the street which from Oxford has borrowed its name,' as the poet you love so much once vilely phrased it. I don't complain If Nature had been comfortable, mankind would never have invented architecture, and I prefer houses to the open air In a house we all feel of the proper pro-Everything is subordinated to us, fashioned for our use and our pleasure. Egotism itself, which is so necessary to a proper sense of human dignity, is entirely the result of indoor life. Out of doors one becomes abstract and impersonal One's individuality absolutely leaves

one. And then Nature is so indifferent, so unappreciative Whenever I am walking in the park here, I always feel that I am no more to her than the cattle that browse on the slope, or the burdock that blooms in the ditch Nothing *is more evident than that Nature hates Mind Thinking is the most unhealthy thing in the world, and people die of it just as they die of any other disease Fortunately, in England at any rate, thought is not catching Our splendid physique as a people is entirely due to our national stupidity. I only hope we shall be able to keep this great historic bulwark of our happiness for many years to come, but I am afraid that we are beginning to be overeducated, at least everybody who is incapable of learning has taken to teaching—that is really what our enthusiasm for education has come to In the meantime, you had better go back to your wearisome uncomfortable Nature, and leave me to correct my proofs

CYRIL. Writing an article! That is not very consistent after what you have just said

VIVIAN Who wants to be consistent? The dullard and the doctrinaire, the tedious people who carry out their principles to the bitter end of action, to the reductio ad absurdum of practice Not I Like Emerson, I write over the door of my library the word 'Whim' Besides, my

article is really a most salutary and valuable warning. If it is attended to, there may be a new Renaissance of Art

CYRIL. What is the subject?

VIVIAN I intend to call it 'The Decay of Lying A Protest.'

CYRIL Lying I should have thought that our politicians kept up that habit

VIVIAN I assure you that they do not. They never rise beyond the level of misrepresentation, and actually condescend to prove, to discuss, to argue How different from the temper of the true har, with his frank, fearless statements, his superb mesponsibility, his healthy, natural disdain of proof of any kind! After all, what is a fine lie? Simply that which is its own evidence If a man is sufficiently unimaginative to produce evidence in support of a lie, he might just as well speak the truth at once No, the politicians won't do Something may, perhaps, be urged on behalf of the Bar The mantle of the Sophist has fallen on its members Their feigned ardours and unreal rhetoric are delightful They can make the worse appear the better cause, as though they were fresh from Leontine schools, and have been known to wrest from reluctant juries triumphant verdicts of acquittal for their clients, even when those clients, as often happens, were clearly and unmistakeably innocent But they are briefed by the prosue, and are not aslamed to appeal to precedent. In spite of their endeavours, the truth will out. Newspapers, even, have degenerated. They may now be absolutely relied upon. One feels it as one wades through their columns. It is always the unreadable that occurs. I am afraid that there is not much to be said in favour of either the lawyer or the journalist. Besides, what I am pleading for is Lying in art. Shall I read you what I have written? It might do you a great deal of good.

Crail. Certainly, if you give me a cigarette Thanks By the way, what magazine do you intend it for?

VIVIAN For the Retrospective Review I think I told you that the elect had revived it.

CYRIL. Whom do you mean by 'the elect'?

VIVIAN Oh, The Tired Hedonists, of course It is a club to which I belong. We are supposed to wear faded roses in our button-holes when we meet, and to have a sort of cult for Domitian I am afraid you are not eligible. You are too fond of simple pleasures

Cyric. I should be black-bulled on the ground of animal spirits, I suppose?

VIVIAN Probably Besides, you are a little too old We don't admit anybody who is of the usual age

CYRIL. Well, I should fancy you are all a good deal bored with each other

VIVIAN We are That is one of the objects of the club Now, if you promise not to interrupt too often, I will read you my article

CYRIL. You will find me all attention

VIVIAN (reading in a very clear, musical voice) 'THE DECAY OF LYING A PROTEST -One of the chief causes that can be assigned for the curiously commonplace character of most of the literature of our age is undoubtedly the decay of Lying as an art, a science, and a social The ancient historians gave us delightful fiction in the form of fact, the modern novelist presents us with dull facts under the guise of fiction The Blue-Book is rapidly becoming his ideal both for method and manner He has his tedious document humain, his miserable little coin de la création, into which he peers with his microscope. He is to be found at the Librairie Nationale, or at the British Museum, shamelessly reading up his subject. He has not even the courage of other people's ideas, but insists on going directly to life for everything, and ultimately, between encyclopædias and personal experience, he comes to the ground, having drawn his types from the family circle or from the weekly washerwoman, and having acquired an amount of useful information from

which never, even in his most meditative moments, can be thoroughly free himself

'The loss that results to literature in general from this false ideal of our time can hardly be overestimated People have a careless way of talking about a "born line," just as they talk about a "born poet." But in both cases they are wrong Lying and poetry are arts-arts, as Plato saw, not unconnected with each otherand they require the most careful study, the most disinterested devotion. Indeed, they have their technique, just as the more material arts of painting and sculpture have, their subtle secrets of form and colour, their craft-mysteries, their deliberate artistic methods. As one knows the poet by his fine music, so one can recognise the har by his rich rhythmic utterance, and in neither case will the casual inspiration of the moment suffice Here, as elsewhere, practice must precede perfection. But in modern days while the fashion of writing poetry has become far too common, and should, if possible, be discouraged, the fashion of lying has almost fallen into disrepute. Many a young man starts in life with a natural gift for exaggeration which, if nurtured in congenial and sympathetic surroundings, or by the imitation of the best models, might grow into something really great and wonderful But, as a rule, he comes to

nothing He either falls into careless habits of accuracy——'

CYRIL My dear fellow!

VIVIAN Please don't interrupt in the middle of a sentence. 'He either falls into careless habits of accuracy, or takes to frequenting the society of the aged and the well-informed Both things are equally fatal to his imagination, as indeed they would be fatal to the imagination of anybody, and in a short time he develops a morbid and unhealthy faculty of truth-telling, begins to verify all statements made in his presence, has no hesitation in contradicting people who are much younger than himself, and often ends by writing novels which are so lifelike that no one can possibly believe in their probability This is no isolated instance that we are giving It is simply one example out of many, and if something cannot be done to check, or at least to modify, our monstrous worship of facts, Art will become sterile, and beauty will pass away from the land

'Even Mr Robert Louis Stevenson, that delightful master of delicate and fanciful prose, is tainted with this modern vice, for we know positively no other name for it. There is such a thing as robbing a story of its reality by trying to make it too true and *The Black Arrow* is so martistic as not to contain a single anachronism

to boast of, while the transformation of Dr Jekyll reads dangerously like an experiment out of the Lorcet As for Mr Rider Haggard, who really has, or had once, the makings of a perfectly magnificent liar, he is now so afraid of being suspected of genius that when he does tell us anything marvellous, he feels bound to invent a personal reminiscence, and to put it into a footnote as a kind of covardly corroboration . Nor are our other novelists much better Mr Henry James writes fiction as if it were a painful duty, and wastes upon mean motives and imperceptible "points of view" his neat literary style, his felicitous phrases, his swift and caustic satire Mr Hall Caine, it is true, aims at the grandiose, but then he writes at the top of his voice He is so loud that one cannot hear what he says Mr James Payn is an adept in the art of concealing what is not worth finding hunts down the obvious with the enthusiasm of a short-sighted detective. As one turns over the pages, the suspense of the author becomes almost unbearable. The horses of Mr William Black's phaeton do not soar towards the sun merely frighten the sky at evening into violent chromolithographic effects On seeing them approach, the peasants take refuge in dialect Mrs Oliphant prattles pleasantly about curates, lawn-tennis parties, domesticity, and other wearisome things Mr Marion Crawford has immolated himself upon the altar of local colour is like the lady in the French comedy who keeps talking about "le beau ciel d'Italie." Besides he has fallen into the bad habit of uttering moral platitudes He is always telling us that to be good is to be good, and that to be bad is to be wicked. At times he is almost edifying Robert Elsmere is of course a masterpieçe-a masterpiece of the "genre Ennuyeux," the one form of literature that the English people seems thoroughly to enjoy A thoughtful young friend of ours once told us that it reminded him of the sort of conversation that goes on at a meat tea in the house of a serious Nonconformist family, and we can quite believe it. Indeed it is only in England that such a book could be produced. England is the home of lost ideas As for that great and daily increasing school of novelists for whom the sun always rises in the East-End, the only thing that can be said about them is that they find life crude, and leave it raw.

'In France, though nothing so deliberately tedious as Robert Elsmere has been produced, things are not much better M Guy de Maupassant, with his keen mordant irony and his hard vivid style, strips life of the few poor rags that still cover her, and shows us foul sore and festering wound He writes lurid little tragedies

in which everybody is ridiculous, bitter comedies at which one cannot hugh for very tears. M Zola, true to the lofty principle that he lays down in one of his pronunciamientos on literature, "L'homme de genie n'a jamais d'esprit," is determined to show that, if he has not got genius, he can at least be dull. And how well he succeeds! He is not without power Indeed at times, as in Gerranal, there is something almost epic in his work. But his work is entirely wrong from beginning to end, and wrong not on the ground of morals, but on the ground of art. From any ethical standpoint it is just what it should be. The author is perfeetly truthful, and describes things exactly as they happen. What more can any moralist desire? We have no sympathy at all with the moral indignation of our time against M Zola It is simply the indignation of Tartuffe on being exposed. But from the standpoint of art, what can be said in favour of the author of L'Assomworr, Nana and Pot-Bouille? Nothing Mr Ruskin once described the characters in George Eliots novels as being like the sweepings of a Pentonville omnibus, but M Zola's characters are much worse They have their dreary vices, and their dreamer vartues The record of their lives is absolutely without interest. Who cares what happens to them? In literature we require

distinction, charm, beauty and imaginative power We don't want to be harrowed and disgusted with an account of the doings of the lower orders M Daudet is better He has wit, a light touch and an amusing style But he has lately committed literary suicide Nobody can possibly care for Delobelle with his "Il faut lutter pour l'art," or for Valmajour with his eternal refrain about the nightingale, or for the poet in Jacl with his "mots cruels," now that we liave learned from Vingt Ans de ma Vie litteraire that these characters were taken directly from life. To us they seem to have suddenly lost all their vitality, all the few qualities they ever possessed The only real people are the people who never existed, and if a novelist is base enough to go to life for his personages he should at least pretend that they are creations, and not boast of them as copies The justification of a character in a novel is not that other persons are what they are, but that the author is what he is. Otherwise the novel is not a work of art As for M Paul Bourget, the master of the roman psychologique, he commits the error of imagining that the men and women of modern life are capable of being infinitely analysed for an innumerable series of chapters In point of fact what is interesting about people in good society-and M Bourget raiely moves

out of the Faulsourg St. Germain, except to coine to London,-is the misk that each one of then were, not the reality that lies behind the miss. It is a humiliating confession, but we are all of us made out of the same stuft. In Palstaff there is something of Hamlet, in Hamlet there is not a little of I alstaff. The fat knight has his moods of melancholy, and the young prince his moments of ecoarse humour. Where we differ from each other is purely in accidentals. in dress, manner, tone of voice, religious opinions, perso ial appearance, tricks of habit and the like The more one analyses people, the more all reasons for analysis disappear. Sooner or later one comes to that dreadful universal thing called human nature Indeed, as any one who has ever worled among the poor knows only too well, the brotherhood of man is no mere poet's dream, it is a most depressing and humiliating reality, and if a writer insists upon analysing the upper classes, he might just as well write of matchgirls and costermongers at once' However, my dear Cyril, I will not detain you any further just I quite admit that modern novels have many good points All I insist on is that, as a class, they are quite unreadable

CYRIL. That is certainly a very grave qualification, but I must say that I think you are rather unfair in some of your strictures I like The

Shakespeare-Touchstone, I think-talks about a man who is always breaking his shins over his own wit, and it seems to me that this might serve as the basis for a criticism of Meredith's But whatever he is, he is not a realist. method Or rather I would say that he is a child of realism who is not on speaking terms with his father By deliberate choice he has made himself a romanticist. He has refused to bow the knee to Baal, and after all, even if the man's fine spirit did not revolt against the noisy assertions of realism, his style would be quite sufficient of itself to keep life at a respectful distance By its means he has planted round his garden a hedge full of thorns, and red with wonderful roses As for Balzac, he was a most remarkable combination of the artistic temperament with the scientific spirit. The latter he bequeathed to his disciples The former was entirely his own The difference between such a book as M Zola's L'Assommor and Balzac's Illusions Perdues is the difference between unimaginative realism and imaginative reality 'All Balzac's characters,' said Baudelaire, 'are gifted with the same ardour of life that animated himself. All his fictions are as deeply coloured as dreams Each mind is a weapon loaded to the muzzle with will The very scullions have genius' A steady course of Balzac reduces our living friends to shadows, and

our acquaintances to the shadows of shades His characters have a kind of fervent fiery-coloured existence They dominate us, and defy scepticism One of the greatest tragedies of my life is the death of Lucien de Rubempré It is a grief from which I have never been dole completely to rid myself It haunts me in my moments of pleasure I remember it when I laugh But Balzac is no more a realist than Holbein was He created life, he did not copy it. I admit, however, that he set far too high a value on modernity of form, and that, consequently, there is no book of his that, as an artistic masterpiece, can rank with Salaminbb or Esmond, or The Classer and the Hearth, or the Vicomte de Bragelonne

Cyrll. Do you object to modernity of form, then? Vivian Yes It is a huge price to pay for a very poor result. Pure modernity of form is always somewhat vulgarising. It cannot help being so The public imagine that, because they are interested in their immediate surroundings, Art should be interested in them also, and should take them as her subject matter. But the mere fact that they are interested in these things makes them unsuitable subjects for Art. The only beautiful things, as somebody once said, are the things that do not concern us. As long as a thing is useful or necessary to us, or affects us in

eny may, either for pain or for pleasure, or appeals strengly to our symmethies, or is a vital part of the environment in which we live, it is outside the proper sphere of art. To art's subject-matter we should be more or less indifferent. We should, at any rate, have no preferences, no prejudices, no percisen feeling of any kind. It is exactly because Hemba is nothing to us that her surrors are such an admirable motive for a tragedy. I do not know anything in the whole history of Interniture sadder than the artistic curver of Caarles Rende. He wrote one beautiful book. The Civider and the Hearth, a book as much above Remain as Remals is above Daniel Deverde, and wasted the rest of his life in a foolish attempt to be modern, to draw public attention to the state of our convict prisons and the management of our private lunatio esploma. Carries Dickens was depressing enough in all conscience when he tried to arrose our sympathy for the victims of the poor-law administration; but Charles Reade, an artist, a scholar, a man with a true sense of cecuty, reging and rounny over the abuses of contemporary life ike a common pomphleteer er a sensational journalst, is really a sight for the angels to weep over. Believe me, my dear Cyml. modermty of form and medernity of subject-matter are entirely and absolutely vicing. We have mistaken the common hvery of the age for the vesture of the

Muses, and spend our days in the sordid streets and hideous suburbs of our vile cities when we should be out on the hillside with Apollo Certainly we are a degraded race, and have sold our birthright for a mess of facts

Cyrll. There is something in what you say, and there is no doubt that whatever amusement we may find in reading a purely model novel, we have rarely any artistic-pleasure in re-reading it. And this is perhaps the best-rough test of what is literature and what is not. If one cannot enjoy reading a book over and over again, there is no use reading it at all. But what do you say about the return to Life and Nature. This is the panacea that is always being recommended to us.

VIVIAN I will read you what I say on that subject. The passage comes later on in the article, but I may as well give it to you now.—

'The popular cry of our time is "Let us return to Life and Nature, they will recreate Art for us, and send the red blood coursing through her veins, they will shoe her feet with swiftness and make her hand strong" But, alas! we are mistaken in our amiable and well-meaning efforts Nature is always behind the age. And as for Life, she is the solvent that breaks up Art, the enemy that lays waste her house.'

Cynic. What do you mean by saying that Nature is always behind the age?

VIVIAN Well, perhaps that is rather cryptic What I mean is this If we take Nature to mean natural simple instinct as opposed to self-conscious culture, the work produced under this influence is always old-fashioned, antiquated, and out of date 'One touch of Nature may make the whole world kin, but two touches of Nature will destroy any work of Art. If, on the other hand, we regard Nature as the collection of phenomena external to man, people only discover in her what they bring to her She has no suggestions of her own Wordsworth went to the lakes, but he was never a lake poet. He found in stones the sermons he had already hidden there. He went moralising about the district, but his good work was produced when he returned, not to Nature but to poetry Poetry gave him 'Laodamin,' and the fine sonnets, and the great Ode, such as it is Nature gave him 'Martha Ray' and 'Peter Bell,' and the address to Mr Wilkinson's spade.

CYRIL. I think that view might be questioned I am rather inclined to believe in 'the impulse from a vernal wood,' though of course the artistic value of such an impulse depends entirely on the kind of temperament that receives it, so that the return to Nature would come to mean simply the

advance to a great personality You would agree with that, I fancy However, proceed with your article

VIVIAN (reading) 'Art begins with abstract decoration, with purely imaginative and pleasurable work dealing with what is unreal and non-existent. This is the first stage. Then Life becomes fascinated with this new wonder, and asks to be admitted into the charmed circle. Art takes life as part of her rough material, recreates it, and refashions it in fresh forms, is absolutely indifferent to fact, invents, imagines, dreams, and keeps between herself and reality the impenetrable barrier of beautiful style, of decorative or ideal treatment. The third stage is when Life gets the upper hand, and drives Art out into the wilderness. That is the true decadence, and it is from this that we are now suffering

'Take the case of the English drama At first in the hands of the monks Dramatic Art was abstract, decorative and mythological. Then she enlisted Life in her service, and using some of life's external forms, she created an entirely new race of beings, whose sorrows were more terrible than any sorrow man has ever felt, whose joys were keener than lover's joys, who had the rage of the Titans and the calm of the gods, who had monstrous and marvellous sins, monstrous and marvellous virtues. To them she gave a language

different from that of actual use, a language full of resonant music and sweet rhythm made stately by solemn cadence or made delicate by fanciful thyme, jewelled with wonderful words and erriched with loty diction. She clothed her children in strange rument and gave them masks and at her bidding the entique world rose from its marble tomb. A new Casar stalked through the streets of men Rome, and with purp'e sail and finite-led ours another Cleopatra passed up the river to Antioch Old myth and legend and dream took shape and substance History was entirely re-written, and there was hardly one of the dramatists who did not recognise that the object of Art is not simple truth but complex beauty. In this they were perfectly fight. Art itself is really a form of exaggeration; and selection, which is the very spirit of art, is nothing more than an intensified mode of overemphasis.

But Life soon shattered the perfection of the form. Even in Shakespeare we can see the beginning of the end. It shows itself by the gradual breaking-up of the blank-verse in the later plays, by the predominance given to prose, and by the over-importance assigned to characterisation. The passages in Shakespeare—and they are many—where the language is uncouth, vulgar, exaggerated, fantastic, obscene even, are

entirely due to Life calling for an echo of her own voice, and rejecting the intervention of beautiful style, through which alone should life be suffered to find expression. Shakespeare is not by any means a flawless artist. He is too fond of going directly to life, and borrowing life's natural utterance. He forgets that when Art surrenders her imaginative medium she surrenders everything. Goethe says, somewhere—

In der Beschränkung zeigt sich erst der Meister,

"It is in working within limits that the master reveals himself," and the limitation, the very condition of any art is style However, we need not linger any longer over Shakespeare's The Tempest is the most perfect of palmodes All that we desired to point out was, that the magnificent work of the Ehzabethan and Jacobean artists contained within itself the seeds of its own dissolution, and that, if it drew some of its strength from using life as rough material, it drew all its weakness from using life as an artistic method As the inevitable result of this substitution of an imitative for a creative medium, this surrender of an imaginative form, we have the modern English melodrama. The characters in these plays talk on the stage exactly as they would talk off it, they have neither aspirations nor aspirates, they are taken directly from life

and reproduce its vulgarity down to the smallest detail, they present the gait, manner, costume and accent of real people, they would pass unnoticed in a third-class railway carriage. And yet how wearisome the plays are! They do not succeed in producing even that impression of reality at which they aim, and which is their only reason for existing. As a method, realism is a complete failure.

What is true about the drama and the novel is no less true about those arts that we call the decorative arts The whole history of these arts in Europe is the record of the struggle between Orientalism, with its frank rejection of imitation, its love of artistic convention, its dislike to the actual representation of any object in Nature, and our own imitative spirit Wherever the former has been paramount, as in Byzantium, Sicily and Spain, by actual contact, or in the rest of Europe by the influence of the Crusades, we have had beautiful and imaginative work in which the visible things of life are transmuted into artistic conventions, and the things that Life has not are invented and fashioned for her delight. But wherever we have returned to Life and Nature, our work has always become vulgar, common and uninteresting Modern tapestry, with its aerial effects, its elaborate perspective its broad expanses of waste sky, its faithful and

laborious realism, has no beauty whatsoever The pictorial glass of Germany is absolutely detestable We are beginning to weave possible carpets in England, but only because we have returned to the method and spirit of the East. Our rugs and carpets of twenty years ago, with their solemn depressing truths, their mane worship of Nature, their sordid reproductions of visible objects, have become, even to the Philistine, a source of laughter A cultured Mahomedan once remarked to us, "You Christians are so occupied in misinterpreting the fourth commandment that you have never thought of making an artistic application of the second." He was perfectly right, and the whole truth of the matter is this. The proper school to learn art in is not Life but Art?

And now let me read you a passage which seems to me to settle the question very completely

'It was not always thus We need not say anything about the poets, for they, with the unfortunate exception of Mr Wordsworth, have been really faithful to their high mission, and are universally recognised as being absolutely unreliable. But in the works of Herodotus, who, in spite of the shallow and ungenerous attempts of modern sciolists to verify his history, may justly be called the "Father of Lies", in the

published speeches of Cicero and the biographics of Suctomus, in Tacitus at his best; in Pliny's Natural History, in Hanno's Periplus, in all the early chronicles, in the Lives of the Saints, in Froissait and Sir Thomas Malory, in the travels of Marco Polo, in Olaus Magnus, and Aldrovandus, and Conrad Lycosthenes, with his magnificent Prodigiorum et Ostentorum Chronicon, in the autobiography of Benvenuto Cellini, in the memoirs of Casanova, in Defoe's History of the Plague, in Boswell's Life of Johnson, in Napoleon's despatches, and in the works of our own Carlyle, whose French Revolution is one of the most fascinating historical novels ever written, facts are either kept in their proper subordinate position, or else entirely excluded on the general ground of dulness Now, everything is changed Facts are not merely finding a footing-place in history, but they are usurping the domain of Fancy, and have invaded the kingdom of Romance Their chilling touch is over everything They are vulgarising mankind The crude commercialism of America, its materialising spirit, its indifference to the poetical side of things, and its lack of imagination and of high unattainable ideals, are entirely due to that country having adopted for its national hero a man who, according to his own confession, was incapable of telling a lie, and it is not too much

to say that the story of George Washington and the cherry-tree has done more harm, and in a shorter space of time, than any other moral tale in the whole of literature'

CYRIL My dear boy!

VIVIAN I assure you it is the case, and the amusing part of the whole thing is that the story of the cherry-tree is an absolute myth However, you must not think that I am too despondent about the artistic future either of America or of our own country. Listen to this —

'That some change will take place before this century has drawn to its close we have no doubt whatsoever Bored by the tedious and improving conversation of those who have neither the wit to exaggerate nor the genius to romance, tired of the intelligent person whose reminiscences are always based upon memory, whose statements are invariably limited by probability, and who is at any time hable to be corroborated by the merest Philistine who happens to be present, Society sooner or later must return to its lost leader, the cultured and fascinating har Who he was who first, without ever having gone out to the rude chase, told the wandering cavemen at sunset how he had dragged the Megatherium from the purple darkness of its jasper cave, or slam the Mammoth in single

combat and brought back its gilded tusks, we cannot tell, and not one of our modern anthropologists, for all their much-boasted science, has had the ordinary courage to tell us. Whatever was his name or race, he certainly was the true founder of social intercourse. For the aim of the har is simply to charm, to delight, to give pleasure. He is the very basis of civilised society, and without him a dinner-party, even at the mansions of the great, is as dull as a lecture at the Royal Society, or a debate at the Incorporated Authors, or one of Mr. Burnand's farcical comedies.

'Nor will he be welcomed by society alone Art, breaking from the prison-house of realism, will run to greet him, and will kiss his false, beautiful hips, knowing that he alone is in possession of the great secret of all her manifestations, the secret that Truth is entirely and absolutely a matter of style, while Life—poor, probable, uninteresting human life—tired of repeating herself for the benefit of Mr Herbert Spencer, scientific historians, and the compilers of statistics in general, will follow meekly after him, and try to reproduce, in her own simple and untutored way, some of the marvels of which he talks

'No doubt there will always be critics who, like a certain writer in the Saturday Review, will gravely censure the teller of fairy tales for his

defective knowledge of natural history, who will measure imaginative work by their own lack of any imaginative faculty, and will hold up their ink-stained hands in horror if some honest gentleman, who has never been farther than the yew-trees of his own garden, pens a fascinating book of travels like Sir John Mandeville, or, like great Raleigh, writes a whole history of the world, without knewing anything whatsoever about the past To excuse themselves they will try and shelter under the shield of him who made Prospero the magician, and gave him Caliban and Ariel as his servants, who heard the Tritons blowing their horns round the coral reefs of the Enchanted Isle, and the fames singing to each other in a wood near Athens, who led the phantom kings in dim procession across the misty Scottish heath, and hid Hecate in a cave with the weird sisters They will call upon Shakespeare-they always do-and will quote that hackneyed passage forgetting that this unfortunate aphorism about Art holding the mirror up to Nature, is deliberately said by Hamlet in order to convince the bystanders of his absolute insanity in all art-matters'

Cinil Ahem! Another eignrette, please.

VIVIAN My dear fellow, whatever you may say, it is merely a dramatic utterance, and no more represents Shakespeare's real views upon art than the speeches of Iago represent his real riews upon morals. But let me get to the end of the passage

'Art finds her own perfection within, and not outside of, herself She is not to be judged by any external standard of resemblance. She is a veil, rather than a mirror She has flowers that no forests know of, birds that no woodland possesses She makes and unmakes many worlds. and can draw the moon from heaven with a scarlet thread Hers are the "forms more real than hving man," and hers the great archetypes of which things that have existence are but unfinished copies Nature has, in her eyes, no laws, no uniformity She can work miracles at her will, and when she calls monsters from the deep they come She can bid the almond-tree blossom in winter, and send the snow upon the ripe cornfield At her word the frost lays its silver finger on the burning mouth of June, and the winged lions creep out from the hollows of the Lydian hills The dryads peer from the thicket as she passes by, and the brown fauns smile strangely at her when she comes near She has hawk-faced gods that worship her, and the centaurs gallop at her side '

CYRIL. I like that I can see it Is that the end?

VIVIAN No There is one more passage, but

it is purely practical. It simply suggests some methods by which we could revive this lost art of Lying

CYRIL Well, before you read it to me, I should like to ask you a question. What do you mean by saying that life, 'poor, probable, uninteresting human life,' will try to reproduce the marvels of art? I can quite understand your objection to art being treated as a mirror. You think it would reduce genius to the position of a cracked looking-glass. But you don't mean to say that you seriously believe that Life imitates Art, that Life in fact is the mirror, and Art the reality?

VIVIAN Certainly I do Paradox though it may seem—and paradoxes are always dangerous things—it is none the less true that Life imitates art far more than Art imitates life. We have all seen in our own day in England how a certain curious and fascinating type of beauty, invented and emphasised by two imaginative painters, has so influenced Life that whenever one goes to a private view or to an artistic salon one sees, here the mystic eyes of Rossetti's dream, the long ivory throat, the strange square-cut jaw, the loosened shadowy hair that he so ardently loved, there the sweet maidenhood of 'The Golden Stair,' the blossom-like mouth and weary loveliness of

the Ham been the primaple free of A shore to, the this land and little beauty of the Mich is in "Mediale Dresse" And it line elusy from rus. Apro to hit invents a type, end like the torr polity to reproduce it in a to a tree form, the salenteness og publisher. Seiter Hatters for Versied found in Inglast what they been just us. They brought their in with the n, and, late with her been lemanc fielly or herelf to supply the in the mith model. The Greeks, with their or chart, tied timet, understood this, and ret In the limite schoolier the statue of Hermes or of Ag illo, that the rate ht hear children as lovely er the work of art that the leaded at in her espiture or her pile. They kiew that I life gates from art not merely spirituality, depth of thought and feeling, multurmoil or roulperce, but that the can form herrelf on the sem liner and colours of art, and can reproduce the dignity of Pheidian ar vell as the grace of Praxiteler. Hence came their objection to realism. They di alled it on purely cocial grounds. They felt that it inevitably makes people uply, and they were perfectly right. We try to improve the conditions of the race by means of good air, free sunlight, wholesome water, and hideous have buildings for the better housing of the lover orders. But these things

merely produce health, they do not produce beauty. For this, Art is required, and the true disciples of the great artist are not his studioimitators, but those who become like his works of art, be they plastic as in Greek days, or pictorial as in modern times, in a word, Life is Art's best, Art's only pupil

As it is with the visible arts, so it is with literature The most obvious and the vulgarest form in which this is shown is in the case of the silly boys who, after reading the adventures of Jack Sheppard or Dick Turpin, pillage the stalls of unfortunate apple-women, break into sweet-shops at night, and alarm old gentlemen who are returning home from the city by leaping out on them in suburban lanes, with black masks and unloaded revolvers This interesting phenomenon, which always occurs after the appearance of a new edition of either of the books I have alluded to, is usually attributed to the influence of literature on the imagination. But this is a mistake The imagination is essentially creative, and always seeks for a new form. The boy-burglar is simply the inevitable result of life's imitative instinct. He is Fact, occupied as Fact usually is, with trying to reproduce Fiction, and what we see in him is repeated on an extended scale throughout the whole of life Schopenhauer has analysed the

pessimism that characterises modern thought, but Hamlet invented it. The world has become sad because a puppet was once melancholy The Nihilist, that strange martyr who has no faith, who goes to the stake without enthusiasm, and dies for what he does not believe in, is a purely literary product. He was invented by Tourgenieff, and completed by Dostoieffski Robespierre came out of the pages of Rousseau as surely as the People's Palace rose out of the debris of a novel Literature always anticipates life It does not copy it, but moulds it to its purpose The nineteenth century, as we know it, is largely an invention of Balzac. Luciens de Rubempré, our Rastignacs, and De Marsays made their first appearance on the stage of the Comédie Humaine We are merely carrying out, with footnotes and unnecessary additions, the whim or fancy or creative vision of a great novelist I once asked a lady, who knew Thackeray intimately, whether he had had any model for Becky Sharp She told me that Becky was an invention, but that the idea of the character had been partly suggested by a governess who hved in the neighbourhood of Kensington Square, and was the companion of a very selfish and nch old woman I inquired what became of the governess, and she replied that, oddly enough, some years after the

appearance of Vanity Fair, she ran away with the nephew of the lady with whom she was living, and for a short time made a great splash in society, quite in Mrs Rawdon Crawley's style, and entirely by Mrs Rawdon Crawley's methods Ultimately she came to grief, disappeared to the Continent, and used to be occasionally seen at Monte Carlo and other gambling places The noble gentleman from whom the same great sentimentalist drew Colonel Newcome died, a few months after The Newcomes had reached a fourth edition, with the word 'Adsum' on his lips Shortly after Mr Stevenson published his curious psychological story of transformation, a friend of mine, called Mr Hyde, was in the north of London, and being anxious to get to a railway station, took what he thought would be a short cut, lost his way, and found himself in a network of mean, evil-looking streets Feeling rather nervous he began to walk extremely fast, when suddenly out of an archway ran a child right between his legs It fell on the pavement, he tripped over it, and trampled upon it. Being of course very much flightened and a little hurt, it began to scream, and in a few seconds the whole street was full of rough people who came pouring out of the houses like ants surrounded him, and asked him his name was just about to give it when he suddenly remembered the opening incident in Mr Stevenson's story He was so filled with horror at having realised in his own person that terrible and well-written scene, and at having done accidentally, though in fact, what the Mr Hyde of fiction had done with deliberate intent, that he ran away as hard as he could go He was, however, very closely followed, and finally he took refuge in a surgery, the door of which happened to be open, where he explained to a young assistant, who happened to be there, exactly what had occurred The humanitarian crowd were induced to go away on his giving them a small sum of money, and as soon as the coast was clear he left. As he passed out, the name on the brass door-plate of the surgery caught his eye. It was 'Jekyll' At least it should have been

Here the imitation, as far as it went, was of course accidental. In the following case the imitation was self-conscious. In the year 1879, just after I had left Oxford, I met at a reception at the house of one of the Foreign Ministers a woman of very curious exotic beauty. We became great friends, and were constantly together. And yet what interested me most in her was not her beauty, but her character, her entire vagueness of character. She seemed to have no personality at all, but simply the possi-

bility of many types Sometimes she would give herself up entirely to art, turn her drawingroom into a studio, and spend two or three days a week at picture galleries or museums Then she would take to attending race-meetings, wear the most horsey clothes, and talk about nothing but betting She abandoned religion for mesmerism, mesmerism for politics, and politics for the melodramatic excitements of philanthropy In fact, she was a kind of Proteus, and as much a failure in all her transformations as was that wondrous sea-god when Odysseus laid hold of One day a serial began in one of the French magazines At that time I used to read serial stories, and I well remember the shock of surprise I felt when I came to the description of the heroine. She was so like my friend that I brought her the magazine, and she recognised herself in it immediately, and seemed fascinated by the resemblance. I should tell you, by the way, that the story was translated from some dead Russian writer, so that the author had not taken his type from my friend Well, to put the matter briefly, some months afterwards I was in Venice, and finding the magazine in the reading-room of the hotel, I took it up casually to see what had become of the heroine It was a most piteous tale, as the girl had ended by running away with a man

absolutely inferior to her, not merely in social station, but in character and intellect also wrote to my friend that evening about my views on John Bellini, and the admirable ices at Florian's, and the artistic value of gondolas, but added a postscript to the effect that her double in the story had behaved in a very silly manner I don't know why I added that, but I remember I had a sort of dread over me that she might do the same thing Before my letter had reached her, she had run away with a man who deserted her in six months. I saw her in 1884 in Paris, where she was living with her mother, and I asked her whether the story had had anything to do with her action. She told me that she had felt an absolutely irresistible impulse to follow the heroine step by step in her strange and fatal progress, and that it was with a feeling of real terror that she had looked forward to the last few chapters of the story When they appeared, it seemed to her that she was compelled to reproduce them in life, and she did so It was a most clear example of this imitative instinct of which I was speaking, and an extremely tragic one

However, I do not wish to dwell any further upon individual instances Personal experience is a most vicious and limited circle. All that I desire to point out is the general principle that

Life imitates Art for more than Art imitates Life, and I feel sure that if you think seriously about it you will find that it is true Life holds the mirror up to Art, and either reproduces some strange type imagined by painter or sculptor, or realises in fact what has been dreamed in fiction Scientifically speaking, the basis of life-the energy of life, as Aristotle would call it-is simply the desire for expression, and Art is always presenting various forms through which this expression can be attained. Life seizes on them and uses them, even if they be to her own hurt Young men have committed suicide because Rolla did so, have died by their own hand because by his own hand Werther died Think of what we owe to the imitation of Christ, of what we owe to the imitation of Cæsar

CYRIL. The theory is certainly a very curious one, but to make it complete you must show that Nature, no less than Life, is an imitation of Art. Are you prepared to prove that?

VIVIAN My dear fellow, I am prepared to prove anything

Cyric Nature follows the landscape painter, then, and takes her effects from him?

VIVIAN Certainly Where, if not from the Impressionists, do we get those wonderful brown fogs that come creeping down our streets, blurring the gas lamps and changing the houses into monstrous shadows? To whom, if not to them and their master, do we owe the lovely silver mists that brood over our river, and turn to faint forms of fading grace curved bridge and swaying barge? The extraordinary change that has taken place in the climate of London during the last ten years is entirely due to a particular school of Art. You smile Consider the matter from a scientific or a metaphysical point of view, and you will find that I am right. For what is Nature? Nature is no great mother who has borne us She is our creation It is in our brain that she quickens to life. Things are because we see them, and what we see, and how we see it, depends on the Arts that have influenced us To look at a thing is very different from seeing a thing. One does not see anything until one sees its beauty. Then, and then only, does it come into existence. At present, people see fogs, not because there are fogs, but because poets and painters have taught them the mysterious loveliness of such effects There may have been fogs for centuries in London I dare say there were But no one saw them, and so we do not know anything about them They did not exist till Art had invented them Now, it must be admitted, fogs are carried to excess. They have become

the mere mannerism of a clique, and the exaggerated realism of their method gives dull people bronchitis Where the cultured catch an effect, the uncultured catch cold And so. let us be humane, and invite Art to turn her wonderful eyes elsewhere. She has done so already, indeed That white quivering sunlight that one sees now in France, with its strange blotches of mauve, and ¿ts restless violet shadows, is her latest fancy, and, on the whole, Nature reproduces it quite admirably Where she used to give us Corots and Daubignys, she gives us now exquisite Monets and entrancing Pissaros. Indeed there are moments, rare, it is true, but still to be observed from time to time, when Nature becomes absolutely modern Of course she is not always to be relied upon The fact is that she is in this unfortunate position. Art creates an incomparable and unique effect, and, having done so, passes on to other things Nature, upon the other hand, forgetting that imitation can be made the sincerest form of insult, keeps on repeating this effect until we all become absolutely wearied of it of any real culture, for instance, ever talks nowadays about the beauty of a sunset Sunsets are quite old-fashioned They belong to the time when Turner was the last note in art. To admire them is a distinct sign of provincialism of

temperament Upon the other hand they go on Yesterday evening Mrs Arundel insisted on my going to the window, and looking at the glorious sky, as she called it Of course I had to look at it. She is one of those absurdly pretty Philistines to whom one can deny nothing And what was it? It was simply a very secondrate Turner, a Turner of a bad period, with all the painter's worst faults exaggerated and overemplfasised Of course, I am quite ready to admit that Life very often commits the same error She produces her false Renés and her sham Vautrins, just as Nature gives us, on one day a doubtful Cuyp, and on another a more than questionable Rousseau Still, Nature irritates one more when she does things of that kind It seems so stupid, so obvious, so unnecessary A false Vautrin might be delightful A doubtful Cuyp is unbearable However, I don't want to be too hard on Nature I wish the Channel. especially at Hastings, did not look quite so often like a Henry Moore, grey pearl with yellow lights, but then, when Art is more varied, Nature will, no doubt, be more varied also That she imitates Art, I don't think even her worst enemy would deny now It is the one thing that keeps her in touch with civilised man. But have I proved my theory to your satisfaction?

CYRIL. You have proved it to my dissatis-

faction, which is better—But even admitting this strange imitative instinct in Life and Nature, surely you would acknowledge that Art expresses the temper of its age, the spirit of its time, the moral and social conditions that surround it, and under whose influence it is produced.

VIVIAN Certainly not! Art never expresses anything but itself. This is the principle of my new æsthetics, and It is this, more than that vital connection between form and substance, on which Mr Pater dwells, that makes music the type of all the arts Of course, nations and individuals, with that healthy natural vanity which is the secret of existence, are always under the impression that it is of them that the Muses are talking, always trying to find in the calm dignity of imaginative art some mirror of their own turbid passions, always forgetting that the singer of life is not Apollo but Marsyas Remote from reality, and with her eyes turned away from the shadows of the cave, Art reveals her own perfection, and the wondering crowd that watches the opening of the marvellous, many-petalled rose fancies that it is its own history that is being told to it, its own spirit that is finding expression in a new form it is not so. The highest art rejects the burden of the human spirit, and grans more from a new medium or a fresh material than she does from

on conthusiasm for art, or from any lofty passion, or from any great awakening of the human consciousness. She develops purely on her own lines. She is not symbolic of any age. It is the ages that are her symbols

Even those who hold that Art is representative of time and place and people cannot help admitting that the more imitative an art is, the less it represents to us the pirit of its age. The evil faces of the Reman emperors look out at us from the foul porphyry and spotted jusper in which the realistic artists of the day delighted to work, and we fancy that in those cruel lips and heavy sensual jaws we can find the secret of the rum of the Empire But it was not so The vices of Tiberius could not destroy that supreme civilisation, any more than the virtues of the Antonines could save it. It fell for other, for less interesting reasons. The sibyls and prophets of the Sistine may indeed serve to interpret for some that new birth of the emancipated spirit that we call the Renaissance, but what do the drunken boors and bawling peasants of Dutch art tell us about the great soul of Holland? The more abstract, the more ideal an art is, the more it reveals to us the temper of its age. If we wish to understand a nation by means of its art, let us look at its architecture or its music

Cyric I quite agree with you there. The spirit of an age may be best expressed in the abstract ideal arts, for the spirit itself is abstract and ideal. Upon the other hand, for the visible aspect of an age, for its look, as the phrase goes, we must of course go to the arts of imitation.

VIVIAN I don't think so After all, what the imitative arts really giv€ us are merely the various styles of particular artists, or of certain schools of artists Surely you don't imagine that the people of the Middle Ages bore any resemblance at all to the figures on mediæval stained glass, or in mediæval stone and wood carving, or on mediæval metal-work, or tapestries, or illuminated MSS They were probably very ordinary-looking people, with nothing grotesque, or remarkable, or fantastic in their appearance. The Middle Ages, as we know them in art, are simply a definite form of style, and there is no reason at all why an artist with this style should not be produced in the nineteenth century No great artist ever sees things as they really are. If he did, he would cease to be an artist example from our own day I know that you are fond of Japanese things Now, do you really imagine that the Japanese people, as they are presented to us in art, have any existence? If you do, you have never understood Japanese art

at all The Japanese people are the deliberate self-conscious creation of certain individual artists If you set a picture by Hokusai, or Hokkei, or any of the great native printers, beside a real Japanese gentleman or lady, you will see that there is not the slightest resemblance between them The actual people who live in Japan are not unlike the general run of English people, that is to say, they are extremely commonplace, and have nothing carious or extraordinary about them In fact the whole of Japan is a pure invention. There is no such country, there are no such people One of our most charming printers went recently to the Land of the Chrysanthemum in the foolish hope of seeing the Japanese All he saw, all he had the chance of painting, were a few lanterns and He was quite unable to discover the inhabitants, as his delightful exhibition at Messrs Dondesnell's Gallery showed only too well He did not know that the Japanese people are, as I have said, simply a mode of style, an exquisite fancy of art. And so, if you desire to see a Japanese effect, you will not behave like a tourist and go to Tokio On the contrary, you will stay at home and steep yourself in the work of certain Japanese artists, and then, when you have absorbed the spirit of their style, and

Holbem's drawings of the men and women of his time impress us with a sense of their absolute reality. But this is simply because Holbem compelled life to accept his conditions, to restrain itself within his limitations, to reproduce his type, and to appear as he wished it to appear. It is style that makes us believe in a thing—nothing but style. Most of our modern portruit printers are doomed to absolute oblivion. They never paint what they see. They paint what the public sees, and the public never sees anything.

CYRIL Well, after that I think I should like to hear the end of your article

VIVIAN With pleasure. Whether it will do any good I really cannot say Ours is certainly the dullest and most prosaic century possible. Why, even Sleep has played us false, and has closed up the gates of avery, and opened the gates of horn. The dreams of the great middle classes of this country, as recorded in Mr. Myers's two bulky volumes on the subject, and in the Transactions of the Psychical Society, are the most depressing things that I have ever read. There is not even a fine nightmare among them. They are commonplace, sordid and tedious. As for the Church, I cannot conceive anything better for the culture of a country than the presence in it of a body of men whose duty it is to believe

in the supernatural, to perform daily miracles, and to keep alive that mythopœic faculty which is so essential for the imagination But in the English Church a man succeeds, not through his capacity for belief, but through his capacity for disbelief Ours is the only Church where the sceptic stands at the altar, and where St Thomas is regarded as the ideal apostle Many a worthy clergyman, who passes his life in admirable works of kindly charity, lives and dies unnoticed and unknown, but it is sufficient for some shallow uneducated passman out of either University to get up in his pulpit and express his doubts about Noah's ark, or Balaam's ass, or Jonah and the whale, for half of London to flock to hear him, and to sit open-mouthed in rapt admiration at his superb intellect growth of common sense in the English Church is a thing very much to be regietted really a degrading concession to a low form of realism It is silly, too It springs from an entire ignorance of psychology Man can believe the impossible, but man can never believe the improbable. However, I must read the end of my article -

'What we have to do, what at any rate it is our duty to do, is to revive this old art of Lying Much of course may be done, in the way of educating the public, by amateurs in the domestic circle, at literary lunches, and at afternoon teas But this is merely the light and graceful side of lying, such as was probably heard at Cretan dinner-parties There are many other forms Lying for the sake of gaining some immediate personal advantage, for instance-lying with a moral purpose, as it is usually called—though of late it has been rather looked down upon, was extremely popular with the antique world Athena laughs when Odysseus tells her "his words of sly devising," as Mr William Morris phrases it, and the glory of mendacity illumines the pale brow of the stainless hero of Euripidean tragedy, and sets among the noble women of the past the young bride of one of Horace's most exquisite odes Later on, what at first had been merely a natural instinct was elevated into a self-Elaborate rules were laid conscious science. down for the guidance of mankind, and an important school of literature grew up round the subject. Indeed, when one remembers the excellent philosophical treatise of Sanchez on the whole question, one cannot help regretting that no one has ever thought of publishing a cheap and condensed edition of the works of that great casuist. A short primer, "When to Lie and How," if brought out in an attractive and not too expensive a form, would no doubt command a large sale, and would prove of real

practical service to many earnest and deepthinking people Lying for the sake of the improvement of the young, which is the basis of home education, still lingers amongst us, and its advantages are so admirably set forth in the early books of Plato's Republic that it is unfecessary to dwell upon them here It is a mode of lying for which all good mothers have peculiar capabilities, but it is capable of still further development, and has been sadly overlooked by the School Board Lying for the sake of a monthly salary is of course well known in Fleet Street, and the profession of a political leader-writer is not without its advantages But it is said to be a somewhat dull occupation, and it certainly does not lead to much beyond a kind of ostentatious obscurity The only form of lying that is absolutely beyond reproach is lying for its own sake, and the highest development of this is, as we have already pointed out, Lying in Art. Just as those who do not love Plato more than Truth cannot pass beyond the threshold of the Academe, so those who do not love Beauty more than Truth never know the inmost shrine of Art. The solid stolid British intellect lies in the desert sands like the Sphinx in Flaubert's maivellous tale, and fantasy, La Chimere, dances round it, and calls to it with her false, flute-toned voice It may not hear her now, but surely some day,

never expresses anything but itself. It has an independent life, just as Thought has, and develops purely on its own lines It is not necessarily realistic in an age of realism, nor spiritual in an age of faith. So far from being the creation of its time, it is usually in direct opposition to it, and the only history that it preserves for us is the history of its own progress Sometimes it returns upon its footsteps, and revives some antique form, as happened in the archaistic movement of late Greek Art, and in the pre-Raphaehte movement of our own day At other times it entirely anticipates its age, and produces in one century work that it takes another century to understand, to appreciate and to enjoy In no case does it reproduce its age To pass from the art of a time to the time itself is the great mistake that all historians commit.

The second doctrine is this All bad art comes from returning to Life and Nature, and elevating them into ideals. Life and Nature may sometimes be used as part of Art's rough material, but before they are of any real service to art they must be translated into artistic conventions. The moment Art surrenders its imaginative medium it surrenders everything. As a method Realism is a complete failure, and the two things that every artist should avoid are modernity of

form and m demits of subject matter. To us, who live in the nineteenth century, any century is a * Pali'e subject for art except our own. The only beautiful thing, are the things that do not concern us. It is, to have the pleasure of quoting restels, exactly because Hecuba is nothing to us that her formula are so suitable a motive for a traineds. Besides, it is only the modern that ever becomes o'd fa hourd! M. Zola sits down to greats a picture of the Second I'mpire. Who cares for the Second I mpire now? It is out of date. Lafe goes faster than Realism, but Romantic in it always in front of Life.

The third doctrine is that I ife imitates Art is results for more than Art imitater I ife. This results not merely from I ife's imitative instance, but from the feet that the self-conscious aim of Life is to find expression, and that Art offers it certain beautiful forms through which it may reals e that energy. It is a theory that has never been put forward before, but it is extremely fruitful, and throws an entirely new light upon the history of Art.

It follows, as a corollary from this, that external Nature also imitates Art. The only effects that rhe can show us are effects that we have already reen through poetry, or in painting. This is the secret of Nature's charm, as well as the explanation of Nature's weakness.

The final revelation is that Lying, the telling of beautiful untrue things, is the proper aim of Art. But of this I think I have spoken at sufficient length. And now let us go out on the terrace, where 'droops the milk-white peacock like a ghost,' while the evening star 'washes the dusk with silver'. At twilight nature becomes a wonderfully suggestive effect, and is not without loveliness, though perhaps its chief use is to illustrate quotations from the poets. Come' We have talked long enough.

PLN, PENCIL AND POISON

" STUDY IN GREEN

writer of prose, an amateur of beautiful things, and a dilettante or things delightful, but also a forger of no mean or ordinary capabilities, and as a subtle and secret possoner almost without rival in this or any age

This remarkable man, so powerful with 'pen, pencil and poison, as a great poet of our own day has finely said of him, was born at Chiswick, in 1794 His father was the son of a distinguished solicitor of Gray & Inn and Hatton Garden His mother was the daughter of the celebrated Dr Griffiths the editor and founder of the Morthly Review the partner in another literary speculation of Thomas Davis, that famous bookseller of whom Johnson said that he was not a bookseller, but 'a gentleman who dealt in books,' the friend of Goldsmith and Wedgwood, and one of the most well-known men of his day. Mrs Wainewright died, in giving him birth, at the early age of twenty-one, and an obituary notice in the Gertleman's Magazine tells us of her 'amiable disposition and numerous accomplishments,' and adds somewhat quaintly that 'she is supposed to have understood the writings of Mr Locke as well as perhaps any person of either sex now living' His father did not long survive his young wife, and the little child seems to have been brought up by his grandfather, and, on the death of the latter in

1808, by his uncle George Edward Griffiths, whom he subsequently poisoned. His boxhood was presed at Linden House, Turnham Green, one of those many fine Georgian mansions that have unfaturately disappeared before the inroads of the suburban builder, and to its lovely gardens and well-timbered park he owed that simple and impassioned love of nature which never left him all through his life, and which made him so peculiarly susceptible to the spiritual influences of Wordsworth's poetry. He went to school at Charles Burney's academy at Hammersmith Mr Burney was the son of the historian of music, and the near Linsman of the artistic lad who was destined to turn out his most remarkable pupil. He seems to have been a man of a good deal of culture, and in after years Mr Whinewright often spoke of him with much affection as a philosopher, an archeologist, and an admirable teacher who, while he valued the intellectual side of education, did not forget the importance of early moral training under Mr Burney that he first developed his talent as an artist, and Mr Hazlitt tells us that a drawing-book which he used at school is still extant, and displays great talent and natural feeling Indeed, painting was the first art that fascinated him. It was not till much later that he sought to find expression by pen or poison

Before this, however, he seems to have been carried away by boyish dreams of the romance and chivalry of a soldier's life, and to have become a young guardsman But the reckless dissipated life of his companions failed to satisfy the refined artistic temperament of one who was made for other things In a short time he wearred of the service 'Art,' he tells us, in words that still move many by their ardent sincerity and strange fervoul, 'Art touched her renegade, by her pure and high influence the noisome mists were purged, my feelings, parched, hot, and tarmshed, were renovated with cool, fresh bloom, simple, beautiful to the simple-hearted' But Art was not the only cause of the change. 'The writings of Wordsworth, he goes on to say, 'did much towards calming the confusing whirl necessarily incident to sudden mutations I wept over them tears of happiness and gratitude' He accordingly left the army, with its rough barrack-life and coarse mess-room tittle-tattle, and returned to Linden House, full of this new-born enthusiasm for culture A severe illness, in which, to use his own words, he was 'broken like a vessel of clay, prostrated him for a time His delicately strung organisation, however indifferent it might have been to inflicting pain on others, was itself most keenly sensitive to pain He shrank from

suffering as a thing that mars and mains human life, and seems to have wandered through that terrible valley of melancholia from which so many great, perhaps greater, spirits have never emerged. But he was young—only twenty five years of age—and he soon passed out of the 'dead black waters,' as he called them, into the larger air of humanistic culture. As he was recovering from the illness that had led him almost to the gates of death, he conceived the idea of taking up literature as an art. 'I said with John Woodvil,' he cries, 'it were a life of gods to dwell in such an element,' to see and hear and write brave things.—

'These high and gusty relishes of life. Have no allayings of mortality.'

It is impossible not to feel that in this passage we have the utterance of a man who had a true passion for letters. 'To see and hear and write brave things,' this was his aim

Scott, the editor of the London Magazine, struck by the young man's genius, or under the influence of the strange fascination that he exercised on every one who knew him, invited him to write a series of articles on artistic subjects, and under a series of fanciful pseudonyms he began to contribute to the literature of

his day Janus Weathercoel, Egomet Bonmot, and Van Vinknooms, were some of the grotesque masks under which he choose to hide his seriousness or to reveal his levity. A mask tells us more than a face. These disguises intensified his personality. In an incredibly short time he seems to have made his mark. Charles Lamb speaks of 'kind, light-hearted Wainewright, whose prose is 'capital' We hear of him entertaining Macready, John Forster, Maginn, Talfourd, Sir Wentworth Dilke, the poet John Clare, and others, at a petit-diner Like Disracli, he determined to startle the town as a dandy, and his beautiful rings, his intique camco breast-pin, and his pile lemon-coloured kid gloves, were well known, and indeed were regarded by Hazhtt is being the signs of a new manner in literature while his rich curly hair, fine eyes, and exquisite white hinds give him the dangerous and delightful distinction of being different from others There was something in him of Balzac's Lucien de Rubempre At times he reminds us of Julien Sorel De Quincey saw him once. It was at a dinner at Charles Lambs 'Amongst the company, all literary men, sat a murderer,' he tells us, and he goes on to describe how on that day he had been ill, and had hated the face of man and woman, and yet found himself looking with intellectual interest series the table at the young vister beneath whose affectations of manner there seemed to him to be so much unaffected sensibility, and speculate on 'what sudden growth of stother interest's ould have changed his mood, had be known of what terrible sin the guest to whom Lamb paid so much attention was even then guilty.

His hie-work falk naturally under the three heads suggested by Mr Swinb irne, and it may be partly admitted that, if we set uside his achievements in the sphere of poison, what he has actually left to us hardly justifies his reputation

But then it is only the Philistine who seeks to estimate a personality by the sulgar test of production. This young daily rought to be somebody, rather than to do something. He recognised that Life itself is an art, and has its modes of style no less than the arts that seel to express it. Nor is his work without interest. We hear of William Blike stopping in the Royal Academy before one of his pictures and pronouncing it to be 'very fine'. His essays are prefiguring of much that his since been realised. He seems to have anticipated some of those accidents of modern culture that are regarded by many as true essentials. He

writes about La Gioconda, and early French poets and the Italian Renaissance He loves Greek gems, and Persian carpets, and Elizabethan translations of Cupid and Psyche, and the Hypnerotomachia, and book-bindings, and early editions, and wide-margined proofs He is keenly sensitive to the value of beautiful surroundings, and never wearies of describing to us the rooms in which he lived, or would have liked to live. He had that curious love of green, which in individuals is always the sign of a subtle artistic temperament, and in nations is said to denote a laxity, if not a decadence of morals Like Baudelaire he was extremely fond of cats, and with Gautier, he was fascinated by that 'sweet marble monster' of both sexes that we can still see at Florence and in the Louvre

There is of course much in his descriptions, and his suggestions for decoration, that shows that he did not entirely free himself from the false taste of his time. But it is clear that he was one of the first to recognise what is, indeed, the very keynote of æsthetic eclecticism, I mean the true harmony of all really beautiful things irrespective of age or place, of school or manner. He saw that in decorating a room, which is to be, not a room for show, but a room to live in, we should never aim at any

archeological reconstruction of the past, nor burden ourselves with any funciful necessity for historical accuracy. In this artistic perception he was perfectly right. All beautiful things belong to the same age

And so, in his own library, as he describes it, we find the delicate fietile vase of the Greek, with its exquisitely printed figures and the faint KAAOS finely traced upon its side, and behind it hangs an engraving of the 'Delphie Sibyl' of Michael Angelo, or of the 'Pastoral' of Giorgione Here is a bit of Florentine majolica, and here a rude lamp from some old Roman tomb On the table hes a book of Hours, 'cased in a cover of solid silver gilt, wrought with quaint devices and studded with small brilliants and rubies,' and close by it 'squats a little ugly monster, a Lar, perhaps, dug up in the sunny fields of corn-bearing Sicily' Some dark antique bronzes contrast 'with the pale gleam of two noble Christi Crucifixi, one carved in ivory, the other moulded in way. He has his trays of Tassie's gems, his tiny Louis-Quatorze bonbonmere with a miniature by Petitot, his highly prized 'brown-biscuit tenpots, filagree-worked,' his citron morocco letter-case, and his 'pomonagreen' chair

One can fancy him lying there in the midst of his books and casts and engravings, a true virtuoso, a subtle connoisseur, turning over his fine collection of Marc Antonios, and his Turner's Liber Studiorum,' of which he was a warm admirer, or examining with a magnifier some of his antique gems and cameos, 'the head of Alexander on an onyx of two strata,' or 'that superb allissimo relievo on cornelian, Jupiter Ægiochus' He was always a great amateur of engravings, and gives some very useful suggestions as to the best means of forming a collection Indeed, while fully appreciating modern art, he never lost sight of the importance of reproductions of the great masterpieces of the past, and all that he says about the value of plaster casts is quite admirable

As an art-critic he concerned himself primarily with the complex impressions produced by a work of art, and certainly the first step in æsthetic criticism is to realise one's own impressions. He cared nothing for abstract discussions on the nature of the Beautiful, and the historical method, which has since yielded such rich fruit, did not belong to his day, but he never lost sight of the great truth that Art's historical is neither to the intellect nor to the emotions, but purely to the artistic temperament, and he more than once points out that this temperament, this 'taste,' as he calls it, have a macanage and sould needed.

by frequent contact with the best work, becomes in the end a form of right judgment Of course there are fashious in art just as there are fishions in dress, and perhaps none of us can ever quite free ourselves from the influence of custom and the influence of novelty. He certainly could not, and he frankly acl nowledges how difficult it is to form any fair estimate of contemporars work. But, on the whole, his taste wis good and sound He admired Turner and Constable at a time when they were not so much thought of as they are now, and saw that for the highest landscape art we require more than imere industry and accurate transcription' Of Crome's 'Heath Scene near Norwich' he remarks that it shows 'how much a subtle observation of the elements, in their wild moods, does for a most uninteresting fint,' and of the popular type of landscape of his day he says that it is esimply an enumeration of hill and dale, stumps of trees, shrubs, water, mendows, cottages and houses, little more than topography, a kind of pictorial map-work, in which rainbows, showers, mists, haloes, large beams shooting through rifted clouds, storms, starlight, all the most valued materials of the real painter, are not' He had a thorough dislike of what is obvious or commonplace in art, and while he was charmed to entertain

Wilkie at dinner, he cared as little for Sir David's pictures as he did for Mr Crabbe's poems. With the imitative and realistic tendencies of his day he had no sympathy, and he tells us frankly that his great admiration for Fuseli was largely due to the fact that the little Swiss did not consider it necessary that an artist should paint only what he sees The qualities that he sought for in a picture were composition, beauty and dignity of line, richness of colour, and imaginative power Upon the other hand, he was not a doctrinane 'I hold that no work of art can be tried otherwise than by laws deduced from itself whether or not it be consistent with itself is the question' This is one of his excellent aphorisms And in criticising painters so different as Landseer and Martin, Stothard and Etty, he shows that, to use a phrase now classical, he is trying 'to see the object as in itself it really is'

However, as I pointed out before, he never feels quite at his ease in his criticisms of contemporary work. The present, he says, is about as agreeable a confusion to me as Ariosto on the first perusal. Modern things dazzle me. I must look at them through Time's telescope. Elia complains that to him the merit of a MS poem is uncertain, "print," as he excel-

lently says, "settles it." Tifty years' toning does the same thing to a picture ' He is happier when he is writing about Watteau and Lancret, about Rubens and Giorgione, about Rembrandt, Corregio, and Michael Angelo, happiest of all when he is writing about Greek things. What is Gothic touched him very little, but classical art and the art of the Renaissance were always dear to him, He saw what our English school could gain from a study of Greek models, and never wearies of pointing out to the young student the artistic possibilities that he dormant in Hellenic marbles and Hellenic methods of work In his judgments on the great Italian Masters, says De Quincey, 'there seemed a tone of sincerity and of native sensibility, as in one who spoke for himself, and was not merely a copier from books' The highest praise that we can give to him is that he tried to revive style as a conscious tradition. But he saw that no amount of art lectures or art congresses, or 'plans for advancing the fine arts,' will ever produce this result. The people, he says very wisely, and in the true spirit of Toynbee Hall, must always have 'the best models constantly before their eves'

As is to be expected from one who was a painter, he is often extremely technical in his art criticisms Of Tintoret's 'St George delivering the Egyptian Princess from the Dragon, he remarks —

The robe of Sabra, warmly glazed with Prussian blue, is relieved from the pile greenish background by a vermilion starf, and the full hues of both are beautifully echoed, as it were, in a lower key by the purple lake coloured stuffs and bluish iron armour of the saint, besides an ample balance to the vivid azure drapery on the foreground in the indigo shades of the wild wood surrounding the castle

And elsewhere he talks learnedly of 'a delicate Schiavone, various as a tulip-bed, with rich broken tints,' of 'a glowing portrait, remarkable for morbidezza, by the scarce Moroni,' and of another picture being 'pulpy in the carnations'

But, as a rule, he deals with his impressions of the work as an artistic whole, and tries to translate those impressions into words, to give, as it were, the literary equivalent for the imaginative and mental effect. He was one of the first to develop what has been called the art-literature of the nineteenth century, that form of literature which has found in Mr Ruskin and Mr Browning, its two most perfect exponents. His description of Lancret's Repas Italien, in which 'a dark-haired girl, "amorous of mischief," hies on the daisy-powdered grass,' is in some respects very charm-

ing Here is his account of 'The Crucifixion,' by Rembrandt. It is extremely characteristic of his style —

Darkness - soo'r, partentous darkness - shrouds the whole scene only above the accur-ed wood, as if through a normal rift in the murky ceiling, a rains deluge - 'sleety flaw, discoloured water' - streams down amoun, spreading a grish spectral light, even more horrible than that palpable night. Already the Earth pants thick and fast! the darkened Cross trembles! the winds are dropt—the air is stagnant a muttering rumble growls underneath their feet, and some of that mi-erable crowd begin to fly down the The horses snuff the coming terror, and become unmanageable through fear. The moment rapidly approaches when, nearly torn asunder by His own weight, fainting with los of blood, which now runs in narrower revulets from His slit veins, His temples and breast drowned in sweat, and His black tongue parched with the fiery death-fever Jesus cries, 'I thirst.' The deadly vinegar is elevated to Him

His head sinks, and the sacred corpse 'swings senseless of the cross' A sheet of vermilion flame shoots sheer through the air and vanishes, the rocks of Carmel and Lebanou cleave asunder, the sea rolls on high from the sands its black weltering waves harth yawns, and the graves give up their dwellers. The dead and the living are mingled together in unnatural conjunction and hurry through the holy city. New produces await them there. The veil of the temple—the unpierceable veil—is rent asunder from top to bottom, and that dreaded recess containing the Hebren mysteries—the fatal ark with

the tables and seven-branched candelabrum—is disclosed by the light of unearthly flames to the Goddeserted multitude

Rembrandt never painted this sketch, and he was quite right. It would have lost nearly all its charms in losing that perplexing veil of indistinctness which affords such ample range wherein the doubting imagination may speculate. At present it is like a thing in another world. A dark gulf is betwixt us It is not tangible by the body. We can only approach it in the spirit.

In this passage, written, the author tells us, 'in awe and reverence,' there is much that is terrible, and very much that is quite horrible, but it is not without a certain crude form of power, or, at any rate, a certain crude violence of words, a quality which this age should highly appreciate, as it is its chief defect. It is pleasanter, however, to pass to this description of Giulio Romano's 'Cephalus and Procris'.—

We should read Moschus's lament for Bion, the sweet shepherd, before looking at this picture, or study the picture as a preparation for the lament. We have nearly the same images in both. For either victim the high groves and forest dells murmur, the flowers exhale sad perfume from their buds, the nightingale mourns on the craggy lands, and the swallow in the long-winding vales, 'the satyrs, too, and fauns dark-veiled groan,' and the fountain nymphs

within the wood melt into tearful waters. The sheep and goats leave their pasture, and oreads, 'who love to scale the most inaccessible tops of all uprightest rocks,' hurry down from the song of their wind-courting pines, while the dryads bend from the branches of the meeting trees, and the rivers moan for white Procris, 'with many sobbing streams,'

Filling the far seen occan with a voice

The golden bees are silent on the thymy Hymettus, and the knelling horn of Aurora's love no more shall scatter away the cold twilight on the top of Hymettus The foreground of our subject is a grassy sunburnt bank, broken into swells and hollows like waves (a sort of land-breakers), rendered more uneven by many foot-tripping roots and stumps of trees stocked untimely by the axe, which are again throwing out light-green shoots This bank rises rather suddenly on the right to a clustering grove, penetrable to no star, at the entrance of which sits the stunned Thessalian king, holding between his knees that ivory-bright body which was, but an instant agone, parting the rough boughs with her smooth forehead, and treading alike on thorns and flowers with jealousy-stung foot-now helpless, heavy, void of all motion, save when the breeze lifts her thick hair in mockery

From between the closely-neighboured boles astonished nymphs press forward with loud cries—

And deerskin vested satyrs, growned with ivy twists, advance,

And put strange pity in their horned countenance

Laelaps lies beneath, and shows by his panting the rapid pace of death On the other side of the group, Virtuous Love with 'vans dejected' holds forth the arrow to an approaching troop of silvan people, fauns, rams, goats, satyrs, and satyr-mothers, pressing their children tighter with their fearful hands, who hurry along from the left in a sunken path between the foreground and a rocky wall on whose lowest ridge a brook-guardian pours from her urn her grief-telling waters Above and more remote than the Ephidryad, another female, rending her locks, appears among the vine-festooned pillars of an unshorn grove The centre of the picture is filled by shady meadows, sinking down to a river-mouth, beyond is 'the vist strength of the ocean stream,' from whose floor the extinguisher of stars, rosy Aurora, drives furiously up her brine-wished steeds to behold the death-pungs of her rival.

Were this description carefully re-written, it would be quite admirable. The conception of making a prose poem out of paint is excellent. Much of the best modern literature springs from the same aim. In a very ugly and sensible age, the arts borrow, not from life, but from each other

His sympathies, too, were wonderfully varied In everything connected with the stage, for instance, he was always extremely interested, and strongly upheld the necessity for archæological accuracy in costume and scene-painting 'In art,' he says in one of his essays, 'whatever is worth doing at all is worth doing well', and he points out that once we allow

the interior of north-plent, it become difficult to far where the line is to be drawn In liter ture, age, a ble Lord Bencomfield on A fundae occasion he was ton the ride of the angels. He was one of the first to admire heats and Scotles-ettly terrinles is son time and posted Scaller," as to call him adication for Mording the an electro and pasond. He theoretily appreciated William Base One of the bet copie of the Song of Innocence and Expenence' that I now in existence was wrought specially for him. He loved Man Charter, rad Loneard, and the I limit ethan descripter, and Chancer and Chap man and Petragh And to him all the art nere one. Our enties, he remail e with much * dom, from landly or are of the identity of the primit recits of jesting and politting, nor that any true a honcement in the remois endy of one art to generates a proportionate perfecton in the other, and he may elecwhere that if a man who does not relate Melacil Angelo talks of his love for Milton, he is deceiving either lunerif or he lateners. To life fellowcontributors in the Lordon Magazine la was always more general , and pas or Barry Cornwall, Allan Consequent, Hazlitt, Piton, and Legh Hart retroct engine of the malice of a friend. Some of 1.7 shercher of Charles

Lamb are admirable in their way, and, with the art of the true comedian, borrow their style from their subject —

What can I say of thee more than all know? that thou hadst the galety of a boy with the knowledge of a man as gentle a heart as ever sent tears to the eves

How wittily would he mistake your meaning, and put in a conceit most seasonably out of season talk without affectation was Compressed, like his beloved Elizabethans, even unto obscurity. Like grains of fine gold, his sentences would beat out into whole sheets He had small mercy on spurious fame, and a caustic observation on the fashion for men of genius was a standing dish Sir Thomas Browne was a 'bosom cronie' of his; so was Burton, and old Fuller In his amorous vein he dallied with that peerless Duchess of many-folio odour, and with the heyday comedies of Beaumont and Fletcher he induced light dreams. He would deliver critical touches on these, like one inspired, but it was good to let him choose his own game, if another began even on the acknowledged pets he was liable to interrupt, or rather append, in a mode difficult to define whether as misapprehensive or mischievous One night at C-'s, the above dramatic partners were the temporary subject of chat. Mr X commended the passion and haughty style of a tragedy (I don't know which of them), but was instantly taken up by Elia, who told him 'That was nothing, the lyrics were the high things-the lyrics!

One side of his literary career deserves

especial notice Modern journalism may be said to owe almost as much to him as to any man of the early part of this century He was the pioneer of Asiatic prose, and delighted in pictorial epithets and pompous exaggerations To have a style so gorgeous that it conceals the subject is one of the highest achievements of an important and much admired school of Fleet Street leader-writers, and this school Janus Weathercock may be said to have invented He also saw that it was quite easy by continued reiteration to make the public interested in his own personality, and in his purely journalistic articles this extraordinary young man tells the world what he had for dinner, where he gets his clothes, what wines he likes, and in what state of health he is, just as if he were writing weekly notes for some popular newspaper of our own time. This being the least valuable side of his work, is the one that has had the most obvious influence. A publicist, nowadays, is a man who bores the community with the details of the illegalities of his private life

Like most artificial people, he had a great love of nature 'I hold three things in high estimation,' he says somewhere 'to sit lazily on an eminence that commands a rich prospect, to be shadowed by thick trees while the sun shines around me, and to enjoy solitude with the consciousness of neighbourhood The country gives them all to me' He writes about his wandering over fragrant furze and heath repeating Collins's 'Ode to Evening,' just to catch the fine quality of the moment, about smothering his face 'in a watery bed of cowslips, wet with May dews', and about the pleasure of seeing the sweet-breathed kine pass slowly homeward through the twilight, and hearing 'the distant clank of the sheepbell' One phrase of his, 'the polyanthus glowed in its cold bed of earth, like a solitary picture of Giorgione on a dark oaken panel, is curiously characteristic of his temperament, and this passage is rather pretty in its way -

The short tender grass was covered with marguerites—'s such that men called daisies in our town'—thick as stars on a summer's night. The harsh caw of the busy rooks came pleasantly mellowed from a high dusky grove of elms at some distance off, and at intervals was heard the voice of a boy scaring away the birds from the newly-sown seeds. The blue depths were the colour of the darkest ultramarine, not a cloud streaked the calm æther, only round the horizon's edge streamed a light, warm film of misty vapour, against which the near village with its incient stone church showed sharply out with blinding whiteness. I thought of Wordsworth's 'Lines written in March'

However, we must not forget that the cultivated young man who penned these lines, and who was so susceptible to Wordsworthian influences, was also, as I said at the beginning of this memoir, one of the most subtle and secret poisoners of this or any age. How he first became fascinated by this strange sin he does not tell us, and the diary in which he carefully noted the results of his terrible experiments and the methods that he adopted, has unfortunately been lost to us. Even in later days, too, he was always reticent on the matter, and preferred to speak about 'The Excursion,' and the 'Poems founded on the Affections' There is no doubt, however, that the poison that he used was strychnine In one of the beautiful rings of which he was so proud, and which served to show off the fine modelling of his delicate ivory hands, he used to carry crystals of the Indian nux romica, a poison, one of his biographers tells us, 'nearly tasteless, difficult of discovery, and capable of almost infinite dilution.' His murders, says De Quincey, were more than were ever made known judicially This is no doubt so, and some of them are worthy of mention His first victim was his uncle, Mr Thomas Griffiths. He poisoned him in 1829 to gain possession of Linden House, a place to which he had always been very much attached

In the August of the next year he poisoned Mrs Abercrombie, his wife's mother, and in the following December he poisoned the lovely Helen Abercrombie, his sister-in-law Why he murdered Mrs Abercrombie is not ascertained It may have been for a caprice, or to quicken some hideous sense of power that was in him, or because she suspected something, or for no reason But the murder of Helen Abercrombie was carried out by himself and his wife for the sake of a sum of about £18,000, for which they had insured her life in various The circumstances were as follows On the 12th of December, he and his wife and child came up to London from Linden House, and took lodgings at No 12 Conduit Street, Regent Street With them were the two sisters. Helen and Madeleine Abercrombie On the evening of the 14th they all went to the play, and at supper that night Helen sickened The next day she was extremely ill, and Dr Locock, of Hanover Square, was called in to attend her She lived till Monday, the 20th, when, after the doctor's morning visit, Mr and Mrs Wainewright brought her some poisoned jelly, and then went out for a walk When they returned Helen Abercrombie was dead She was about twenty years of age, a tall graceful girl with fair hair A very

charming red-chalk drawing of her by her brother-in-law is still in existence, and shows how much his style as an artist was influenced by Sir Thomas Lawrence, a painter for whose work he had always entertained a great admiration. • De Quincey says that Mrs Wainewright was not really privy to the murder Let us hope that she was not. Sin should be solitary, and have no accomplices.

The insurance companies, suspecting the real facts of the case, declined to pay the policy on the technical ground of misrepresentation and want of interest, and, with curious courage, the poisoner entered an action in the Court of Chancery against the Imperial, it being agreed that one decision should govern all the cases The trial, however, did not come on for five years, when, after one disagreement, a verdict was ultimately given in the companies' favour The judge on the occasion was Lord Abinger Lgomet Bonmot was represented by Mr Erle and Sir William Follet, and the Attorney-General and Sir Frederick Pollock appeared for the other side The plaintiff, unfortunately, was unable to be present at either of the trials. The refusal of the companies to give him the £18,000 had placed him in a position of most painful pecuniary embarrassment. Indeed, a few months after the murder of Helen Abererombie, he had

been actually arrested for debt in the streets of London while he was serenading the pretty daughter of one of his friends. This difficulty was got over at the time, but shortly afterwards he thought it better to go abroad till he could come to some practical arrangement with his creditors. He accordingly went to Boulogne on a visit to the father of the young lady in question and while he was there induced him to insure his life with the Felican Company for £3000 As soon as the necessary formalities had been gone through and the policy executed, he dropped some crystals of strychnine into his coffee as they sat together one evening after dinner. He himself did not gain any monetary advantage by doing this. His aim was simply to revenge himself on the first office that had refused to pay him the price of his sin His friend died the next day in his presence, and he left Borlogne at once for a sketching tour through the most picturesque parts of Brittany, and was for some time the guest of an old French gentleman who had a beautiful country house at St. Omer. From this he moved to Paris where he remained for several years, living in luxury some say while others talk of his 'skulking with poison in his pocket, and being dreaded by all who knew him. In 1887 he returned to Erg and p-vately Some strange

mad fascination brought him back. He followed a woman whom he loved

It was the month of June, and he was staving at one of the hotels in Covent Garden His sitting room was on the ground floor, and he prudently kept the blinds down for fear of being Thirteen years before, when he was making his fine collection of majolica and Marc Antonios, he had forged the names of his trustees to a power of attorney, which enabled him to get possession of some of the money which he had inherited from his mother, and had brought into marriage settlement He knew that this forgery had been discovered, and that by returning to England he was imperilling his life Yet he returned Should one wonder? It was said that the woman was very beautiful Besides, she did not love him

It was by a mere accident that he was discovered. A noise in the street attracted his attention, and, in his artistic interest in modern life, he pushed aside the blind for a moment Some one outside called out, 'That's Wainewright, the Bank-forger'. It was Forrester, the Bow Street runner

On the 5th of July he was brought up at the Old Bailey The following report of the proceedings appeared in the Times —

Before Mr Justice Vaughan and Mr Baron Alder-

son, Thomas Griffiths Wainewright, aged forty-two, a man of gentlemanly appearance, wearing mustachios, was indicted for forging and uttering a certain power of attorney for £2259, with intent to defraud the Governor and Company of the Bank of England.

There were five indictments against the prisoner, to all of which he pleaded not guilty; when he was arraigned before Mr Serjeant Arabin in the course of the morning. On being brought before the judges, however, he begged to be allowed to withdraw the former plea, and then pleaded guilty to two of the indictments which were not of a capital nature.

The counsel for the Bank having explained that there were three other indictments, but that the Bank did not desire to shed blood, the plea of guilty on the two minor charges was recorded, and the prisoner at the close of the session sentenced by the Recorder to transportation for life

He was taken back to Newgate, preparatory to his removal to the colonies. In a fanciful passage in one of his early essays he had fancied himself 'lying in Horsemonger Gaol under sentence of death' for having been unable to resist the temptation of stealing some Marc Antonios from the British Museum in order to complete his collection. The sentence now passed on him was to a man of his culture a form of death. He complained bitterly of it to his friends, and pointed out, with a good deal of reason, some people may fancy, that the money was practically his own having come to him from his mother,

and that the forgery, such as it was, had been committed thirteen years before, which, to use his own phrase, was at least a circonstance attenuante. The permanence of personality is a very subtle metaphysical problem, and certainly the English law solves the question in an extremely rough-and-ready manner. There is, however, something dramatic in the fact that this heavy punishment was inflicted on him for what, if we remember his fatal influence on the prose of modern journalism, was certainly not the worst of all his sins.

While he was in gaol, Dickens, Macready, and Hablot Browne came across him by chance They had been going over the prisons of London, searching for artistic effects, and in Newgate they suddenly caught sight of Wainewright He met them with a defiant stare, Forster tells us, but Macready was 'horrified to recognise a man familiarly known to him in former years, and at whose table he had dined'

Others had more curiosity, and his cell was for some time a kind of fashionable lounge Many men of letters went down to visit their old literary comrade But he was no longer the kind light-hearted Janus whom Charles Lamb admired He seems to have grown quite cynical.

To the agent of an insurance company who

was visiting him one afternoon, and thought he would improve the occasion by pointing out that, after all, crime was a bad speculation, he replied 'Sir, you City men enter on your speculations, and take the chances of them Some of your speculations succeed, some ful-Mine happen to have failed, yours happen to have succeeded That is the only difference, sir, between my visitor and mer But, sir, I will tell you one thing in which I have succeeded to the last. I have been determined through life to hold the position of a gentleman I have always done so I do so still It is the custom of this place that each of the inmates of a cell shall take his morning s turn of sweeping it out. I occupy a cell with a bricklyyer and a sweep, but they never offer me the broom!' When a friend reproached him with the murder of Helen Abercrombie he shrugged his shoulders and said, 'Yes, it was a dreadful thing to do, but she had very thick inkles?

From Newgate he was brought to the hulks at Portsmouth, and sent from there in the Susan to Van Diemen's Land along with three hundred other convicts. The voyage seems to have been most distasteful to him, and in a letter written to a friend he spoke bitterly about the ignominy of 'the companion of poets and artists' being compelled to associate with

country bumpkins. The phrase that he applies to his companions need not surprise us Crime in England is rarely the result of sin. It is nearly always the result of starvation. There was probably no one on board in whom he would have found a sympathetic listener, or even a psychologically interesting nature.

His love of art, however, never deserted him At Hobart Town he started a studio, and returned to sketching and portrait-painting, and his conversation and manners seem not to have lost their charm Nor did he give up his habit of poisoning, and there are two cases on record in which he tried to make away with people who had offended him But his hand seems to have lost its cunning Both of his attempts were complete failures, and in 1844, being thoroughly dissatisfied with Tasmanian society, he presented a memorial to the governor of the settlement, Sir John Eardley Wilmot, praying for a ticket-of-leave In it he speaks of himself as being 'tormented by ideas struggling for outward form and realisation, barred up from increase of knowledge, and deprived of the exercise of profitable or even of decorous speech His request, however, was refused, and the associate of Coleridge consoled himself by making those marvellous Paradis Artificiels whose secret is only known to the eaters of opium In 1852

feel a strong prejudice against a man who might have poisoned Lord Tennyson, or Mr Gladstone, or the Master of Billiol But had the man worn a costume and spoken a language different from our own, had he lived in imperial Rome, or at the time of the Italian Renaissance, or in Spain in the seventeenth century, or in any land or any century but this century and this land, we would be quite able to arrive at a perfectly unprejudiced estimate of his position and value I know that there are many historians, or at least writers on historical subjects, who still think it necessary to apply moral judgments to history, and who distribute their praise or blame with the solemn complacency of a successful schoolmaster This, however, is a foolish habit, and merely shows that the moral instinct can be brought to such a pitch of perfection that it will make its appearance wherever it is not required Nobody with the true historical sense ever dreams of blaming Nero, or scolding Tiberius, or censuring Cæsar Boigia These personages have become like the puppets of a play They may fill us with terror, or horior, or wonder, but they do not harm us They are not in immediate relation to us We have nothing to fear from them They have passed into the sphere of art and science, and neither art nor science knows anything of moral approval or disapproval And

so it may be some day with Charles Lambs friend . At present I feel that he is just a little too modern to be treated in that fine spirit of disinterested curiosity to which we owe so many charming studies of the great criminals of the Italian Renaissance from the pens of Mr John Addington Symonds, Miss A Mary T Robinson, Miss Vernon Lee, and other distinguished writers However Art has not forgotten him He is the hero of Dickens's Hunted Down, the Varney of Bulwer's Lucretia, and it is girtifying to note that fiction has paid some homage to one who was so powerful with 'pen, pencil and poison' To be suggestive for fiction is to be of more importance than a fact.



THE CRITIC AS ARTIST

WITH SOME PUMARKS UPON THE IMPORTANCE OF DOING NOTHING

A DIALOGUE Part I Persons Gilbert and Ernest Scene the library of a house in Piccadilly, overlooking the Green Park

THE CRITIC AS ARTIST

GILBERT (at the Piano) My dear Ernest, what are you laughing at?

Ennest (looling up) At a capital story that I have just come across in this volume of Reminiscences that I have found on your table

GILBERT What is the book? Ah! I see I have not read it yet. Is it good?

Envert Well, while you have been playing I have been turning over the pages with some amusement, though, as a rule, I dislike modern memoirs. They are generally written by people who have either entirely lost their memories, or have never done anything worth remembering, which, however, is, no doubt, the true explanation of their popularity, as the English public always feels perfectly at its ease when a mediocrity is talking to it.

GILDERT Yes the public is wonderfully tolerant. It forgives everything except genius But I must confess that I like all memoirs. I like them for their form, just as much as for their matter. In literature mere egotism is delightful. It is what fascinates us in the

letters of personalities so different as Cicero and Balzac, Flaubert and Berlioz, Byron and Madame de Sévigné. Whenever we come across it, and, strangely enough, it is rather rare, we cannot but welcome it, and do not easily forget it. Humanity will always love Rousseau for having confessed his sins, not to a priest, but to the world, and the couchant nymphs that Cellini wrought in bronze for the castle of King Francis, the green and gold Perseus, even, that in the open Loggia at Florence shows the moon the dead terror that once turned life to stone, have not given it more pleasure than has that autobiography in which the supreme scoundrel of the Renaissance relates the story of his splendour and his shame The opinions, the character, the achievements of the man, matter very little He may be a sceptic like the gentle Sieur de Montaigne, or a saint like the bitter son of Monica, but when he tells us his own secrets he can always charm our ears to listening and our lips to silence The mode of thought that Cardinal Newman represented-if that can be called a mode of thought which seeks to solve intellectual problems by a denial of the supremacy of the intellect-may not, cannot, I think, survive But the world will never weary of watching that troubled soul in its progress from darkness to darkness. The

lonely church at Littlemore, where 'the breath of the morning is damp, and worshippers are few,' will always be dear to it, and whenever men see the yellow snapdrigon blossoming on the wall of Trinity they will think of that gracious undergraduate who saw in the flower's sure recurrence a prophecy that he would abide for ever with the Benign Mother of his days-a prophecy that Faith in her wisdom or her folly, suffered not to be fulfilled Yes, autobiography is irresistible Poor, silly, conceited Mr Secretary Pepys has chattered his way into the circle of the Immortals, and, conscious that indiscretion is the better part of valour, bustles about among them in that 'shaggy purple gown with gold buttons and looped lace' which he is so fond of describing to us, perfectly at his ease, and prattling, to his own and our infinite pleasure, of the Indian blue petticoat that he bought for his wife, of the 'good hog's harslet,' and the 'pleasant French fricassee of veal' that he loved to eat, of his game of bowls with Will Joyce, and his 'gadding after beauties,' and his reciting of Hamlet on a Sunday, and his playing of the viol on week days, and other wicked or trivial things Even in actual life egotism is not without its attractions When people talk to us about others they are usually dull When they talk to us about

themselves they are nearly always interesting, and if one could shut them up, when they become wearisome, as easily as one can shut up a book of which one has grown wearied, they would be perfect absolutely

ERNEST There is much virtue in that If, as Touchstone would say. But do you seriously propose that every man should become his own Boswell? What would become of our industrious compilers of Lives and Recollections in that case?

GILBERT What has become of them? They are the pest of the age, nothing more and nothing less Every great man nowadays has his disciples, and it is always Judas who writes the biography

ERNEST My dear fellow!

GILBERT I am afraid it is true Formerly we used to canonise our heroes. The modern method is to vulgarise them. Cheap editions of great books may be delightful, but cheap editions of great men are absolutely detestable.

Ennest May I ask, Gilbert, to whom you allude?

GILBERT Oh! to all our second-rate littlérateurs We are overrun by a set of people who, when poet or painter passes away, arrive at the house along with the undertaker, and forget that their one duty is to behave as mutes 'But we won't talk about them They are the mere body-snatchers of literature. The dust is given to one, and the ashes to another, and the soul is out of their reach. And now, let me play Chopin to you, or Dvorák? Shall I play you a fantasy by Dvorák? He writes passionate, curiously-coloured things.

ERNEST No, I don't want music just at present. It is far too indefinite Besides, I took the Baroness Bernstein down to dinner last night, and, though absolutely charming in every other respect, she insisted on discussing music as if it were actually written in the German language. Now, whatever music sounds like, I am glad to say that it does not sound in the smallest degree like German There are forms of patriotism that are really quite degrading. No, Gilbert, don't play any more. Turn round and talk to me. Talk to me till the white-horned day comes into the room. There is something in your voice that is wonderful.

GILBERT (rising from the piano) I am not in a mood for talking to-night. I really am not. How hornd of you to smile! Where are the cigarettes? Thanks How exquisite these single daffodils are! They seem to be made of amber and cool ivory. They are like Greek things of the best period. What was the story

in the confessions of the remorseful Academician that made you laugh? Tell it to me. After playing Chopin, I feel as if I had been weeping over sins that I had never committed, and mourning over tragedies that were not my own Music always seems to me to produce that effect. It creates for one a past of which one has been ignorant, and fills one with a sense of sorrows that have been hidden from one's tears. I can fancy a man who had led a perfeetly commonplace life, hearing by chance some curious piece of music, and suddenly discovering that his soul, without his being conscious of it, had passed through terrible experiences, and known fearful joys, or wild romantic loves or great renunciations. And so tell me this story, Ernest. I want to be amused

ERNEST Oh! I don't know that it is of any importance. But I thought it a really admirable illustration of the true value of ordinary arteriticism. It seems that a lady once gravely asked the remorseful Academician, as you call him, if his celebrated picture of 'A Spring-Day at Whiteley's,' or 'Waiting for the Last Omnibus, or some subject of that kind was all painted by hand?

GILBERT And was it?

ERNEST You are quite incorrigible. But, seriously speaking, what is the use of art-

enticism? Why cannot the artist be left alone, to create a new world if he wishes it, or, if not to shadow forth the world which we already know, and of which, I fancy we would each one of us be wearied if Art with her fine spirit of choice and delicate instinct of selection did not, as it were, purify it for us and give to it a momentary perfection. It seems to me that the imagination spreads or should spread, a solitude around it, and works best in silence and in isolation. Why should the artist be troubled by the shall clamour of criticism? Why should those who cannot create take upon themselves to estimate the value of creative work? What can they know about it? If a man's work is easy to understand an explanation is unnecessarv ...

GILSERT And if his work is incomprehensible, an explanation is wicked.

ERNEST I did not say that.

GILERT Ah! but you should have. Nowadays, we have so few mysteries left to us that we cannot afford to part with one of them. The members of the Browning Society, like the theologians of the Broad Church Party, or the authors of Mr. Walter Scott's Great Writers Series, seem to me to spend their time in trying to explain their divinity away. Where one had hoped that Browning was a mystic they have

sought to show that he was simply marticulate Where one had fancied that he had something to conceal, they have proved that he had but little to reveal But I speak merely of his incoherent work Taken as a whole the man was great He did not belong to the Olympians, and had all the incompleteness of the Titan He did not survey, and it was but rarely that he could sing His work is, marred by struggle, violence and effort, and he passed not from emotion to form, but from thought to chaos Still, he was great He has been called a thinker, and was certainly a man who was always thinking, and always thinking aloud, but it was not thought that fascinated him, but rather the processes by which thought moves It was the machine he loved, not what the machine makes The method by which the fool arrives at his folly was as dear to him as the ultimate wisdom of the wise. So much, indeed, did the subtle mechanism of mind fascinate him that he despised language, or looked upon it as an incomplete instrument of expression Rhyme, that exquisite echo which in the Muse's hollow hill creates and answers its own voice, rhyme, which in the hands of the real artist becomes not merely a material element of metrical beauty, but a spiritual element of thought and passion also, waking a new mood,

it may be, or stirring a fiesh train of ideas, or opening by mere sweetness and suggestion of sound some golden door at which the Imagination itself had knocked in vain, rhyme, which can turn man's utterance to the speech of gods, rhyme, the one chord we have added to the Greek lyre, became in Robert Browning's hands n grotesque, misshapen thing, which at times made him masquerade in poetry as a low comedian, and ride Pegasus too often with his tongue in his cheek. There are moments when he wounds us by monstrous music Nay, if he can only get his music by breaking the strings of his lute, he breaks them, and they snap in discord, and no Athenian tettix, making melody from tiemulous wings, lights on the ivory horn to make the movement perfect, or the interval less harsh Yet, he was great and though he turned language into ignoble clay, he made from it men and women that live He is the most Shakespearian creature since Shakespeare If Shakespeare could sing with myriad lips, Browning could stammer through a thousand mouths Even now, as I am speaking, and speaking not against him but for him, there glides through the room the pageant of his persons There, creeps Fra Lippo Lippi with his cheeks still burning from some girl's hot kiss. There, stands dread Saul with the lordly

Enver There is something in what you say, but there is not everything in what you say In many points you are unjust

Gibbor It is difficult not to be unjust to what one loves. But let us return to the particular point at issue. What was it that you said?

Ennest Simply this that in the best days of art there were no art-critics.

GILBERT I seem to have heard that observation before, Linest. It has all the vitality of error and all the tediousness of an old friend

Enver It is true. Yes, there is no use your tossing your head in that petulant manner It is quite true In the best days of art there were no art-critics. The sculptor hewed from the marble block the great white-limbed Hermes that slept within it. The wayers and gilders of images gave tone and texture to the statue, and the world, when it saw it, worshipped and was He poured the glowing bionze into the mould of sand, and the river of ied metal cooled into noble curves and took the impress of the body of a god With cnamel or polished jewels he gave sight to the sightless eyes. The hyacinth-like curls grew crisp beneath his graver And when, in some dim frescoed fane, or pillared sunlit portico, the child of Leto stood upon his pedestal, those who passed by, διά

λαμ-ροτάτου βαίνοντες άβρως αίθερος, became conscious of a new influence that had come across their lives, and dreamily, or with a sense of strange and quickening joy, went to their homes or daily labour, or wandered, it may be, through the city gates to that nymph-haunted meadow where young Phædrus bathed his feet, and, lying there on the soft grass, beneath the tall wind - whispering planes and flowering agnus castus, began to think of the wonder of beauty, and grew silent with unaccustomed awe those days the artist was free From the river valley he took the fine clay in his fingers, and with a little tool of wood or bone, fashioned it into forms so exquisite that the people give them to the dead as their playthings, and we find them still in the dusty tombs on the yellow hillside by Tanagra, with the faint gold and the fading crimson still lingering about hair and lips and raiment. On a wall of fresh plaster, stained with bright sandys or mixed with milk and saffron, he pictured one who trod with tired teet the purple white-starred fields of asphodel, one 'in whose eyelids lay the whole of the Trojan War,' Polyxena, the daughter of Priam, or figured Odysseus, the wise and cunning, bound by tight cords to the mast-step, that he might listen without hurt to the singing of the Sirens, or wandering by the clear river of

Acheron, where the ghosts of fishes flitted over the pebbly bed, or showed the Persian in trews and mitre flying before the Greek at Marathon, or the galleys clashing their beaks of brass in the little Salaminian bay He drew with silverpoint and charcoal upon parchment and prepared cedar Upon ivory and rose-coloured terracotta he painted with way, making the way fluid with juice of glives, and with heated irons making it firm Panel and marble and linen canvas became wonderful as his brush swept across them, and life seeing her own image, was still, and dared not speak. All life, indeed, was his, from the merchants seated in the market-place to the cloaked shepherd lying on the hill, from the nymph hidden in the laurels and the faun that pipes at noon, to the king whom, in long green-curtained litter, slaves bore upon oil-bright shoulders, and fanned with peacock fans Men and women, with pleasure or sorrow in their faces, passed before him He watched them, and their secret became his Through form and colour he re-created a world

All subtle arts belonged to him also He held the gem against the revolving disk, and the amethyst became the purple couch for Adonis, and across the veined sardonyx sped Artemis with her hounds He beat out the gold into roses, and strung them together for

necklace or armlet. He best out the gold into wreaths for the conqueror's helmet, or into palmates for the Tyrian robe, or into masks for the royal dead. On the back of the s ver mirror he graved Thetis borne by her Neteids, or love-sick Paradra with her nurse or Persephone, weary of memory, putting popples in her har. The potter sat in his shed and nower-like from the silent wheel the vise rose up leneath his hands. He decorated the base and stem and ears with pattern of dunity of veleaf, or foliated acanthus or curved and crested wave. Then in black or red he painted lads wresting or in the race: knights in full armour, min strange heroldic shields and carious visors, leaning from shell-shaped charlot over rearny steeds: the gods seated at the feast or working the manelus: the herees in their victory or in their pain. Sometimes he would eith in thin vertilion lines upon a ground of white the anguld budegroom and his bride, with Eros to ening round them - in Eres like one ci Donatello's angels a little laughing thing with guden or with course varies. On the curved side ne vould write the name of this friend. KALOZ ALKIBIALHE or KALOS KAPMILES tells us the story of the days. Again on the non of the wide flat cap he would draw the stag Liversing, or the lien at rest, as his tamey willed it. From

the tiny perfume-bottle laughed Aphrodite at her toilet, and, with bare-limbed Mienads in his trun, Dionysus danced round the wine-jar on naked must-stained feet, while, satyr-like, the old Silenus spriwled upon the bloated skins, or shook that magic spear which was tipped with a fretted fir-cone, and wreathed with dark ivy And no one came to trouble the artist at his work No irresponsible chatter disturbed him He was not worried by opinions By the Hyssus, says Arnold somewhere, there was no Higginbotham By the Ilvssus, my dear Gilbert, there were no silly art congresses bringing provincialism to the provinces and teaching the mediocrity how to mouth By the Ilyssus there were no tedious magazines about art,'in which the industrious prattle of what they do not understand On the reed-grown banks of that little stream strutted no ridiculous journalism monopolising the seat of judgment when it should be apologising in the dock The Greeks had no art-critics

Giberat Ernest, you are quite delightful, but your views are terribly unsound. I am afraid that you have been listening to the conversation of some one older than yourself. That is always a dangerous thing to do, and if you allow it to degenerate into a habit you will find it absolutely fatal to any intellectual develop-

ment. As for modern journalism, it is not my business to defend it. It justifies its own existence by the great Darwinian principle of the survival of the vulgarest. I have merely to do with literature

ERNEST But what is the difference between literature and journalism?

GILBERT Oh! journalism is unreadable, and literature is not read. That is all. But with regard to your statement that the Greeks had no art-critics, I assure you that is quite absurd. It would be more just to say that the Greeks were a nation of art-critics.

ERNEST Really?

Gilbert Yes, a nation of art-critics. But I don't wish to destroy the delightfully unreal picture that you have drawn of the relation of the Hellenic artist to the intellectual spirit of his age. To give an accurate description of what has never occurred is not merely the proper occupation of the historian, but the inalienable privilege of any man of parts and culture. Still less do I desire to talk learnedly. Learned conversation is either the affectation of the ignorant or the profession of the mentally unemployed. And, as for what is called improving conversation, that is merely the foolish method by which the still more foolish philanthropist feebly tries to disarm

the just rincour of the criminal classes. No let me play to you some mad scarlet thing by Dvorak The pallid figures on the tapestry are smiling at us, and the heavy eyelids of my bronze Narcissus are folded in sleep. Don't let us discuss anything solemnly I am but too conscious of the fact that we are born in an age when only the dull are treated scriously, and I live in terroi of not being misunderstood Don't degrade me into the position of giving you useful information Education is an admirable thing, but it is well to remember from time to time that nothing that is worth knowing can be taught Through the parted curtains of the window I see the moon like a clipped piece of silver Like gilded bees the stars cluster round her The sky is a hard hollow sapphire Let us go out into the night Thought is wonderful, but adventure is more wonderful still. Who knows but we may meet Prince Florizel of Bohemin, and hear the fair Cuban tell us that she is not what she seems?

ERNEST You are horribly wilful I insist on your discussing this matter with me. You have said that the Greeks were a nation of art-critics What art-criticism have they left us?

GILBERT My dear Ernest, even if not a single fragment of art-criticism had come down to us

the metrical movements of a prose as scientifically as a modern musician studies harmony and counterpoint, and, I need hardly say, with much keener æsthetic instinct. In this they were right, as they were right in all things Since the introduction of printing, and the fatal development of the habit of reading amongst the middle and lower classes of this country, there has been a tendency in literature to appeal more and more to the eye, and less and less to the ear which is really the sense which, from the standpoint of pure art, it should seek to please, and by whose canons of pleasure it should abide always Even the work of Mr Pater, who is, on the whole, the most perfect master of English prose now creating amongst us, is often far more like a piece of mosaic than a passage in music, and seems, here and there, to lack the true rhythmical life of words and the fine freedom and richness of effect that such rhythmical life produces We, in fact, have made writing a definite mode of composition, and have treated it as a form of elaborate design The Greeks, upon the other hand, regarded writing simply as a method of chronicling Their test was always the spoken word in its musical and metrical relations The voice was the medium, and the ear the critic I have sometimes thought that the story of Homer's

blindness might be really an artistic myth, created in critical days, and serving to remind us, not merely that the great poet is always a seer, seeing less with the eyes of the body than he does with the eyes of the soul, but that he is a true singer also, building his song out of music, repeating each line over and over again to himself till he has caught the secret of its melody, chaunting in darkness the words that are winged with light Certainly, whether this be so or not, it was to his blindness, as an occasion, if not as a cause, that England's great poet owed much of the majestic movement and sonorous splendour of his later verse When Milton could no longer write he began to sing Who would match the measures of Comus with the measures of Samson Agonistes, or of Paradisc Lost or Regained? When Milton became blind he composed, as every one should compose, with the voice purely, and so the pipe or reed of earlier days became that mighty manystopped organ whose rich reverberant music has all the stateliness of Homeric verse, if it seeks not to have its swiftness, and is the one imperishable inheritance of English literature sweeping through all the ages, because above them, and abiding with us ever, being immortal in its form. Yes writing has done much harm to writers We must return to the voice

must be our test, and perhaps then we shall be able to appreciate some of the subtleties of Greek art-criticism

As it now is, we cannot do so Sometimes, when I have written a piece of prose that I have been modest enough to consider absolutely free from fault, a dreadful thought comes over me that I may have been guilty of the immoral esteminacy of using trochuc and tribrachic movements, a crime for which a learned critic of the Augustan age censures with most just severity the brilliant if somewhat paradoxical Hegesias I grow cold when I think of it, and wonder to myself if the admirable ethical effect of the prose of that charming writer, who once in a spirit of reckless generosity towards the uncultivated portion of our community proclaimed the monstrous doctrine that conduct is three-fourths of life, will not some day be entirely annihilated by the discovery that the pæons have been wrongly placed

ERNEST Ah! now you are flippant

GILBERT Who would not be flippant when he is gravely told that the Greeks had no arterities? I can understand it being said that the constructive genius of the Greeks lost itself in criticism, but not that the race to whom we owe the critical spirit did not criticise. You will not ask me to give you a survey of Greek

et edition from Fish to Fritze To ಪ್ರವೀಕಿ ಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರೆ ಕೇರಿ ಮಿಸಿ ಮತ್ತಿ ಮೇಲ ಮಾಡು ಟ್ ಕಿಕಿಕ led a vill prev als a leda th ನಾ ಬಿಜಾ ಬಿಜ್ರು. ರಿಚ ಬಿಜ್ಜು ವರ್ಣ್ಯ ನೆ ನಾ tarist links -th of atlents attitute, it is . Theis Irania on Desp. It is not perfect in francis of it laignesses a main reings ರ್ಮಹ ಕುಡಿಕೆ ಕೆಲಾ ಕೊಡಡ ಚಿತ್ರಾಗಳ blis sames ast i in saming والمراجع المراجع المرا and their The effect of any in the name a cine at the tile in the frame tion of character, had been force ence i hall by The state and have an earth are from यह मान्यी देश में मार्थ केंद्र स्थान क्रिक्ट ग्रामित eite. Publici e ezza daireta zur ತಿಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಡಿದೆ: ನಗ್ಗೆ ಜಡ, ನಲ್ಲಿ ಡ ಬಿಕ ಹಗ್ಗಳ-ಮಹಿರು ಮಕ್ಕೆ ಹ ಕಳಾಗಿ ಬೆಂದು ಬಿಕಿ ಸಂಜಾಗಿತ್ತ ಗೆ ಸ onto and himmony, the estitlette rules of appearwas the relate of the right arts to the ertens mili est de reigne et fann 4 fat He impoins similar desilet no il : ರೋಗಾ ಮುಸ್ಕಾರ್ಗಿ ಸರ್ವ-ಕಂ ಆಗುಗಳು ಮೇ ರೇಕ್ er laur the comemon between Beath and Train and the tiles of Beary in the most and ಕಿಷ್ಠತಿಯು ಹತ್ಯಾಣಿಕಿತ ವಿಜಯ, ವಿಶ್ವಸ್ಥಾನಿ of listers and realism, as he sets them forth my sem to many to be somewhat haven of esti i i i mempire el some el eletter

being in which he places them, but transfer them to the sphere of art, and you will find that they are still vital and full of meaning. It may be that it is as a critic of Beauty that Plato is destined to Jive, and that by altering the name of the sphere of his speculation we shall find a new philosophy But Aristotle, like Goethe, deals with art primarily in its concrete manifestations, taking Tragedy, for instance, and investigriting the material it uses, which is language, its subject-matter, which is life, the method by which it works, which is action, the conditions under which it reveals itself, which are those of theatric presentation, its logical structure, which is plot, and its final esthetic appeal, which is to the sense of heauty realised through the passions of pity and awe That purification and spiritualising of the nature which he calls Adbapois is, as Goethe saw, essentially æsthetic, and is not moral, as Lessing fancied Concerning himself primarily with the impression that the work of art produces. Aristotle sets himself to analyse that impression, to investigate its source, to see how it is engendered As a physiologist and psychologist, he knows that the health of a function resides in energy To have a capacity for a passion and not to realise it, is to make oneself incomplete and limited The mimic spectacle of life that Tragedy affords cleanses the bosom of much

'perilous stuff' and by presenting high and worthy objects for the exercise of the emotions purfies and spiritualises the man may not merely does it spiritualise him that it initiates him also into noble feelings of which he might else have known nothing the word x-2-2+3 having, it has sometimes seemed to me, a define allusion to the rite of instation if indeed that le not, as I am occasionally tempted to forcy its true and only meaning here. This sof course a mere outline of the book. But you see what a perfect piece of esthetic criticism it is. Who indeed but a Greek could have analysed art so well? After reading it, one does not wender any longer that Alexandra devoted itself S largely to art-enticism and that we find the artistic temperaments of the day investigating every question of style and manner, discussing the great Academic schools of painting for nstance such as the school of S eyon that sought to preserve the dignified traditions of the antique mode, or the realistic and impressionist schools that aimed at reproducing actual life, or the elements of ideality in portraiture or the artistic value of the epic form in an age so modern as theirs or the proper subject-matter for the artist. Indeed I fear that the martistic temperaments of the day busied themselves also in matters of literature and art for the accusations of plag arism were endless, and such accusations proceed either from the thin colourless hips of impotence, or from the grotesque mouths of those who, possessing nothing of their own, fancy that they can gun a reputation for wealth by crying out that they have been robbed And I assure you, my dear Lrnest, that the Greeks chattered about printers quite as much as people do nowadays, and had their private views, and shilling exhibitions, and Arts and Crafts guilds, and Pre-Raphaelite movements, and movements towards realism, and lectured about art, and wrote essays on art, and produced their art-historians, and their archeologists, and all the rest of it. even the theatrical managers of travelling companies brought their dramatic critics with them when they went on tour, and paid them very handsome salaries for writing laudatory notices Whatever, in fact, is modern in our life we owe to the Greeks Whatever is an anachronism is due to mediævalism It is the Greeks who have given us the whole system of art-criticism, and how fine their critical instinct was, may be seen from the fact that the material they criticised with most care was, as I have already said, For the material that painter or language. sculptor uses is mergre in comparison with that Words have not merely music as sweet as that of viol and lute, colour as rich and

vivid as any that makes lovely for us the canvas of the Venetian or the Spaniard, and plastic form no less sure and certain than that which reveals itself in marble or in bronze, but thought and passion and spirituality are theirs also, are theirs indeed alone. If the Greeks had criticised nothing but language, they would still have been the great art-critics of the world. To know the principles of the highest art is to know the principles of all the arts.

But I see that the moon is hiding behind a sulphur-coloured cloud. Out of a tawny mane of drift she gleams like a lion's eye. She is afraid that I will talk to you of Lucian and Longinus, of Quinctilian and Dionysius, of Pliny and Fronto and Pausanias, of all those who in the antique world wrote or lectured upon art matters. She need not be afraid. I am tired of my expedition into the dim, dull abyss of facts. There is nothing left for me now but the divine μονόχρονος ήδονή of another cigarette Cigarettes have at least the charm of leaving one unsatisfied.

ERNEST Try one of mine They are rather good I get them direct from Cairo The only use of our attachés is that they supply their friends with excellent tobacco. And as the moon has hidden herself, let us talk a little longer. I am quite ready to admit that I was

wrong in what I said about the Greeks They were, as you have pointed out, a nation of arterities I reknowledge it, and I feel a little sorry for them. For the creative faculty is higher than the critical. There is really no comparison between them.

GILBERT The antithesis between them is entirely arbitrary Without the critical faculty, there is no artistic creation at all, worthy of the name You spoke a little while ago of that fine spirit of choice and delicite instinct of selection by which the artist realises life for us, and gives to it a momentary perfection Well, that spirit of choice, that subtle tact of omission, is really the critical faculty in one of its most characteristic moods, and no one who does not possess this critical faculty can create anything at all in 'art Arnold's definition of literature as a criticism of life was not very felicitous in form, but it showed how keenly he recognised the importance of the critical element in all creative work

ERNEST I should have said that great artists work unconsciously, that they were 'wiser than they knew,' as, I think, Emerson remarks somewhere

GILBERT It is really not so, Ernest. All fine imaginative work is self-conscious and deliberate No poet sings because he must sing. At least,

the imagination of races, rather than of the imagination of individuals?

Gimear Not when they became poetry Not when they received a beautiful form. For there is no art where there is no style, and no style where there is no unity, and unity is of the individual. No doubt Homer had old ballads and stories to deal with, as Shakespeare had chromeles and plays and novels from which to work, but they were merely his rough material. He took them, and shaped them into song. They become his, because he made them lovely. They were built out of music,

And so not built at all, And therefore built for ever.

The longer one studies life and literature, the more strongly one feels that behind everything that is wonderful stands the individual, and that it is not the moment that makes the man, but the man who creates the age. Indeed, I am inclined to think that each myth and legend that seems to us to spring out of the wonder, or terror, or fancy of tribe and nation, was in its origin the invention of one single mind. The curiously limited number of the myths seems to me to point to this conclusion. But we must not go off into questions of comparative mythology. We must keep to criticism. And what

at the Renaissance, Greek literature dawned upon Europe, the soil had been in some, measure prepared for it. But, to get rid of the details of history, which are always werrisome and usually maccurate, let us say generally, that the forms of art have been due to the Greek critical spirit To it we owe the epic, the lync, the entire drama in every one of its developments, including burlesque, the idyll, the romantic novel, the novel of adventure, the essay, the dialogue, the oration, the lecture, for which perhaps we should not forgive them, and the epigram, in all the wide meaning of that word In fact, we owe it everything, except the sonnet, to which, however, some curious parallels of thought-movement may be traced in the Anthology, American journalism, to which no parallel can be found anywhere, and the ballad in sham Scotch dialect, which one of our most industrious writers has recently proposed should be made the basis for a final and unanimous effort on the part of our second-rate poets to make themselves really romantic Each new school, as it appears, cries out against criticism, but it is to the critical faculty in man that it owes its origin. The mere creative instinct does not innovate, but reproduces

ERNEST You have been talking of criticism as an essential part of the creative spirit, and I

works they are called upon to criticise They do not. Or at least they should not If they did so, they would become confirmed misanthropes, or if I may borrow a phrase from one of the pretty Newnham graduates, confirmed womanthropes for the rest of their lives Nor is it necessary To know the vintage and quality of a wine one need not drink the whole cask. It must be perfectly easy in half an hour to say whether a book is worth anything or worth nothing Ten minutes are really sufficient, if one has the instinct for form. Who wants to wade through a dull volume? One tastes it, and that is quite enough-more than enough, I should imagine I am aware that there are many honest workers in painting as Well as in literature who object to criticism entirely They are quite right. Their work stands in no intellectual relation to their age It brings us no new element of pleasure It suggests no fresh departure of thought, or passion, or beauty It should not be spoken of It should be left to the oblivion that it deserves

Ennest But, my dear fellow—excuse me for interrupting you—you seem to me to be allowing your passion for criticism to lead you a great deal too far. For, after all, even you must admit that it is much more difficult to do a thing than to talk about it.

GILBERT More difficult to do a thing than to talk about it? Not at all That is a gross popular error It is very much more difficult to talk about a thing than to do it. In the sphere of actual life that is of course obvious Anybody can make history Only a great man can write it. There is no mode of action, no form of emotion, that we do not share with the lower animals. It is only by language that we rise above them, or above each other-by language, which is the parent, and not the child, of thought Action, indeed, is always easy, and when presented to us in its most aggravated, because most continuous form, which I take to be that of real industry, becomes simply the refuge of people who have nothing whatsoever to do No, Einest, don't talk about action It is a blind thing dependent on external influences, and moved by an impulse of whose nature it is unconscious. It is a thing incomplete in its essence, because limited by accident, and ignorant of its direction, being always at variance with its aim. Its basis is the lack of It is the last resource of those who know not how to dream

ERNEST Gilbert, you treat the world as if it were a crystal ball. You hold it in your hand, and reverse it to please a wilful fancy. You do nothing but re-write history.

They rage against Materialism, as they call it, forgetting that there has been no material improvement that has not spiritualised the world, and that there have been few, if any, spiritual awakenings that have not wasted the world's faculties in barren hopes, and fruitless aspiras tions, and empty or trammelling creeds What is termed Sin is an essential element of progress Without it the world would stagnate, or grow old, or become colourless By its curiosity Sin increases the experience of the race Through its intensified assertion of individualism, it saves us from monotony of type In its rejection of the current notions about morality, it is one with the higher ethics And as for the virtues! What are the virtues? Nature, M Renan tells us, cares little about chastity, and it may be that it is to the shame of the Magdalen, and not to their own purity, that the Lucretias of modern life owe their freedom from stain Charity, as even those of whose religion it makes a formal part have been compelled to acknowledge, creates a multitude of evils The mere existence of conscience, that faculty of which people prate so much nowadays, and are so ignorantly proud, is a sign of our imperfect development It must be merged in instinct before we become fine. Self-denial is simply a method by which man arrests his

progress, and self-sacrifice a survival of the mutilation of the savage, part of that old worship of pain which is so terrible a factor in the history of the world, and which even now makes its victims day by day, and has its altars in the land. Virtues! Who knows what the virtues are? Not you. Not I Not any one. It is well for our vanity that we slay the criminal, for if we suffered him to live he might show us what we had gained by his crime. It is well for his peace that the saint goes to his marty dom. He is spared the sight of the horror of his harvest.

ERNEST Gilbert, you sound too harsh a note Let us go back to the more gracious fields of literature What was it you said? That it was more difficult to talk about a thing than to do it?

Gilbert (after a pause) Yes I believe I ventured upon that simple truth Surely you see now that I am right? When man acts he is a puppet. When he describes he is a poet. The whole secret lies in that. It was easy enough on the sandy plains by windy Ilion to send the notched arrow from the painted bow, or to hurl against the shield of hide and flamelike brass the long ash-handled spear It was easy for the adulterous queen to spread the Tyrian carpets for her lord, and then, as

In the co-styant below, the son of Prom is buckting or his better cultars. The white zires of A do sobe are around his neel He sets his belief on the ground, lest their Laber should be for litered. Behind the em-In the cot fortains of his profilen site Achille, in perioned raiment, while in hime's of gilt and silver the friend of his onl arrive himself to ro forth to the fight. From a curiously carren ever that his nother Thetis had brought to his ship side, the Lord of the Marmidon takes out that myrtic chalice that the lip of man had never touched, and cleanes it with brimstone, and with fresh water cools it, and, having nached his hande, fille with black wine its burnished hollow, and spalls the thick grapeblood upon the ground in honour of Him whom at Dodons barefooted prophets worshipped, and prays to Him, and I nows not that he prays in vain, and that by the hands of two knights from Iroy. Panthous' ron, Puphorbus, whose lovelocks were looped with gold, and the Priamid, the Hon-hearted. Patrol lus, the comrade of comrades, must meet his doom. Plantoms, are they? Herous of mist and mountain? Shadovs in a rong? No they are real Action! What is action? It dies at the moment of its energy. It is a base concession to fact. The world is made by the singer for the dreamer

them They have their youth and their manhood, they are children, and they grow old It is always dawn for St. Helena, as Veronese saw her at the window Through the still morning air the angels bring her the symbol of God's pain The cool breezes of the morning lift the gilt threads from her brow On that little hill by the city of Florence, where the lovers of Giorgione, are lying, it is always the solstice of noon, of noon made so languorous by summer suns that hardly can the slim naked girl dip into the marble tank the round bubble of clear glass, and the long fingers of the luteplayer rest idly upon the chords It is twilight always for the dancing nymphs whom Corot set free among the silver poplars of France. In eternal twilight they move, those frail diaphanous figures, whose tremulous white feet seem not to touch the dew-drenched grass they tread on But those who walk in epos, drama, or romance, see through the labouring months the young moons wax and wane, and watch the night from evening unto morning star, and from sunrise unto sunsetting can note the shifting day with all its gold and shadow For them, as for us, the flowers bloom and wither, and the Earth, that Green-tressed Goddess as Coleridge calls her, alters her raiment for their pleasure ^ The statue is concentrated to one moment of perfection. The image stained upon the canvas possesses no spiritual element of growth or change. If they know nothing of death, it is because they know little of life, for the secrets, of life and death belong to those, and those only, whom the sequence of time affects, and who possess not merely the present but the future, and can rise or fall from a past of glory or of shame. Movement, that problem of the visible arts, can be truly realised by Literature alone. It is Literature that shows us the body in its swiftness and the soul in its unrest.

ERNEST Yes, I see now what you mean But, surely, the higher you place the creative artist, the lower must the critic rank

GILBERT Why so?

ERNEST Because the best that he can give us will be but an echo of rich music, a dim shadow of clear-outlined form. It may, indeed, be that life is chaos, as you tell me that it is, that its martyrdoms are mean and its heroisms ignoble, and that it is the function of Literature to create, from the rough material of actual existence, a new world that will be more marvellous, more enduring, and more true than the world that common eyes look upon, and through which common natures seek to realise their perfection. But surely, if this new world

has been made by the spirit and touch of a great artist, it will be a thing so complete and perfect that there will be nothing left for the critic to do. I quite understand now, and indeed admit most readily, that it is far more difficult to talk about a thing than to do it. But it seems to me that this sound and sensible maxim, which is really extremely soothing to one's feelings, and should be adopted as its motto by every Academy of Literature all over the world, applies only to the relations that exist between Art and Life, and not to any relations that there may be between Art and Criticism.

GILDERT But, surely, Criticism is itself an art. And just as artistic creation implies the working of the critical faculty, and, indeed, without it cannot be said to exist at all, so Criticism is really creative in the highest sense of the word—Criticism is, in fact, both creative and independent

Ennest Independent?

GILBERT Yes, independent Criticism is no more to be judged by any low standard of imitation or resemblance than is the work of poet or sculptor. The critic occupies the same relation to the work of art that he criticises as the artist does to the visible world of form and colour, or the unseen world of passion and of

thought. He does not even require for the perfection of his art the finest materials. Anything will serve his purpose. And just as out of the soulid and sentimental amours of the silly wife of - small country docto- in the squalid village of Yonville-l'Abbaye near Rouen Gustave Flaubert was able to create a classic and make masterp ece of style, so, from subjects of little or of no importance, such as the pictures in this years Royal Academy, or in any years Royal Acalemy for that matter, Mr Lewis Morris's poems, M. Ohnet's novels or the plays of Mr Henry Arthur Jones, the true critic can, if it be his pleasure so to direct or waste his faculty of contemplation, produce work that will be flawless in beauty and instinct with intellectual subtlety Why not? Dulness is always an irresistible temptation for brilliancy, and stupidity is the permanent Bestia Trionfans that calls wisdom from its cave. To an artist so creative as the critic, what does subject-matter signify? No more and no less than it does to the novelist and the painter Like them he can find his motives everywhere. Treatment is the test. There is nothing that has not in it suggestion or challenge.

ERNEST But is Criticism really a creative art:

Gusert Why should it not be? It works

with materials, and puts them into a form that is at once new and delightful. What more can one say of poetry? Indeed, I would call criticism a creation within a creation. For just as the great artists, from Homer and Æschylus, down to Shakespeare and Keats, did not go directly to life for their subject-matter, but sought for it in myth, and legend, and ancient tale, so the critic deals with materials that others have, as it were, purified for him, and to which imaginative form and colour have been already added Nay, more. I would say that the highest Criticism, being the purest form of personal impression, is in its way more creative than creation, as it has least reference to any standard external to itself, and is, in fact, its own reason for existing, and, as the Greeks would put it, in itself, and to itself, an end. Certainly, it is never trammelled by any shackles of verisimilitude No ignoble considerations of probability, that cowardly concession to the tedious repetitions of domestic or public life, affect it ever One may appeal from fiction unto fact. But from the soul there is no appeal

ERNEST From the soul?

GILBERT Yes, from the soul That is what the highest criticism really is, the record of one's own soul It is more fascinating than history, as it is concerned simply with oneself

It is more delightful than philosophy, as its subject is concrete and not abstract, real and not vigue. It is the only civilised form of autobiography as it deals not with the events but with the thoughts of one's life; not with life's physical recidents of deed of circi metance, but with the spiritual moods and irraginative pressions of the mind. I am always amused by the silly vanity of those writers and artists of our day who seem to imagine that the primary function of the critic is to chatter about their second-rate work. The best that one can say of most modern creative art is that it is just a little less vulgar than reality, and so the critic, with his fine sense of distinction and sure instinct of delicate refinement, will prefer to look into the silver mirror or through the woven veil, and will turn his eyes away from the chaos and clamour of actual existence, though the mirror be tarmished and the yeal he torn. His sole aim is to chronicle his own impressions. It is for him that pictures are painted books written, and marble hewn into form

ERNEST I seem to have heard another theory of Criticism

GILBERT Yes it has been said by one whose gracious memory we all revere, and the music of whose pipe once lured Proserpina from her Sicilian fields, and made those white feet str,

and not in vain, the Cumnor cowships, that the proper aim of Criticism is to see the object as in itself it really is. But this is a very serious error, and takes no cognisance of Criticism's most perfect form, which is in its essence purely subjective, and seeks to reveal its own secret and not the secret of another For the highest Criticism deals with art not as expressive but as impressive purely

Ennest But is that really so?

GILBERT Of course it is Who cares whether Mr Ruskin's views on Turner are sound or not? What does it matter? That mighty and majestic prose of his, so fervid and so fierycoloured in its noble eloquence, so rich in its elaborate symphonic music, so sure and certain, at its best, in subtle choice of word and epithet, is at least as great a work of art as any of those wonderful sunsets that bleach or rot on their corrupted canvases in England's Gallery, greater indeed, one is apt to think at times, not merely because its equal beauty is more enduring, but on account of the fuller variety of its appeal, soul speaking to soul in those long-cadenced lines, not through form and colour alone, though through these, indeed, completely and without loss, but with intellectual and emotional utterance, with lofty passion and with loftier thought, with imagina-



of the world are come," and the eyelids are a little weary'

And so the picture becomes more wonderful to us than it really is, and reveals to us a secret of which, in truth, it knows nothing, and the music of the mystical prose is as sweet in our ears as was that flute-player's music that lent to the lips of La Gioconda those subtle and poisonous curves. Do you ask me what Lionardo would have said had any one told him of this picture that 'all the thoughts and experience of the world had etched and moulded therein that which they had of power to refine and make expressive the outward form, the animalism of Greece, the lust of Rome, the revene of the Middle Age with its spiritual ambition and imaginative loves, the return of the Pagan world, the sins of the Borgias?' He would probably have answered that he had contemplated none of these things, but had concerned himself simply with certain arrangements of lines and masses, and with new and curious colour-harmonies of blue and green And it is for this very reason that the criticism which I have quoted is criticism of the highest kind It treats the work of art simply as a starting-point for a new creation. It does not confine itself-let us at least suppose so for the moment-to discovering the real intention

of the artist and accepting that as final And in this it is right, for the meaning of any beautiful created thing is, at least, as much in the soul of him who looks at it, as it was in his soul who wrought it. Nay, it is rather the beholder who lends to the beautiful thing its myriad meanings, and makes it marvellous for us, and sets it in some new relation to the age, so that it becomes a vital portion of our lives, and a symbol of what we pray for, or perhaps of what, having prayed for, we fear that we may receive The longer I study, Lrnest, the more clearly I see that the beauty of the visible arts is, as the beauty of music, impressive primarily, and that it may be marred, and indeed often is so, by any excess of intellectual intention on the part of the artist, For when the work is finished it has, as it were, an independent life of its own, and may deliver a me rige far other than that which was put into itclips to say. Sometimes, when I listen to the overture to Tarnhauser, I reem indeed to see that comely length treading deheately on the flower-strewn prass, and to hear the voice of Venue calling to him from the exerned hill. But at other time at speaks to me of a thou and different things, of myself, it may be, and my or n life, or of the lives of others whom one has loved and grown's early of loving,

or of the passions that man has known, or of the passions that man has not known, and so has sought for To-night it may fill one with that EPΩΣ TΩN ΑΔΥΝΑΤΩΝ, that Amour de l'Impossible, which falls like a madness on many who think they live securely and out of reach of harm, so that they sicken suddenly with the poison of unlimited desire, and, in the infinite pursuit of what they may not obtain, grow faint and swoon or stumble To-morrow, like the music of which Aristotle and Plato tell us, the noble Doman music of the Greek, it may perform the office of a physician, and give us an anodyne against pain, and heal the spirit that is wounded, and 'bring the soul into harmony with all right things." And what is true about music is true about all the arts Beauty has as many meanings as man has moods Beauty is the symbol of symbols Beauty reveals everything, because it expresses nothing When it shows us itself, it shows us the whole fiery-coloured world

ERNEST But is such work as you have talked about really criticism?

GILBERT It is the highest Criticism, for it criticises not merely the individual work of art, but Beauty itself, and fills with wonder a form which the artist may have left void, or not understood, or understood incompletely

ERNEST The highest , Criticism, then, is more

creative than creation, and the primary aim of the critic is to see the object as in itself it really is not, that is your theory, I believe?

Gibert Yes, that is my theory. To the entire the work of art is simply a suggestion for a new work of his own, that need not necessarily bear any obvious resemblance to the thing it entireses. The one characteristic of a beautiful form is that one can put into it whatever one wishes, and see in it whatever one chooses to see; and the Beauty, that gives to creation its universal and asthetic element, makes the entire a creator in his turn, and whispers of a thousand different things which were not present in the mind of him who carved the statue or painted the panel or graved the gem

It is sometimes said by those who understand neither the nature of the highest Criticism nor the charm of the highest Art, that the pictures that the critic loves most to write about are those that belong to the ancedotage of painting, and that deal vith scenes taken out of literature or history. But this is not so. Indeed, pictures of this lind are far too intelligible. As a clair, they rank vith illustrations, and even con idered from the point of view are failure, as they do not stir the imagination, but set definite bound to it. For the domain of the painter is, a I suggested before, widely different from that of

the poet To the latter belongs life in its full and absolute entirety, not merely the beauty that men look at, but the beauty that men listen to also, not merely the momentary grace of form or the transient gladness of colour, but the whole sphere of feeling, the perfect cycle of The painter is so far limited that it is only through the mask of the body that he can show us the mystery of the soul, only through conventional images that he can handle ideas, only through its physical equivalents that he can deal with psychology And how inadequately does he do it then, asking us to accept the torn turban of the Moor for the noble rage of Othello, or a dotard in a storm for the wild madness of Lear! Yet it seems as if nothing could stop him Most of our elderly English painters spend their wicked and wasted lives in poaching upon the domain of the poets, marring their motives by clumsy treatment, and striving to render, by visible form or colour, the marvel of what is invisible, the splendour of what is not seen Their pictures are, as a natural consequence, insufferably tedious They have degraded the mysible arts into the obvious arts, and the one thing not worth looking at is the obvious not say that poet and painter may not treat of the same subject. They have always done so, and will always do so But while the poet can

be pictorial or not, as he chooses, the painter must be pictorial always. For a painter is limited, not to what he sees in nature, but to what upon canvas may be seen

And so, my dear Ernest, pictures of this kind will not really fascinate the entic. 'He will turn from them to such works as make him brood and dream and fancy, to works that possess the subtle quality of suggestion, and seem to tell one that even from them there is an escape into a wider world. It is sometimes said that the tragedy of an artist's life is that he cannot realise his ideal. But the true tragedy that dogs the steps of most artists is that they realise their ideal too absolutely. For, when the ideal is realised, it is robbed of its wonder and its mystery, and becomes simply a new startingpoint for an ideal that is other than itself. This is the reason why music is the perfect type of art. Music can never reveal its ultim to secret This, also, is the explaintion of the value of limitations in art. The sculptor glidly surrenders mutative colour, and the painter the netual dimensions of form because by such renniers. tion they are able to a old too definite a presentation of the Real, which would be mere unitation and too definite a realisation of the Ideal, which would be too pirel, intellectial It is through its very incomplate to all that

becomes complete in beauty, and so addresses itself, not to the faculty of recognition nor to the faculty of reason, but to the asthetic sense alone, which, while accepting both reason and recognition as stages of apprehension, subordinates them both to a pure synthetic impression of the work of art as a whole, and, taking whatever alien emotional elements the work may possess, uses their very complexity as a means by which a richer unity may be added to the ultimate impression itself You see, then, how it is that the esthetic critic rejects these obvious modes of art that have but one message to deliver, and having delivered it become dumb and sterile, and seeks rather for such modes as suggest reverse and mood, and by their imaginative beauty make all interpretations true, and no interpretation final Some resemblance, no doubt, the creative work of the critic will have to the work that has stirred him to creation, but it will be such resemblance as exists, not between Nature and the mirror that the painter of landscape or figure may be supposed to hold up to her, but between Nature and the work of the decorative artist Just as on the flowerless carpets of Persia, tulip and rose blossom indeed and are levely to look on, though they are not reproduced in visible shape or line, just as the pearl and purple of the sea-shell is echoed in

the church of St. Mark at Venice; just as the vaulted ceiling of the wondrous chapel at Ravenna is made gorgeous by the gold and green and sapphire of the peacock's tail, though the birds of Juno fly not across it, so the critic reproduces the work that he criticises in a mode that is never imitative, and part of whose charm may really consist in the rejection of resemblance, and shows us in this way not merely the meaning but also the mystery of Beauty, and, by transforming each art into literature, solves once for all the problem of Art's unity

But I see it is time for supper. After we have discussed some Chambertin and a few ortolans, we will pass on to the question of the critic considered in the light of the interpreter.

Ennest Ah! you idmit, then, that the critic may occasionally be allowed to see the object as in itself it really is

Gubert I am not quite sure Perhaps I may admit it after supper There is a subtle influence in supper

THE CRITIC AS ARTIST MITH SOUP LEMANTS UPON THE IMPORTANCE OF DECUMEN AND IMPORTANCE

4 DIALOGUE Part II Persons the same Scen, the same.

THE CRITIC AS ARTIST

ERNEST The ortolans were delightful, and the Chambertin perfect, and now let us return to the point at issue

GILBERT Ah! don't let us do that. Conversation should touch everything, but should concentrate itself on nothing Let us talk about Moral Indignation, its Cause and Cure, a subject on which I think of writing or about The Survival of Thersites, as shown by the English comic papers, or about any topic that may turn up

ERNEST No, I want to discuss the critic and criticism. You have told me that the highest criticism deals with art, not as expressive, but as impressive purely, and is consequently both creative and independent, is in fact an art by itself, occupying the same relation to creative work that creative work does to the visible world of form and colour, or the unseen world of passion and of thought. Well, now, tell me, will not the critic be sometimes a real interpreter?

GILBERT Yes, the critic will be an inter-

preter, if he chooses He can pass from his synthetic impression of the work of art as a whole, to an analysis or exposition of the work itself, and in this lower sphere, as I hold it to be, there are many delightful things to be said and done Yet his object will not always be to explain the work of art. He may seek rather to deepen its mystery, to raise round it, and round its maker, that mist of wonder which is dear to both gods and worshippers alike Ordinary people are 'terribly at ease in Zion.' They propose to walk arm in arm with the poets, and have a glib ignorant way of saying, 'Why should we read what is written about Shakespeare and Milton? We can read the plays and the poems That is enough' But an appreciation of Milton is, as the late Rector of Lincoln remarked once, the reward of consummate scholarship And he who desires to understand Shakespeare truly must understand the relations in which Shakespeare stood to the Renaissance and the Reformation. to the age of Elizabeth and the age of James; he must be familiar with the history of the struggle for supremacy between the old classical forms and the new spirit of romance, between the school of Sidney, and Daniel, and Johnson, and the school of Marlowe and Marlowe's greater son, he must know the materials that

were at Shakespeare's disposal, and the method in which he used them, and the conditions of theatric presentation in the sixteenth and seventeenth century, their limitations and their opportunities for freedom, and the literary criticism of Shakespeare's day, its aims and modes and canons, he must study the English language in its progress, and blank or rhymed verse in its various developments, he must study the Greek drama, and the connection between the art of the creator of the Agamemnon and the art of the creator of Macbeth, in a word, he must be able to bind Elizabethan London to the Athens of Pericles, and to learn Shakespeare's true position in the history of European drama and the drama of the world The critic will certainly be an interpreter, but he will not treat Art as a riddling Sphinz, whose shallow secret may be guessed and revealed by one whose feet are wounded and who knows not his name Rather, he will look upon Art as a goddess whose mystery it is his province to intensify, and whose majesty his privilege to make more marvellous in the eyes of men

And here, Ernest, this strange thing happens. The critic will indeed be an interpreter, but he will not be an interpreter in the sense of one who simply repeats in another form a message that has been put into his lips to say. For,

just as it is only by contact with the art of foreign nations that the art of a country gains that individual and separate life that we call nationality, so, by curious inversion, it is only by intensifying his own personality that the critic can interpret the personality and work of others, and the more strongly this personality enters into the interpretation the more real the interpretation becomes, the more satisfying, the more convincing, and the more true

ERNEST, I would have said that personality would have been a disturbing element.

GILBERT No, it is an element of revelation If you wish to understand others you must intensify your own individualism

ERNEST What, then, is the result?

GILBERT I will tell you, and perhaps I can tell you best by definite example. It seems to me that, while the literary critic stands of course first, as having the wider range, and larger vision, and nobler material, each of the arts has a critic, as it were, assigned to it. The actor is a critic of the drama. He shows the poet's work under new conditions, and by a method special to himself He takes the written word, and action, gesture and voice become the media of revelation. The singer or the player on lute and viol is the critic of music. The etcher of a picture robs the painting of its fair colours, but shows us by

the use of a new material its true colour-quality. its tones and values, and the relations of its masses, and so is, in his way, a critic of it, for the critic is he who exhibits to us a work of art in a form different from that of the work itself. and the employment of a new material is a critical as well as a creative element. Sculpture, too, has its critic, who may be either the carver of a gem, as he was in Greek days, or some painter like Mantegna, who sought to reproduce on canvas the beauty of plastic line and the symphonic dignity of processional bas-relief And in the case of all these creative critics of art it is evident that personality is an absolute essential for any real interpretation. When Rubinstein plays to us the Sonata Appassionata of Beethoven, he gives us not merely Beethoven, but also himself, and so gives us Beethoven absolutely-Beethoven re-interpreted through a rich artistic nature, and made vivid and wonderful to us by a new and intense personality When a great actor plays Shakespeare we have the same experience His own individuality becomes a vital part of the interpretation People sometimes say that actors give us their own Hamlets, and not Shakespeare's, and this fallacy-for it is a fallacy-is, I regret to say, repeated by that charming and graceful writer who has lately deserted the turmoil

of literature for the peace of the House of Commons, I mean the author of Obiter Dicta. In point of fact, there is no such thing as Shakespeare's Hamlet. If Hamlet has something of the definiteness of a work of art, he has also all the obscurity that belongs to life. There are as many Hamlets as there are melancholies.

ERNEST As many Hamlets as there are melancholies?

GILBERT Yes, and as art springs from personality, so it is only to personality that it can be revealed, and from the meeting of the two comes right interpretative criticism.

ERNEST. The critic, then, considered as the interpreter, will give no less than he receives and lend as much as he borrows?

GILBERT He will be always showing us the work of art in some new relation to our age. He will always be reminding us that great works of art are living things—are, in fact, the only things that live. So much indeed, will be feel this that I am certain that, as civilisation progresses and we become more highly organised the elect spirits of each age, the critical and cultured spirits will grow less ind less interested in actual life, and rail seed to gain their impressions almost critical from refer to has to ched. For life is terribly deficient in form. Its catastrophes happen in the wrong

way and to the wrong people. There is a grotesque horror about its comedies, and its tragedies seem to culminate in farce. One is always wounded when one approaches it. Things last either too long, or not long enough.

Ennest Poor life! Poor human life! Are you not even touched by the tears that the Roman poet tells us are part of its essence.

GILBERT Too quickly touched by them, I fear For when one looks back upon the life that was so vivid in its emotional intensity, and filled with such fervent moments of ecstasy or of joy, it all seems to be a dream and an illusion. What are the unreal things, but the passions that once burned one like fire? What are the incredible things, but the things that one has faithfully believed? What are the improbable things? The things that one has done oneself No, Ernest, life cheats us with shadows, like a puppet-master We ask it for pleasure. It gives it to us, with bitterness and disappointment in its train We come across some noble grief that we think will lend the purple dignity of tragedy to our days, but it passes away from us, and things less noble take its place, and on some grey windy dawn, or odorous eve of silence and of silver, we find ourselves looking with callous wonder, or dull heart of stone, at the

tress of gold-flecked hair that we had once so wildly worshipped and so madly kissed.

ERNEST Life then is a failure?

GILBERT From the artistic point of view, certainly And the chief thing that makes life a failure from this artistic point of view is the thing that lends to life its sordid security, the fact that one can never repeat exactly the same How different it is in the world of Art! On a shelf of the bookcase behind you stands the Divine Comedy, and I know that, if I open it at a certain place, I shall be filled with a fierce hatred of some one who has never wronged me, or stirred by a great love for some one whom I shall never see There is no mood or passion that Art cannot give us, and those of us who have discovered her secret can settle beforehand what our experiences are going to be We can choose our day and select our hour We can say to ourselves, 'To-morrow, at dawn, we shall walk with grave Virgil through the valley of the shadow of death,' and lo! the dawn finds us in the obscure wood, and the Mantuan stands by our side We pass through the gate of the legend fatal to hope, and with pity or with joy behold the horror of another world The hypocrites go by, with their painted faces and their cowls of gilded lead Out of the ceaseless winds that drive

them, the carnal look at us, and we watch the heretic rending his flesh and the glutton lashed by the run. We break the withered branches from the tree in the grove of the Harpies, and each dull-hued poisonous twig bleeds with red blood before us, and cries aloud with bitter eries. Out of a horn of fire Odysseus speaks to us, and when from his sepulchre of fiame the great Ghibeline rises, the pride that triumphs over the torture of that bed becomes ours for a moment. Through the dim purple air fly those who have stained the world with the beauty of their sin, and in the pit of loathsome disease, dropsy-stricken and swollen of body into the semblance of a monstrous lute, hes Adamo di Bresein, the corner of false com He bids us listen to his misery, we stop, and with dry and gaping lips he tells us how he dreams day and might of the brooks of clear water that in cool dewy channels gush down the green Casentine hills Sinon, the false Greek of Troy, mocks at him. He smites him in the face, and they wrangle We are fascinated by their shame, and loiter, till Virgil chides us and leads us away to that city turreted by grants where great Nimrod blows his horn Terrible things are in store for us, and we go to meet them in Dante's raiment and with Dante's heart. We traverse the marshes of the Styx, and Argenti swims to

sweet wormwood of pain, tells us of Nella praymg in her lonely bed, and we learn from the mouth of Buonconte how a single tear may save a dying sinner from the fiend Sordello, that noble and disdainful Lombard, eyes us from afar like a couchant lion When he learns that Virgil is one of Mantua's citizens, he falls upon his neck, and when he learns that he is the singer of Rome he falls before his feet In that valley whose grass and flowers are fairer than cleft emerald and Indian wood, and brighter than scarlet and silver, they are singing who in the world were Lings, but the lips of Rudolph of Hapsburg do not move to the music of the others, and Philip of France beats his breast and Henry of England sits alone On and on we go, climbing the marvellous stair, and the stars become larger than their wont, and the song of the Lings grows faint, and at length we reach the seven trees of gold and the garden of the Earthly Paradise In a griffin-drawn chariot appears one whose brows are bound with olive, who is veiled in white, and mantled in green, and robed in a vesture that is coloured like live fire The ancient flame wakes within us Our blood quickens through terrible pulses We recognise her It is Beatrice, the woman we have worshipped. The ice congealed about our heart melts Wild tears of anguish break from

us, and we how our forehead to the ground, for we know that we have sinned. When we have done penance, and are pumiled, and have drunk of the forntain of Lethe and bathed in the formtain of Europe, the mistress of our soul raises us to the Paradise of Heaven. 'Out of that eternal pearly the moon, the face of Piccards Donati leans to us. Her beauty troubles us for a moment and when, Lite a turng that falls through mater she passes away we gaze after ner with wistful eves. The sweet planet of Venus is full of lovers Cunizza, the sister of Emelin the lady of Sordello's heart, is there. and Folco the possionate singer of Provence who in surrow for Azalas forsook the world and the Canadartish harlot whose soul was the first that Christ redeemed. Jeachim of Flora stands in the sun, and, in the sun, Agrinas recounts the story of St. Francis and Bonaventure the stery of St. Dominic. Through the burning rub es of Mars, Cacciaguida approaches. He tells us of the arrow that is shot from the boof emle and how sait tastes the bread of another. and now steep are the stars in the house of a stranger. In Saturn the soul sings not and even she tho guides as dare not smile. On a ledder of gold the fames rise and fail. At last we see the pageant of the M-strail Rose. Pestince fixes her eyes upon the face of God to

turn them not again. The bentific vision is granted to us, we know the Love that moves the sun and all the stars

Yes, we can put the earth back six hundred courses and make ourselves one with the great Florentine, kneel at the same altar with him, and share his rapture and his scorn. And if we grow tired of an antique time, and desire to realise our own age in all its weariness and sin, are there not books that can make us live more in one single hour than life can make us live in a score of shameful years? Close to your hand lies a little volume, bound in some Nile-green skin that has been powdered with gilded nenuphars and smoothed with hard ivory. It is the book that Gautier loved, it is Baudelaire's masterpiece. Open it at that sad madrigal that begins

Que m'importe que tu sois sage? Sois belle! et sois triste!

and you will find yourself worshipping sorrow as you have never worshipped joy. Pass on to the poem on the man who tortures himself, let its subtle music steal into your brain and colour your thoughts, and you will become for a moment what he was who wrote it, nay, not for a moment only, but for many barren moonlit nights and sunless sterile days will a despair that is not your own make its dwelling within

you, and the misery of another gnaw your heart away Read the whole book, suffer it to tell even one of its secrets to your soul, and your soul will grow eager to know more, and will feed upon poisonous honey, and seek to repent of strange crimes of which it is guiltless, and to make atonement for terrible pleasures that it has never known And then, when you are tried of these flowers of evil, turn to the flowers that grow in the garden of Perdita, and in their dew drenched chalices cool your fevered brow, and let their loveliness heal and restore your soul, or wake from his forgotten tomb the sweet Syrian, Meleager, and bid the lover of Heliodore make you music, for he too has flowers in his song, red pomegianate blossoms, and mrses that smell of myrrh, ringed daffodils and dark blue hyacinths, and marjoram and crinkled ox-eyes Dear to him was the perfume of the bean-field at evening, and dear to him the odorous eared-spikenard that giew on the Syman hills, and the fresh green thyme, the wine cup's charm The feet of his love as she walked in the garden were like lilies set upon lilies Softer than sleep-laden poppy petals were her lips, softer than violets and as scented The flame-like crocus sprang from the grass to look at her For her the shm narcissus stored the cool rain, and for her the anemones forgot the

Sicilian winds that wood them And neither crocus, nor anemone, nor narcissus was as fair as she was

It is a strange thing, this transference of emotion We sicken with the same maladies as the poets, and the singer lends us his pain Dead lips have their message for us, and hearts that have fallen to dust can communicate their joy We run to hiss the bleeding mouth of Tantine, and we follow Manon Lescaut over the whole world Ours is the love-madness of the Tyrian, and the terror of Orestes is ours also There is no passion that we cannot feel, no pleasure that we may not gratify, and we can choose the time of our initiation and the time of our freedom also Life! Life! Don't let us go to life for our fulfilment or our experience It is a thing narrowed by circumstances, incoherent in its utterance, and without that fine correspondence of form and spirit which is the only thing that can satisfy the artistic and critical temperament. It makes us pay too high a price for its wares, and we purchase the meanest of its secrets at a cost that is monstrous and infinite

Ennest Must we go, then, to Art for everything?

GILBERT For everything Because Art does not hurt us The tears that we shed at a play

are a type of the exquisite sterile emotions that it is the function of Art to awaken We weep, but we are not wounded We grieve, but our grief is not bitter. In the actual life of man, sorrow, as Spinoza says somewhere, is a passage to a lesser perfection But the sorrow with which Art fills us both purifies and initiates, if I may quote once more from the great art critic of the Greeks It is through Art, and through Art only, that we can realise our perfection, through Art, and through Art only, that we can shield ourselves from the sordid perils of actual existence This results not merely from the fact that nothing that one can imagine is worth doing, and that one can imagine everything, but from the subtle law that emotional forces, like the forces of the physical sphere, are limited in extent and energy. One can feel so much, and no more And how can it matter with what pleasure life tries to tempt one, or with what prin it seeks to mrim and mar one's soul, if in the spectacle of the lives of those who have never existed one has found the true secret of joy, and wept away one's tears over their deaths who, like Cordelia and the daughter of Brabantio, can never dic?

Trivist Stop a moment. It seems to me that in everything that you have said there is something radically immoral.

GILBERT All art is immoral ERNEST All art?

GILBERT Yes For emotion for the sake of emotion is the aim of ait, and emotion for the sake of action is the aim of life, and of that practical organisation of life that we call society Society, which is the beginning and basis of morals, exists simply for the concentration of human energy, and en order to ensure its own continuance and healthy stability it demands, and no doubt rightly demands, of each of its citizens that he should contribute some form of productive labour to the common weal, and toil and travail that the day's work may be done Society often forgives the criminal, it never forgives the dreamer The beautiful sterile emotions that art excites in us are hateful in its eyes, and so completely are people dominated by the tyranny of this dreadful social ideal that they are always coming shamelessly up to one at Private Views and other places that are open to the general public, and saying in a loud stentonan voice, 'What are you doing?' whereas 'What are you thinking?' is the only question that any single civilised being should ever be allowed to whisper to another They mean well, no doubt, these honest beaming folk. Perhaps that is the reason why they are so excessively tedious But some one should teach

not satisfy our temperaments, and religious ecstasy is out of date. The world through which the Academic philosopher becomes 'the spectator of all time and of all existence' is not really an ideal world, but simply a world of abstract ideas When we enter it, we starve amidst the chill mathematics of thought The courts of the city of God are not open to us now Its gates are guarded by Ignorance, and to pass them we have to surrender all that in our nature is most divine. It is enough that our fathers believed They have exhausted the faith-faculty of the species Their legacy to us is the scepticism of which they were afraid. Had they put it into words, it might not live within us as thought. No, Ernest, no We cannot go back to the saint There is far more to be learned from the sinner We cannot go back to the philosopher, and the mystic leads us astray Who, as Mr Pater suggests somewhere, would exchange the curve of a single rose-leaf for that formless intangible Being which Plato rates so high? What to us is the Illumination of Philo, the Abyss of Eckhart, the Vision of Bohme, the monstrous Heaven itself that was revealed to Swedenborg's blinded eyes? Such things are less than the yellow trumpet of one daffodil of the field, far less than the meanest of the visible arts, for, just as Nature is matter struggling

into mind, so Art is mind expressing itself under the conditions of matter, and thus, even in the lowliest of her manifestations, she speaks to both sense and soul alike. To the æsthetic temperament the vague is always, repellent. The Greeks were a nation of artists, because they were spared the sense of the infinite. Like Aristotle, like Goethe after he had read Kant, we desire the concrete, and nothing but the concrete can satisfy us

ERNEST What then do you propose?

GILBERT It seems to me that with the development of the critical spirit we shall be able to realise, not merely our own lives, but the collective life of the race, and so to make ourselves absolutely modern, in the true meaning of the word modernity For he to whom the present is the only thing that is present, knows nothing of the age in which he lives To realise the nineteenth century, one must realise every century that has preceded it and that his contributed to its making To know anything about oneself one must know all about others There must be no mood with which one cannot sympathise, no dead mode of life that one cannot make alive Is this impossible? I think not. By revealing to us the absolute mechanism of all action, and so freeing us from the selfimposed and trammelling burden of moral

responsibility, the scientific principle of Heredity has become, as it were, the warrant for the contemplative life. It has shown us that we are never less free than when we try to act. It has hemmed us round with the nets of the hunter, and written upon the wall the prophecy of our doom. We may not watch it, for it is within us. We may not see it, save in a mirror that mirrors the soul. It is Nemesis without her mask. It is the last of the Fates, and the most terrible. It is the only one of the Gods whose real name we know.

And yet, while in the sphere of practical and external life it has robbed energy of its freedom and activity of its choice, in the subjective sphere, where the soul is at work, it comes to us, this terrible shadow, with many gifts in its hands, gifts of strange temperaments and subtle susceptibilities, gifts of wild ardours and chill moods of indifference, complex multiform gifts of thoughts that are at variance with each other, and passions that war against themselves And so, it is not our own life that we live, but the lives of the dead, and the soul that dwells within us is no single spiritual entity, making us personal and individual, created for our service, and entering into us for our joy It is something i that has dwelt in fearful places, and in ancient sepulchres has made its abode. It is sick with

these countless lives? Yes it is the imagination; and the imagination is the result of heredity. It is simply concentrated race-experience.

ERNEST But where in this is the function of the critical spirit?

GILBERT The culture that this transmission of racial experiences makes possible can be made perfect by the critical spirit alone, and indeed may be said to be one with it. For who is the true critic but he who bears within himself the dreams, and ideas, and feelings of myriad generations, and to whom no form of thought is alien, no emotional impulse obscure? And who the true man of culture, if not he who by fine scholarship and fastidious rejection has made instinct self-conscious and intelligent, and can separate the work that has distinction from the work that has it not, and so by contact and comparison makes himself master of the secrets of style and school, and understands their meanings, and listens to their voices, and develops that spirit of disinterested curiosity which is the real root, as it is the real flower, of the intellectual life, and thus attains to intellectual clarity, and, having learned 'the best that is known and thought in the world,' lives-it is not fanciful to say so-with those who are the Immortals

Yes, Ernest the contemplative life, the life

that has for its aim not doing but being, and not bring merely, but becoming-that is what the critical spirit can give us The gods live thus: either brooding over their own perfection, as Austotle tells us, or, as Epicurus fancied, watching with the calm eyes of the spectator the tragi-comedy of the world that they have made. We, too, might live like them, and set ourselves to witness with appropriate, emotions the varied scenes that man and nature afford We might make ourselves spiritual by detaching ourselves from action, and become perfect by the rejection of energy It has often seemed to me that Browning felt something of this Shakespeare hurls Hamlet into active life, and makes him realise his mission by effort Browning might have given us a Hamlet who would have realised his mission by thought. Incident and event were to him unreal or unmeaning made the soul the protagonist of life's tragedy, and looked on action as the one undramatic element of a play Γ To us, at any rate, the BIO Σ OEΩPHTIKOΣ is the true ideal From the high tower of Thought we can look out at the world Calm, and self-centred, and complete, the æsthetic critic contemplates life, and no arrow drawn at a venture can pierce between the joints of his harness He at least is safe. He has discovered how to live

Is such a mode of life immoral? Yes all the arts are immoral, except those baser forms of sensual or didactic art that seek to excite to action of evil or of good For action of every kind belongs to the sphere of ethics The aim of art is simply to create a mood Is such a mode of life unpractical? Ah! it is not so easy to be unpractical as the ignorant Philistine imagines It were well for England if it were There is no country in the world so much in need of unpractical people as this country of ours With us, Thought is degraded by its constant association with practice Who that moves in the stress and turmoil of actual existence, noisy politician, or brawling social reformer, or poor narrow-minded priest blinded by the sufferings of that unimportant section of the community among whom he has cast his lot, can seriously claim to be able to form a disinterested intellectual judgment about any one thing? Each of the professions means a prejudice The necessity for a career forces every one to take sides We live in the age of the overworked, and the under-educated, the age in which people are so industrious that they become absolutely stupid And, harsh though it may sound, I cannot help saying that such people deserve their doom The sure way of knowing nothing about life is to try to make oneself useful

ERNEST A cliarming doctrine, Gilbert.

GILBERT I am not sure about that, but it has at least the minor ment of being true That the desire to do good to others produces a plentiful crop of prigs is the least of the evils of which it is the cause. The prig is a very interesting psychological study, and though of all poses a moral pose is the most offensive, still to have a pose at all is something. It is a formal recognition of the importance of treating life from a definite and reasoned standpoint That Humanitarian Sympathy wars against Nature, by securing the survival of the failure, may make the man of science loathe its facile virtues The political economist may cry out against it for putting the improvident on the same level as the provident, and so robbing life of the strongest, because most sordid, incentive to industry But, in the eyes of the thinker, the real harm that emotional sympathy does is that it limits knowledge, and so prevents us from solving any single social problem We are trying at present to stave off the coming crisis, the coming revolution as my friends the Fabianists call it, by means of doles and alms Well, when the revolution or crisis arrives, we shall be powerless, because we shall know nothing And so, Ernest, let us not be deceived England will never be civilised till she has added Utopia to her

dominions There is more than one of her colonies that she might with advantage surrender for so fair a land. What we want are unpractical people who see beyond the moment, and think obeyond the day. Those who try to lead the people can only do so by following the mob. It is through the voice of one crying in the wilderness that the ways of the gods must be prepared.

But perhaps you think that in beholding for the mere joy of beholding, and contemplating for the sake of contemplation, there is something that is egotistic. If you think so, do not say so It takes a thoroughly selfish age, like our own, to deify self-sacrifice. It takes a thoroughly grasping age, such as that in which we live, to set above the fine intellectual virtues, those shallow and emotional virtues that are an immediate practical benefit to itself. They miss their aim, too, these philanthropists and sentimentalists of our day, who are always chattering to one about one's duty to one's neighbour For the development of the race depends on the development of the individual, and where selfculture has ceased to be the ideal, the intellectual standard is instantly lowered, and, often, ultimately lost If you meet at dinner a man who has spent his life in educating himself-a lare type in our time, I admit, but still one occasionally to be met with—you rise from table richer, and conscious that a high ideal has for a moment touched and sanctified your days. But oh! my dear Ernest, to sit next to a man who has spent his life in trying to educate others! What a dreadful experience that is! How appalling is that ignorance which is the inevitable result of the fatal habit of imparting opinions! How limited in range the creature's mind proves to be! How it wearies us, and must weary himself, with its endless repetitions and sickly reiteration! How lacking it is in any element of intellectual growth! In what a vicious circle it always moves!

ERNEST You speak with strange feeling, Gilbert Have you had this dreadful experience, as you call it, lately?

GILBERT Few of us escape it People say that the schoolmaster is abroad. I wish to goodness he were. But the type of which, after all, he is only one, and certainly the least important, of the representatives, seems to me to be really dominating our lives, and just as the philanthropist is the nuisance of the ethical sphere, so the nuisance of the intellectual sphere is the man who is so occupied in trying to educate others, that he has never had any time to educate himself. No, Ernest, self-culture is the true ideal of man. Goothe say it, and the

immediate debt that we owe to Goethe is greater than the debt we owe to any man since Greek days. The Greeks saw it, and have left us, as their legacy to modern thought, the conception of the contemplative life as well as the critical method by which alone can that life be truly realised. It was the one thing that made the Renaissance great, and gave us Humanism. It is the one thing that could make our own age great also, for the real weakness of England lies, not in incomplete armaments or unfortified coasts, not in the poverty that creeps through sunless lanes, or the drunkenness that brawls in loathsome courts, but simply in the fact that her ideals are emotional and not intellectual

I do not deny that the intellectual ideal is difficult of attainment, still less that it is, and perhaps will be for years to come, unpopular with the crowd. It is so easy for people to have sympathy with suffering. It is so difficult for them to have sympathy with thought. Indeed, so little do ordinary people understand what thought really is, that they seem to imagine that, when they have said that a theory is dangerous, they have pronounced its condemnation, whereas it is only such theories that have any true intellectual value. An idea that is not dangerous is unworthy of being called an idea at all

ERNEST Gilbert, you bewilder me. You have

of the critic as being in his own way as creative as the irtist, whose work, indeed, may be merely of value in so far as it gives to the critic a suggestion for some new mood of thought and feeling which he can realise with equal, or perhaps greater, distinction of form, and, through the use of a fresh medium of expression, make differently beautiful and more perfect. Well, you seemed to be a little sceptical about the theory But perhaps I wronged you?

ERNEST I am not really sceptical about it, but I must admit that I feel very strongly that such work as you describe the critic producing—and creative such work must undoubtedly be admitted to be—is, of necessity, purely subjective, whereas the greatest work is objective always, objective and impersonal

GILBERT The difference between objective and subjective work is one of external form merely. It is accidental, not essential. All artistic creation is absolutely subjective. The very landscape that Corot looked at was, as he said himself, but a mood of his own mind, and those great figures of Greek or English drama that seem to us to possess an actual existence of their own, apart from the poets who shaped and fashioned them, are, in their ultimate analysis, simply the poets themselves, not as

they thought they were, but as they thought they were not, and by such thinking came in strange manner, though but for a moment, really so to be For out of ourselves we can never pass, nor can there be in creation what in the creator was not Nay, I would say that the more objective a creation appears to be, the more subjective it really is Shakespeare might have met Rosencrantz and Guildenstern in the white streets of London, or seen the servingmen of rival houses bite their thumbs at each other in the open square, but Hamlet came out of his soul, and Romeo out of his passion They were elements of his nature to which he gave visible form, impulses that stirred so strongly within him that he had, as it were perforce, to suffer them to realise their energy, not on the lower plane of actual life, where they would have been trammelled and constrained and so made imperfect, but on that imaginative plane of art where Love can indeed find in Death its rich fulfilment, where one can stab the eavesdropper behind the arras, and wrestle in a new-made grave, and make a guilty king drink his own hurt, and see one's father's spirit, beneath the glimpses of the moon, stalking in complete steel from misty wall to wall Action being limited would have left Shakespeare unsatisfied and unexpressed, and, just

as it is because he did nothing that he has been able to achieve everything, so it is because he never speaks to us of himself in his plays that his plays reveal him to us absolutely, and show us his true nature and temperament far more completely than do those strange and exquisite sonnets, even, in which he bares to crystal eyes the secret closet of his heart. Yes, the objective form is the most subjective in matter. Man is least himself when he talks in his own person. Give him a mask, and he will tell you the truth

ERNEST The critic, then, being limited to the subjective form, will necessarily be less able fully to express himself than the artist, who has always at his disposal the forms that are impersonal and objective

GILBERT Not necessarily, and certainly not at all if he recognises that each mode of criticism is, in its highest development, simply a mood, and that we are never more true to ourselves than when we are inconsistent. The esthetic critic, constant only to the principle of beauty in all things, will ever be looking for fresh impressions, winning from the various schools the secret of their charm, bowing, it may be, before foreign alters, or similing, if it be his fancy, at strange new gods. What other people call one's past has, no doubt, everything to do with them, but has absolutely nothing to do with

oneself. The man who regards his past is a man who descries to have no future to look forward to When one has found expression for a mood, one has done with it You laugh, but believe me it is so Yesterday it was Realism that charmed one One gained from it that nouncau frisson which it was its aim to produce One analysed it, explained it, and weiried of it At sunset came the Lumingste in punting, and the Symboliste in poetry, and the spirit of mediavilism, that spirit which belongs not to time but to temperament, woke suddenly in wounded Russia, and stirred us for a moment by the terrible fascination of pain. To-day the ery is for Rom mee, and already the leaves are tremulous in the valley, and on the purple hill-tops walks Beinty with slim gilded feet. The old modes of creation linger, of course. The artists reproduce either themselves or each other, with wearisome iteration. But Criticism is alway moving on, and the critic is always developing

Nor, again, is the critic really limited to the subjective form of expression. The method of the drama is his, as well as the method of the epos. He may use dialogue, as he did who set Milton talling to Marvel on the nature of comedy and tragedy, and made Sidney and Lord Brooke discourse on letters beneath the Penshurst only,

or adopt non don, as Mr. Pater is foul of doing, each of who e Imaginary Portratte to not that the title of the book? presents to us, under the Constal pairs of hellon, come fine and exqubite piece of eraleiem, one on the painter Wattenn, another on the philosophy of Spinaza, a third on the Payen elements of the early Repair sance, and the fact, and in come respects the most my per tive, on the concest of that Aufl Brung, that cullplitening which downed on Germany in the In teentury, and to which our own culture owen co preat a debt. Dialogue, certainty, that wondesful literary form which, from Plato to facian, and from Lucian to Glordano Bruno, and from Bruno to that proud old Papan in whom Carlyle tool such delight, the exentive critics of the world have always employed, can never lose for the thinker its attraction as a mode of expression, By its means be can both reyeal and conceal him rell, and give form to every fancy, and reality to every mood. By its means he can exhibit the object from each point of view, and show it to us in the round, as a sculptor shows as things, calling in this manner all the richness and reality of effect that comes from those side banes that are suddenly supposted by the central idea in the progress, and really fluming the idea more completely, or from those felfellous after-thoughts that give a faller completeness to the central

the critic has at his disposal all objective forms, I wish you would tell me what are the qualities that should characterise the true critic.

GILBERT What would you say they were?

Ennest Well, I should say that a critic should above all things be fair

GILBERT Ah! not fair A critic cannot be fur in the ordinary sense of the word. It is only about things that do not interest one that one can give a really unbiassed opinion, which is no doubt the reason why an unbiassed opinion is always absolutely valueless The man who sees both sides of a question, is a man who sees absolutely nothing at all Art is a passion, and, in matters of art, Thought is inevitably coloured by emotion, and so is fluid rather than fixed, and, depending upon fine moods and exquisite moments, cannot be narrowed into the rigidity of a scientific formula or a theological dogma It is to the soul that Art speaks, and the soul may be made the prisoner of the mind as well as of the body One should, of course, have no prejudices, but, as a great Frenchman remarked a hundred years ago, it is one's business in such matters to have preferences, and when one has preferences one ceases to be fair. It is only an auctioneer who can equally and impartially admire all schools of Art No, fairness is not one of the qualities of the true critic. It is not even

a condition of critcism Each form of Ait with which we come in contact dominates us for the moment to the exclusion of every other form. We must surrender ourselves absolutely to the work in question, whatever it may be, if it e wish to gain its secret. For the time, we must think of nothing else, and think of nothing else, indeed.

ERNEST The true critic, will be rational, at any rate, will he not?

Gilbert Rational? There are two ways of dishking art, Ernest One is to dishke it. The other, to like it rationally. For Art, as Plato saw, and not without regret, creates in listener and spectator a form of divine madness. It does not spring from inspiration, but it makes others inspired. Reason is not the faculty to which it appeals. If one loves Art at all, one must love it beyond all other things in the world, and against such love, the reason, if one listened to it, would cry out. There is nothing sane about the worship of beauty. It is too splendid to be sane. Those of whose lives it forms the dominant note will always seem to the world to be pure visionaries.

Envest Well, at least, the critic will be sincere

GILBERT A little sincerity is a dangerous thing, and a great deal of it is absolutely fatal

The true critic will, indeed, always be sincere in his devotion to the principle of beauty, but he will seek for beauty in every age and in each school, and will never suffer himself to be limited to any settled custom of thought, or stercotyped mode of looking at things He will realise himself in many forms, and by a thousand different ways, and will ever be curious of new sensations and fresh points of view Through constant change, and through constant change alone, he will find his true unity He will not consent to be the slave of his own opinions. For what is mind but motion in the intellectual sphere? The essence of thought, as the essence of life, is growth You must not be frightened by words, Ernest. What people call insincerity is simply a method by which we can multiply our personalities

ERNEST I am afraid I have not been foitunate in my suggestions

GILBERT Of the three qualifications you mentioned, two, sincerity and fairness, were, if not actually moral, at least on the borderland of morals, and the first condition of criticism is that the critic should be able to recognise that the sphere of Art and the sphere of Ethics are absolutely distinct and separate When they are confused, Chaos has come again They are too often confused in England now,

and though our modern Puritans cannot destroy a beautiful thing, yet, by means of their extraordinary prurience, they can almost taint beauty for a moment It is chiefly, I regiet to say, through journalism that such people find expression I regret it because there is much to be said in favour of modern journalism By giving us the opinions of the uneducated, it keeps us in touch with the ignorance of the community By carefully chronicling the current events of contemporary life, it shows us of what very little importance such events really are By invariably discussing the unnecessary, it makes us understand what things are requisite for culture, and what are not But it should not allow poor Tartuffe to write articles upon modern art When it does this it stultifies itself And yet Tartuffe's articles and Chadband's notes do this good, at least They serve to show how extremely limited is the area over which ethics, and ethical considerations, can claim to exercise influence. Science is out of the reach of morals, for her eyes are fixed upon eternal truths Art is out of the reach of morals, for her eyes are fixed upon things beautiful and immortal and ever-changing To morals belong the lower and less intellectual spheres However, let these mouthing Puritans pass, they have their comic side

Who can help laughing when an ordinary journalist seriously proposes to limit the subject-matter at the disposal of the artist? Some limitation might well, and will soon, I hope, be placed upon some of our newspapers and newspaper writers For they give us the bald, sordid, disgusting facts of life They chronicle, with degrading avidity, the sins of the secondrate, and with the conscientiousness of the illiterate give us accurate and prosaic details of the doings of people of absolutely no interest whatsoever But the artist, who accepts the facts of life, and yet transforms them into shapes of beauty, and makes them vehicles of pity or of awe, and shows their colour-element, and their wonder, and their true ethical import also, and builds out of them a world more real than reality itself, and of loftier and more noble import—who shall set limits to him? Not the apostles of that new Journalism which is but the old vulgarity 'writ large' Not the apostles of that new Puritanism, which is but the whine of the hypocrite, and is both writ and spoken badly The mere suggestion is ridiculous Let us leave these wicked people, and proceed to the discussion of the artistic qualifications necessary for the true critic

ERNEST And what are they? Tell me yourself

GILBERT. Temperament is the primary requisite for the critic-a temperament exquisitely susceptible to beauty and to the various impressions that beauty gives us Under what conditions, and by what means this temperament is engendered in race or individual, we will not discuss at present. It is sufficient to note that it exists, and that there is in us a beauty-sense, separate from the other senses and above them, separate from the reason and of nobler import, separate from the soul and of equal value—a sense that leads some to create, and others, the finer spirits as I think, to contemplate merely But to be purified and made perfect, this sense requires some form of exquisite environment. Without this it starves or is dulled You remember that lovely passage in which Plato describes how a young Greek should be educated, and with what insistence he dwells upon the importance of surroundings telling us how the lad is to be brought up in the midst of fair sights and sounds so that the beauty of material things may prepare his soul for the reception of the beauty that is spiritual. Insensibly, and without knowing the reason why, he is to develop that real love of beauty which as Plato is never weary of reminding us, is the true aim of education. By slow degrees there is to be engendered in him such a tem-

perament as will lead him naturally and simply to choose the good in preference to the bad, and, rejecting what is vulgar and discordant, to follow by fine instinctive taste all that possesses grace and charm and lovelmess Ultimately, in its due course, this taste is to become critical and self-conscious, but at first it is to exist purely as a cultivated instinct, and 'he who has received this true culture of the inner man will with clear and certain vision perceive the omissions and faults in art or nature, and with a taste that cannot err, while he praises, and finds his pleasure in what is good, and receives it into his soul, and so becomes good and noble, he will rightly blame and hate the bad, now in the days of his youth, even before he is able to know the reason why' and so, when, later on, the critical and self-conscious spirit develops in him, he will recognise and salute it as a friend with whom his education has made him long familiar' I need hardly say, Ernest, how far we in England have fallen short of this ideal, and I can, imagine the smile that would illuminate the glossy face of the Philistine if one ventured to suggest to him that the true aim of education was the love of beauty, and that the methods by which education should work were the development of temperament, the cultivation of taste, and the creation of the critical spirit.

Yet, even for us, there is left some loveliness of environment, and the dulness of tutors and professors matters very little when one can lotter in the grey cloisters at Magdalen, and listen to some flute-like voice singing in Waynfleete's chapel, or he in the green meadow, among the strange snake-spotted fritillanes, and watch the sunburnt noon smite to a finer gold the tower's gilded vanes, or wander up the Christ Church staircase beneath the vaulted ceiling's shadowy fans, or pass through the sculptured gateway of Laud's building in the College of St John Nor 18 1t merely at Oxford, or Cambridge, that the sense of beauty can be formed and trained and perfected All over England there is a Renaissance of the decorative Arts Ugliness has had its day Even in the houses of the rich there is taste, and the houses of those who are not rich have been made gracious and comely and sweet to live in Caliban, poor noisy Caliban, thinks that when he has ceased to make mows at a thing, the thing ceases to exist. But if he mocks no longer, it is because he has been met with mockery, swifter and keener than his own, and for a moment has been bitterly schooled into that silence which should seal for ever his uncouth distorted lips. What has been done up to now, has been chiefly in the clearing

of the way It is always more difficult to destroy than it is to create, and when what one has to destroy is vulgarity and stupidity, the task of destruction needs not merely courage but also contempt. Yet it seems to me to have been, in a measure, done We have got rid of what was bad We have now to make what is beautiful And though the mission of the esthetic movement is to lure people to contemplate, not to lead them to create, yet, as the creative instinct is strong in the Celt, and it is the Celt who leads in art, there is no reason why in future years this strange Renaissance should not become almost as mighty in its way as was that new birth of Art that woke many centuries ago in the cities of Italy

Certainly, for the cultivation of temperament, we must turn to the decorative arts to the arts that touch us, not to the arts that teach us Modern pictures are, no doubt, delightful to look at. At least, some of them are. But they are quite impossible to live with, they are too clever, too assertive, too intellectual Their meaning is too obvious, and their method too clearly defined. One exhausts what they have to say in a very short time, and then they become as tedious as one's relations I am very fond of the work of many of the

Impressionist painters of Paris and London Subtlety and distinction have not, yet left the school Some of their arrangements and harmonies serve to remind one of the unapproachable beauty of Gautier's cimmortal Symphonie en Blanc Majeur, that flawless masterpiece of colour and music which may have suggested the type as well as the titles of many of their best pictures For a class that welcomes the incompetent with sympathetic eagerness, and that confuses bizarre with the beautiful, and vulgarity with truth, they are extremely accomplished They can do etchings that have the brilliancy of epigrams, pastels that are as fascinating as paradoxes, and as for their portraits, whatever the commonplace may say against them, no one can deny that they possess that unique and wonderful charm which belongs to works of pure fiction But even the Impressionists, earnest and industrious as they are, will not do I like them Their white keynote, with its variations in lilac, was an era in colour Though the moment does not make the man, the moment certainly makes the Impressionist, and for the moment in art, and the 'moment's monument,' as Rossetti phrased it, what may not be said? They are suggestive also If they have not opened the eyes of the blind, they have at

least given great encouragement to the shortsighted, and while their leaders may have all the mexperience of old age, their young men are far too wise to be ever sensible Yet they will insist on treating painting as if it were a mode of autobiography invented for the use of the illiterate, and are always prating to us on their coarse gritty canvases of their unnecessary selves and their unnecessary opinions, and spoiling by a vulgar over-emphasis that fine contempt of nature which is the best and only modest thing about them One tires, at the end, of the work of individuals whose individuality is always noisy, and generally uninteresting. There is far more to be said in favour of that newer school at Paris, the Archaecistes, as they call themselves, who, refusing to leave the artist entirely at the mercy of the weather, do not find the ideal of art in mere atmospheric effect, but seek rather for the imaginative beauty of design and the loveliness of fair colour, and rejecting the tedious realism of those who merely paint what they see, try to see something worth seeing, and to see it not merely with actual and physical vision, but with that nobler vision of the soul which is as far wider in spiritual scope as it is far more splendid in artistic purpose They, at any rate, work under those decorative conditions that each art requires for its perfection, and have sufficient

æsthetic instinct to regret those sordid and stupid limitations of absolute modernity of form which have proved the ruin of so many of the Impressionists Still, the art that is frankly decorative is the art to live with It is, of all our visible arts, the one art that creates in us both mood and temperament Mere colour, unspoiled by meaning, and unallied with definite form, can speak to the soul in a thousand different ways The harmony that resides in the delicate proportions of lines and masses becomes mirrored in the mind The repetitions of pattern give us rest. The marvels of design stir the imagination In the mere loveliness of the materials employed there are latent elements of culture. Nor is this all By its deliberate rejection of Nature as the ideal of beauty, as well as of the imitative method of the ordinary painter, decorative art not merely prepares the soul for the reception of true imaginative work, but develops in it that sense of form which is the basis of creative no less than of critical achievement. For the real artist is he who proceeds, not from feeling to form, but from form to thought and passion He does not first conceive an idea, and then say to himself, 'I will put my idea into a complex metre of fourteen lines,' but, realising the beauty of the sonnetscheme, he conceives certain modes of music and methods of rhyme, and the mere form suggests what is to fill it and make it intellectually and emotionally complete. From time to time the world cries out against some charming artistic poet, because, to use its hackneyed and silly plirase, he firs 'nothing to say.' But if he had something to say, he would probably say it, and the result would be tedious. It is just because he has no new message, that he can do beautiful work. He gains his inspiration from form, and from form purely, as an artist should. A real passion would ruin him. Whatever actually occurs is spoiled for art. All bad poetry springs from genuine feeling. To be natural is to be obvious, and to be obvious is to be inartistic.

Eners I wonder do you really believe what you say?

Givern Why should you wonder? It is not merely in art that the body is the soul. In every sphere of life Form is the beginning of things. The rhythmic harmonious gestures of dancing convey, Plato tells us, both rhythm and harmony into the mind. Forms are the food of faith, cried Newman in one of those great moments of sincerity that make us admire and know the man. He was right, though he may not have known how terribly right he was. The Creeds are believed, not because they are rational, but because they are repeated. Yes Form is everything. It is the secret of life. Find expression

for a sorrow, and it will become dear to you Find expression for a joy, and you intensify its Do you wish to love? Use Love's Litany, and the words will create the yearning from which the world fancies that they spring Have you a grief that corrodes your heart? Steep yourself in the language of grief, learn its utterance from Prince Hamlet and Queen Constance, and you will find that mere expression is a mode of consolation, and that Form, which is the birth of passion, is also the death of pain And so, to return to the sphere of Art, it is Form that creates not merely the critical temperament, but also the esthetic instinct, that unerring instinct that reveals to one all things under their conditions of beauty Start with the worship of form, and there is no secret in art that will not be revealed to you, and remember that in criticism, as in creation, temperament is everything, and that it is, not by the time of their production, but by the temperaments to which they appeal, that the schools of art should be historically grouped

ERNEST Your theory of education is delightful But what influence will your critic, brought up in these exquisite surroundings, possess? Do you really think that any artist is ever affected by criticism?

GILBERT The influence of the critic will be

the mere fact of his own existence. He will represent the flawless type In him the culture of the century will see itself realised You must not ask of him to have any aim other than the perfecting of himself. The demand of the intellect, as has been well said, is simply to feel itself alive The critic may, indeed, desire to exercise influence, but, if so, he will concern himself not with the individual, but with the age, which he will seek to wake into consciousness, and to make responsive, creating in it new desires and appetites, and lending it his larger vision and his nobler moods actual art of to-day will occupy him less than the art of to-morrow, far less than the art of yesterday, and as for this or that person at present toiling away, what do the industrious matter? They do their best, no doubt, and consequently we get the worst from them It is always with the best intentions that the worst work is done. And besides, my dear Ernest, when a man reaches the age of forty, or becomes a Royal Academician, or is elected a member of the Athenœum Club, or is recognised as a popular novelist, whose books are in great demand at suburban railway stations, one may have the amusement of exposing him, but one cannot have the pleasure of reforming him And this is, I dare say, very

fortunate for him, for I have no doubt that reformation is a much more painful process than punishment, is indeed punishment in its most aggravated and moral form—a fact which accounts for our entire failure as a community to reclaim that interesting phenomenon who is called the confirmed criminal

ERNEST But may it not be that the poet is the best judge of poetry, and the painter of painting? Each art must appeal primarily to the artist who works in it. His judgment will surely be the most valuable?

GILBERT The appeal of all art is simply to the artistic temperament Art does not address herself to the specialist. Her claim is that she is universal, and that in all her manifestations she is one Indeed, so far from its being true that the artist is the best judge of art, a really great artist can never judge of other people's work at all, and can hardly, in fact, judge of his own. That very concentration of vision that makes a man an artist, limits by its sheer intensity his faculty of fine appreciation. The energy of creation hurries him blindly on to his own goal The wheels of his chariot raise the dust as a cloud around him The gods are hidden from each other They can recognise their worshippers That is all

Enner You say that a great artist cannot recognise, the beauty of work different from his own

Guerar It is impossible for him to do so Wordsworth saw in Endymion merely a pretty piece of Paganism, and Shelley, with his dishle of actuality, was deaf to Wordsworth's message, being repelled by its form, and Byron, that great passionate human incomplete creature, could appreciate neither the poet of the cloud nor the poet of the lake, and the wonder of Keats was hudden from him The realism of Euripides was hateful to Sophokles. Those droppings of warm tears had no music for him Milton, with his sense of the grand style, could not understand the method of Shakespeare, any more than could Sir Joshua the method of Gunsborough Bad artists always admire each other's work. They call it being large-minded and free from pre-But a truly great artist caunot conceive of life being shown, or beauty fashioned, under any conditions other than those that he has selected Creation employs all its critical faculty within its own sphere It may not use it in the sphere that belongs to others. It is exactly because a man cannot do a thing that he is the proper judge of it.

Envest Do you really mean that?

GILBERT Yes, for creation limits, while contemplation widens, the vision

ERNEST But what about technique? Surely each art has its separate technique?

GILBERT Certainly each art has its grammar and its materials There is no mystery about either, and the incompetent can always be correct. But, while the laws upon which Art rests may be fixed and certain, to find their true realisation they must be touched by the imagination into such beauty that they will seem an exception, each one of them Technique is really personality. That is the reason why the artist cannot teach it, why the pupil cannot learn it, and why the esthetic critic can understand it To the great poet, there is only one method of music - his own To the great painter, there is only one manner of painting—that which he himself employs esthetic critic, and the esthetic critic alone, can appreciate all forms and modes It is to him that Art makes her appeal.

ERNEST Well, I think I have put all my questions to you And now I must admit—

GILBERT Ah! don't say that you agree with me. When people agree with me I always feel that I must be wrong

ERNEST In that case I certainly won't tell you whether I agree with you or not But I will put

another question. You have explained to me that criticism is a creative art. What future has it?

GILBERT It is to criticism that the future belongs The subject-matter at the disposal of creation becomes every day more limited in extent and variety Providence and Mr Walter Besant have exhausted the obvious If creation is to last at all, it can only do so on the condition of becoming far more critical than it is at present. The old roads and dusty highways have been traversed too often Their charm has been worn away by plodding feet, and they have lost that element of novelty or surprise which is so essential for romance He who would stir us now by fiction must either give us an entirely new background, or reveal to us the soul of man in its innermost workings. The first is for the moment being done for us by Mr Rudyard Kipling As one turns over the pages of his Plain Tales from the Hills, one feels as if one were seated under a palm-tree reading life by superb flashes of vulgarity The bright colours of the bazaars dazzle one's eyes The jaded, second-rate Anglo-Indians are in exquisite incongruity with their surroundings The mere lack of style in the story-teller gives an odd nournalistic realism to what he tells us From the point of view of literature Mr Kipling is a

genius who drops his aspirates From the point of view of life, he is a reporter who knows vulgarity better than any one has ever known it Dickens knew its clothes and its comedy Mr Kipling knows its essence and its ceriousness He is our first authority on the second-rate, and has seen marvellous things through keyholes, and his backgrounds are real works of art. As for the second condition, we have had Browning, and Meredith is with us. But there is still much to be done in the sphere of introspection People sometimes say that fiction is getting too morbid As far as psychology is concerned, it has never been morbid enough We have merely touched the surface of the soul, that is In one single ivory cell of the brain there are stored away things more marvellous and more terrible than even they have dreamed of, who, like the author of Le Rouge et le Noir, have sought to track the soul into its most secret places, and to make life confess its dearest sins Still, there is a limit even to the number of untried backgrounds, and it is possible that a further development of the habit of introspection may prove fatal to that creative faculty to which it seeks to supply fresh material. myself am inclined to think that creation is doomed. It springs from too primitive, too natural an impulse. However this may be, it is certain that the subject-matter at the disposal of creation is always diminishing, while the subject-matter of criticism increases daily. There are always new attitudes for the mind, and new points of view. The duty of imposing form upon chaos does not grow less as the world advances. There was never a time when Criticism was more needed than it is now. It is only by its means that Humanity can become conscious of the point at which it has arrived

Hours ago, Ernest, you asked me the use of Criticism You might just as well have asked me the use of thought. It is Criticism, as Arnold points out, that creates the intellectual tmosphere of the age It is Criticism, as I hope to point out myself some day, that makes the mind a fine instrument We, in our educational system, have burdened the memory with a load of unconnected facts, and laborously striven to impart our laboriously-acquired knowledge We teach people how to remember, we never teach them how to grow It has never occurred to us to try and develop in the mind a more subtle quality of apprehension and discernment. The Greeks did this, and when we come in contact with the Greek critical intellect, we cannot but be conscious that, while our subject-matter is in every respect larger and more varied than theirs, theirs is the only method by which this subject-matter can be interpreted. England has done one thing; it has invented and established Public Opinion, which is an attempt to organise the ignorance of the community, and to elevate it to the dignity of physical force. But Wisdom has always been hidden from it. Considered as an instrument of thought, the English mixed is coarse and undeveloped. The only thing that can purify it is the growth of the critical instinct.

It is Criticism, again, that, by concentration, makes culture possible It takes the cumbersome mass of creative work, and distils it into a finer essence Who that desires to retain any sense of form could struggle through the monstrous multitudinous books that the world has produced, books in which thought stammers or ignorance brawls? The thread that is to guide us across the wearisome labyrinth is in the hands of Criticism Nay more, where there is no record, and history is either lost, or was never written, Criticism can re-create the past for us from the very smallest fragment of language or art, just as surely as the man of science can from some tiny bone, or the mere impress of a foot upon a rock, re-create for us the winged dragon or Titan lizard that once made the earth shake beneath its tread, can call Behemoth out of his

cave, and make Leviathan swim once more across the startled sea. Prehistoric history belongs to the philological and archæological critic. It is to him that the origins of things are revealed The self-conscious deposits of an age are nearly always misleading Through philological criticism alone we know more of the centuries of which no actual record has been preserved, than we do of the centuries that have left us their scrolls. It can do for us what can be done neither by physics nor metaphysics. It can give us the exact science of mind in the process of becoming It can do for us what History cannot It can tell us what man thought before he learned how to write. You have asked me about the influence of Criticism I think I have answered that question already, but there is this also to be said. It is Criticism that makes us cosmopolitan The Manchester school tried to make men realise the brotherhood of humanity, by pointing out the commercial advantages of It sought to degrade the wonderful world into a common market-place for the buyer and the seller. It addressed itself to the lowest instincts, and it failed War followed upon war, and the tradesman's creed did not prevent France and Germany from clashing together in blood-stained battle There are others of our own day who seek to appeal to mere emotional

sympathies, or to the shallow dogmas of some vague system of abstract ethics. They have their Peace Societies, so dear to the sentimentalists, and their proposals for unarmed International Arbitration, so popular among those who have never read history. But mere emotional sympathy will not do. It is too variable, and too closely connected with the passions, and a board of arbitrators who, for the general welfare of the race, are to be deprived of the power of putting their decisions into execution, will not be of much avail. There is only one thing worse than Injustice, and that is Justice without her sword in her hand. When Right is not Might, it is Evil

No the emotions will not make us cosmopolitan, any more than the greed for gun could do so It is only by the cultivation of the habit of intellectual criticism that we shall be able to rise superior to race-prejudices. Goethe—you will not misunderstand what I say—was a German of the Germans He loved his country—no man more so Its people were dear to him, and he led them Yet, when the iron hoof of Napoleon trampled upon vineyard and cornfield, his lips were silent 'How can one write songs of hatred without hating?' he said to Eckermann, and how could I, to whom culture and barbarism are alone of importance, hate a

nation which is among the most cultivated of the earth, and to which I owe so great a part of my own cultivation?' This note, sounded in the modern world by Goethe first, will become, I thinl, the starting point for the cosmopolitanism Criticism will annihilate raceof the future prejudices, by insisting upon the unity of the human mind in the variety of its forms. If we are tempted to make war upon another nation, we shall remember that we are seeking to destroy an element of our own culture, and possibly its most important element. As long as war is regarded as wicked, it will always have its fascination. When it is looked upon as sulgar, it will cease to be popular. The change will of course be slow, and people will not be conscious of it. They will not say 'We will not war against France because her prose is perfect,' but because the prose of Irance is perfect, they will not hate the land. Intellectual criticism will bind Europe together in bonds for closer than those that can be forged by shopman or sentimentalist. It will give us the peace that springs from understanding

Nor is this all It is Criticism that, recognising no position as final, and refusing to bind itself by the shallow shibboleths of any sect or school, creates that serene philosophic temper which loves truth for its own sake, and loves it not the less because it knows it to be unattainable How little we have of this temper in England, and how much we need it! The English mind is always in a rage The intellect of the race is wasted in the sordid and stupid quarrels of second-rate politicians or third-rate theologians It was reserved for a man of science to show us the supreme example of that 'sweet reasonableness' of which Arnold spoke so wisely, and, alas! to so little effect The author of the Origin of Species had, at any rate, the philosophic temper If one contemplates the ordinary pulpits and platforms of England, one can but feel the contempt of Julian, or the indifference of Montaigne We are dominated by the fanatic, whose worst vice is his sincerity Anything approaching to the free play of the mind is practically unknown amongst us cry out against the sinner, yet it is not the sinful, but the stupid, who are our shame There is no sin except stupidity

Envest Ah! what an antinomian you are!

Gilbert The artistic critic, like the mystic, is an antinomian always. To be good, according to the vulgar standard of goodness, is obviously quite easy. It merely requires a certain amount of sordid terror, a certain lack of imaginative thought, and a certain low passion for middle-class respectability. Æsthetics are higher than

thics They belong to a more spiritual phere To discern the beauty of a thing is he finest point to which we can arrive Even colour-sense is more important, in the deelopment of the individual, than a sense of ight and wrong Æsthetics, in fact, are to Ethics in the sphere of conscious civilisation, what, in the sphere of the external world, exual is to natural selection Ethics, like atural selection, make existence possible Esthetics, like sexual selection, make life lovely and wonderful, fill it with new forms, and give t progress, and variety and change And when ve reach the true culture that is our aim, we ittain to that perfection of which the saints have dreamed, the perfection of those to whom an is impossible, not because they make the enunciations of the ascetic, but because they an do everything they wish without hurt to the soul, and can wish for nothing that can do the soul harm, the soul being an entity so divine that it is able to transform into elements of a ncher experience, or a finer susceptibility, or a newer mode of thought, acts or passions that with the common would be commonplace, or with the uneducated ignoble, or with the shameful vile Is this dangerous? Yes, it is dangerous-all ideas, as I told you, are so But the night wearies, and the light flickers in the lamp. One more thing I cannot help saying to you. You have spoken against Criticism as being a sterile thing. The nineteenth century is a turning point in history, simply on account of the work of two men, Darwin and Renan, the one the critic of the Book of Nature, the other the critic of the books of God. Not to recognise this is to miss the meaning of one of the most important eras in the progress of the world. Creation is always behind the age. It is Criticism that leads us. The Critical Spirit and the World-Spirit are one.

Ennest And he who is in possession of this spirit, or whom this spirit possesses, will, I suppose, do nothing?

GILBERT Like the Persephone of whom Landor tells us, the sweet pensive Persephone around whose white feet the asphodel and amaranth are blooming, he will sit contented 'in that deep, motionless quiet which mortals pity, and which the gods enjoy' He will look out upon the world and know its secret. By contact with divine things he will become divine His will be the perfect life, and his only

ERNEST You have told me many strange things to-night, Gilbert You have told me that it is more difficult to talk about a thing than to do it, and that to do nothing at all is the most difficult thing in the world, you have told me that all Art is immoral, and all thought dangerous, that criticism is more creative than creation, and that the highest criticism is that which reveals in the work of Art what the artist had not put there, that it is exactly because a man cannot do a thing that he is the proper judge of it, and that the true critic is unfair, insincere, and not rational. My friend, you are a dreamer

GILBERT Yes 1 am a dreamer For a dreamer is one who can only find his way by moonlight, and his punishment is that he sees the dawn before the rest of the world

ERNEST His punishment?

GILBERT And his reward But, see, it is dawn already Draw back the curtains and open the windows wide. How cool the morning air is! Piccadilly lies at our feet like a long riband of silver. A faint purple mist hangs over the Park, and the shadows of the white houses are purple. It is too late to sleep. Let us go down to Covent Garden and look at the roses. Come! I am tired of thought.

THE TRUTH OF MASKS A NOTE ON ILLUSION

THE TRUTH OF MASKS

I N many of the somewhat violent attacks that have recently been made on that splendour of mounting which now characterises our Shakespeanan revivals in England, it seems to have been tacitly assumed by the critics that Shakespeare himself was more or less indifferent to the costumes of his actors, and that, could he see Mrs Langtry's production of Antony and Cleopatra, he would probably say that the play. and the play only, is the thing, and that everything else is leather and prunella. While, as regards any historical accuracy in dress, Lord Lytton, in an article in the Nineteenth Century, has laid it down as a dogma of art that archæology is entirely out of place in the presentation of any of Shakespeare's plays, and the attempt to introduce it one of the stupidest pedantries of an age of prigs

Lord Lytton's position I shall examine later on, but, as regards the theory that Shakespeare did not busy himself much about the costumewardrobe of his theatre, anybody who cares to study Shakespeare's method will see that there

colonel should be carreatured. And elsewhere the gorgeousness of apparel which distinguished the English stage under Shakespeare's influence was attacked by the contemporary critics, not as a rule, however, on the grounds of the democratic tendencies of realism, but usually on those moral grounds which are always the last refuge of people who have no sense of beauty

The point, however, which I wish to emphasise is, not that Shakespeare appreciated the value of lovely costumes in adding picturesqueness to poetry, but that he saw how important costume is as a means of producing certain dramatic effects Many of his plays, such as Measure for Measure, Twelfth Night, The Two Gentlemen of Verona, All's Well that Ends Well, Cymbeline, and others, depend for their illusion on the character of the various dresses worn by the hero or the herome, the delightful scene in Henry the Sixth, on the modern miracles of healing by faith, loses all its point unless Gloster is in black and scarlet, and the dénoûment of the Merry Wives of Windsor hinges on the colour of Anne Page's gown As for the uses Shakespeare makes of disguises the instances are almost numberless Posthumus hides his passion under a peasant's garb, and Edgar his pride beneath an idiot's rags, Portia wears the apparel of a lawyer, and Rosalind is attired in 'all points as a man', the

cloak-bag of Pisanio changes Imogen to the youth Fidele, Jessica flees from her father's house in boy's dress, and Julia ties up her yellow hair in fantastic love-knots, and dons hose and doublet, Henry the Eighth woos his lady as a shepherd, and Romeo his as a pilgrifi, Prince Hal and Poins appear first as footpads in buckram suits, and then in white aprons and leather jerkins as the waiters in a tavern and as for Falstiff, does he not come on as a highwayman, as an old woman, as Herne the Hunter, and as the clothes going to the laundry?

Nor are the examples of the employment of costume as a mode of intensifying dramatic situation less numerous After slaughter of Duncan, Macbeth appears in his night-gown as if aroused from sleep, Timon ends in rags the play he had begun in splendour, Richard flatters the London citizens in a suit of mean and shabby armour, and, as soon as he has stepped in blood to the throne, marches through the streets in crown and George and Garter, the climax of The Tempest is reached when Prospero, throwing off his enchanter's robes, sends Ariel for his hat and rapier, and reveals himself as the great Italian Duke, the very Ghost in Hamlet changes his mystical apparel to produce different effects, and as for Juliet, a modern playwright

would probably have laid her out in her shroud, and made the scene a scene of horror merely, but Shakespeare arrays her in rich and gorgeous raiment, whose loveliness makes the vault 'a feasting presence full of light,' turns the tomb into a bridal chamber, and gives the cue and motive for Romeo's speech of the triumph of Beauty over Death

Even small details of dress, such as the colour of a major-domo's stockings, the pattern on a wife's handkerchief, the sleeve of a young soldier, and a fashionable woman's bonnets, become in Shakespeare's hands points of actual dramatic importance, and by some of them the action of the play in question is conditioned absolutely Many other dramatists have availed themselves of costume as a method of expressing directly to the audience the character of n person on his entrance, though hardly so brilliantly as Shakespeare has done in the case of the dandy Parolles, whose dress, by the way, only an archæologist can understand, the fun of a master and servant exchanging coats in presence of the audience, of shipwrecked sailors squabbling over the division of a lot of fine clothes, and of a tinker dressed up like a duke while he is in his cups, may be regarded as part of that great career which costume has always played in comedy from the time of Aristophanes

on the night of his own murder, and the ring of Portia turns the tragedy of the merchant into a wife's comedy. The great rebel York dies with a paper crown on his head, Hamlet's black suit is a kind of colour-motive in the piece, like the mourning of the Chimène in the Cid, and the climax of Antony's speech is the production of Cmsar's cloak—

I remember

The first time ever Cosar put it on 'Twas on a summer's evening, in his tent, The day he overcame the Nervii — Look, in this place ran Cassius' dagger through See what a rent the envious Casca made Through this the well-beloved Brutus stabbed Kind souls, what, weep you when you but behold Our Cosar's vesture wounded?

The flowers which Ophelia carries with her in her madness are as pathetic as the violets that blossom on a grave, the effect of Lear's wandering on the heath is intensified beyond words by his fantastic attire, and when Cloten, stung by the taunt of that simile which his sister draws from her husband's raiment, arrays himself in that husband's very garb to work upon her the deed of shame, we feel that there is nothing in the whole of modern French realism, nothing even in Thérèse Raquin, that masterpiece of horror, which for terrible and tragic signifi-

cance can compare with this strange scene in Cymbeline

In the actual dialogue also some of the most vivid passages are those suggested by costume. Rosalind's

Dost thou think, though I am caparisoned like a man, I have a doublet and hose in my disposition?

Constance's

Grief fills the place of my absent child, Stuffs out his vacant garments with his form, and the quick sharp cry of Elizabeth—

Ah 1 cut my lace asunder !--

are only a few of the many examples one might quote One of the finest effects I have ever seen on the stage was Salvini, in the last act of Lear, tearing the plume from Kent's cap and applying it to Cordelia's lips when he came to the line,

This feather stirs, she lives!

Mi Booth, whose Lear had many noble qualties of passion, plucked, I remember, some fur from his archæologically-incorrect ermine for the same business, but Salvini's was the finer effect of the two, as well as the truer. And those who saw Mr Irving in the last act of Richard the Third have not, I am sure, forgotten how much the agony and terror of his dream

was intensified, by contrast, through the calm and quiet, that preceded it, and the delivery of such lines as

What, is my beaver easier than it was?
And all my armour laid into my tent?
Look that my staves be sound and not too heavy—

lines which had a double meaning for the audience, remembering the last words which Richard's mother called after him as he was marching to Bosworth —

Therefore take with thee my most grievous curse, Which in the day of battle tire thee more Than all the complete armour that thou wear'st.

As regards the resources which Shakespeare had at his disposal, it is to be remarked that, while he more than once complains of the smallness of the stage on which he has to produce big historical plays, and of the want of scenery which obliges him to cut out many effective open-air incidents, he always writes as a dramatist who had at his disposal a most elaborate theatrical wardrobe, and who could rely on the actors taking pains about their make-up. Even now it is difficult to produce such a play as the Comedy of Errors, and to the picturesque accident of Miss Ellen Terry's brother resembling herself we owe the opportunity of seeing Twelfth Night adequately

performed Indeed, to put any play of Shakespeare's on the stage, absolutely as he himself wished it to be done, requires the services of a good property-man, a clever wig-maker, a costumier with a sense of colour and a knowledge of textures, a master of the methods of making-up, a fencing-master, a dancing-master, and an artist to direct personally the whole production For he is most careful to tell us the dress and appearance of each character 'Racine abhorie la realité,' says Auguste Vacquerie somewhere, 'il ne daigne pas s'occuper de son costume Si l'on s'en rapportait aux indications du poète, Agamemnon serait vêtu d'un sceptre et Achille d'une epée' But with Shakespeare it is very different He gives us directions about the costumes of Perdita, Florizel, Autolycus, the Witches in Macbeth, and the apothecary in Romeo and Juliet, several elaborate descriptions of his fat knight, and a detailed account of the extraoidinary garb in which Petruchio is to be married Rosalind, he tells us, is tall, and is to carry a spear and a little dagger, Celia is smaller, and is to paint her face brown so as to look sunburnt. The children who play at fairies in Windsor Forest are to be dressed in white and green-a compliment, by the way, to Queen Elizabeth, whose favourite colours they were-and in white, with green garlands

and gilded vizors, the angels are to come to Katherine in Kimbolton Bottom is in homespun, Lysander is distinguished from Oberon by his wearing an Athenian dress, and Launce has holes in his boots The Duchess of Gloucester stands in a white sheet with her husband in mourning beside her The motley of the Fool, the scarlet of the Cardinal, and the' French liles broidered on the English coats. are all made occasion for jest or taunt in the We know the patterns on the Dauphin's armour and the Pucelle's sword, the crest on Warwick's helmet and the colour of Bardolph's nose Portia has golden hair, Phæbe is black-haired, Orlando has chestnut curls, and Sir Andrew Aguecheek's hair hangs like flax on a distaff, and won't curl at all. Some of the characters are stout, some lean, some straight, some hunchbacked, some fair, some dark, and some are to blacken their faces Lear has a white beard, Hamlet's father a grizzled, and Benedick is to shave his in the course of the play Indeed, on the subject of stage beards Shakespeare is quite elaborate, tells us of the many different colours in use, and gives a hint to actors always to see that their own are properly tied on There is a dance of reapers in rye-straw hats, and of rustics in hairy coats like satyrs, a masque of Amazons, a masque of Russians, and



in La Comédic Hurraire, Théophile Gautier says that Balzac may claim to have invented a new hero in fiction, le héros métallique. Of Shakespeare it may be said he was the first to see the dramatic value of doublets, and that a climix may depend on a crinoline.

The burning of the Globe Theatre-an event due, by the way, to the results of the passion for illusion that distinguished Shakespeare's stage-management-has unfortunately robbed us of many .mportant documents; but in the inventory, still in existence, of the costumewardrobe of a London theatre in Shakespeare's time, there are mentioned particular costumes for cardinals shepherds, kings, clowns, frars and fools, green coats for Robin Hood's men, and a green gown for Mad Marian, a white and gold doublet for Henry the Fifth, and a robe for Longshanks, besides surplices copes, damask gowns, gowns of cloth of gold and of cloth of silver, taffet gowns, calico gowns, velvet coats, satin coats, frieze coats, jerkins of yellow leather and of black leather, red suits, grey suits, Trench Pierrot suits, a robe 'for to goo invisibell,' which seems inexpensive at £3, 10s, and four incomparable fardingales-all of which show a desire to give every character an appropriate dress There are also entries of Spanish, Moorish and Danish costumes, of

helmets, lances, punted shields, imperial crowns, and papal tiaras, as well as of costumes for Turkish Janissaries, Roman Senators, and all the gods and goddesses of Olympus, which evidence a good deal of archæological research on the part of the manager of the theatre. It is true that there is a mention of a bodice for Eve, but probably the donnée of the play was after the Fall

Indeed, anybody who cares to examine the age of Shakespeare will see that archæology was one of its special characteristics After that revival of the classical forms of architecture which was one of the notes of the Renaissance, and the printing at Venice and elsewhere of the masterpieces of Greek and Latin literature, had come naturally an interest in the ornamentation and costume of the antique world Nor was it for the learning that they could acquire, but rather for the loveliness that they might create, that the artists' studied these things The curious objects that were being constantly brought to light by excavations were not left to moulder in a museum, for the contemplation of a callous curator, and the ennui of a policeman bored by the absence of crime They were used as motives for the production of a new art, which was to be not beautiful merely, but also strange

Infessura tells us that in 1485 some workmen digging on the Appian Way came across an old Roman sarcophagus inscribed with the name 'Julia, daughter of Claudius' On opening the coffer they found within its murble fromb the body of a beautiful girl of about fifteen years of age, preserved by the embalmer's skill from corruption and the decay of time Her eyes were half open, her hair rippled round her in erisp curling gold, and from her lips and cheek the bloom of maidenhood had not yet departed Borne back to the Capitol, she became at once the centre of a new cult, and from all parts of the city crowded pilgrims to worship at the wonderful shrine, till the Pope, fearing lest those who had found the secret of beauty in a Pagan tomb might forget what secrets Judæa's rough and rock-hewn sepulchre contained, had the body conveyed away by night, and in secret buried Legend though it may be, yet the story is none the less valuable as showing us the attitude of the Renaissance towards the antique world Archæology to them was not a mere science for the antiquarian, it was a means by which they could touch the dry dust of antiquity into the very bienth and beauty of life, and fill with the new wine of iomanticism forms that else had been old and outworn From the pulpit of Niccola Pisano down to

Mantegna's 'Trumph of Cæsar,' and the service Cellini designed for King Francis, the influence of this split can be traced, nor was it confined merely to the immobile arts—the arts of airested movement—but its influence was to be seen also in the great Græco-Roman masques which were the constant amusement of the gay courts of the time, and in the public pomps and processions with which the citizens of big commercial towns were wont to greet the princes that chanced to visit them, pageants, by the way, which were considered so important that large punts were made of them and published—a fact which is a proof of the general interest at the time in matters of such kind

And this use of archæology in shows, so far from being a bit of priggish pedantry, is in every way legitimate and beautiful For the stage is not merely the meeting-place of all the arts, but is also the return of art to life Somctimes in an archæological novel the use of strange and obsolete terms seems to hide the reality beneath the learning, and I dare say that many of the readers of Notre Dame de Paris have been much puzzled over the meaning of such expressions as la casaque à mahoutres, les voulgiers, le gallimard taché d'encre, les craaquimers, and the like, but with the stage how different it is The ancient world wakes from its sleep, and

histori moves as a pageant before our eyes, without obliging us to have recourse to a dictionary or an encyclopædia for the perfection of our enjoyment. Indeed, there is not the slightest necessity that the public should know the authorities for the mounting of any piece From such materials, for instance, as the disk of Theodosius, materials with which the majority of people are probably not very familiar, Mr E W Godwin, one of the most artistic spirits of this century in England, created the marvellous loveliness of the first act of Claudian, and showed us the life of Byzantium in the fourth century, not by a dreary lecture and a set of grimy casts, not by a novel which requires a glossary to explain it, but by the visible presentation before us of all the glory of that great town And while the costumes were true to the smallest points of colour and design, yet the details were not assigned that abnormal importance which they must necessarily be given in a piecemeal lecture, but were subordinated to the rules of lofty composition and the unity of artistic effect. Mr Symonds, speaking of that great picture of Mantegna's, now in Hampton Court, says that the artist has converted an antiquarian motive into a theme for melodies of line The same could have been said with equal justice of Mr Godwin's scene. Only the foolish called it

pedantry, only those who would neither look nor listen spole of the passion of the play being killed by its paint. It was in reality a scene not merely perfect in its picturesqueness, but absolutely dramatic also, getting rid of any necessity for tedious descriptions, and showing us, by the colour and character of Chudian's dress, and the dress of his attendants, the whole nature and life of the man, from what school of philosophy he affected, down to what horses he backed on the turf

And indeed archæology is only really delightful when transfused into some form of art I have no desire to underrate the services of laborious scholars, but I feel that the use Keats made of Lemprière's Dictionary is of far more value to us than Professor Max Muller's treatment of the same mythology as a disease of Better Endymon than any theory, language however sound, or, as in the present instance, unsound, of an epidemic among adjectives! And who does not feel that the chief glory of Piranesi's book on Vases is that it gave Keats the suggestion for his 'Ode on a Grecian Urn'? Art, and art only, can make archæology beautiful, and the theatric art can use it most directly and most vividly, for it can combine in one exquisite presentation the illusion of actual life with the wonder of the unreal world But the

sixteenth century was not merely the age of Vitruvius, it was the age of Vecellio also Every nation seems suddenly to have become interested in the dress of its neighbours Europe began to investigate its own clothes, and the amount of books published on national costumes is quite extraordinary. At the beginming of the century the Nuremberg Chronicle, with its two thousand illustrations, reached its fifth edition, and before the century was over seventeen editions were published of Munster's Cosmography Besides these two books there were also the works of Michael Colyns, of Hans Weigel, of Amman, and of Vecellio himself, all of them well illustrated, some of the drawings in Vecellio being probably from the hand of Titian

Nor was it merely from books and treatises that they acquired their knowledge. The development of the habit of foreign travel, the increased commercial intercourse between countries, and the frequency of diplomatic missions, gave every nation many opportunities of studying the various forms of contemporary dress. After the departure from England, for instance, of the ambassadors from the Czar, the Sultan and the Prince of Morocco, Henry the Eighth and his friends gave several masques in the strange attire of their visitors. Later on London saw, perhaps too often, the sombre

splendour of the Spanish Court, and to Elizabeth came envoys from all lands, whose dress, Shakespe are tells us, had an important influence on English costume

And the interest was not confined merely to classical dress, or the dress of foreign nations, there was also a good deal of research, amongst theatrical people especially, into the ancient costume of England itself and when Shakespeare, in the prologue to one of his plays, expresses his regret at being unable to produce helmets of the period, he is speaking as an Elizabethan manager and not merely as an Elizabethan poet At Cambridge, for instance, during his day, a play of Richard the Third was performed, in which the actors were attired in real dresses of the time, procured from the great collection of historical costume in the Tower, which was always open to the inspection of managers, and sometimes placed at their disposal And I cannot help thinking that this performance must have been far more artistic, as regards costume, than Garrick's mounting of Shakespeare's own play on the subject, in which he himself appeared in a nondescript fancy dress, and everybody else in the costume of the time of George the Third, Richmond especially beingomuch admired in the uniform of a young guardsman.

For what is the use to the stage of that archæology which has so strangely terrified the critics, but that it, and it alone, can give us the architecture and apparel suitable to the time in which the action of the play passes? It enables us to see a Greek dressed like a Greek, and an Italian like an Italian, to enjoy the arcades of Venice and the balconies of Verona, and, if the play deals with any of the great eras in our country's history, to contemplate the age in its proper attire, and the king in his habit as he lived And I wonder, by the way, what Lord Lytton would have said some time ago, at the Princess's Theatre, had the curtain risen on his father's Brutus reclining in a Queen Anne chair, attired in a flowing wig and a flowered dressing-gown, a costume which in the last century was considered peculiarly appropriate to an antique Roman! For in those halcyon days of the drama no archæology troubled the stage, or distressed the critics, and our martistic grandfathers sat peaceably in a stifling atmosphere of anachronisms, and beheld with the calm complacency of the age of prose an Iachimo in powder and patches, a Lear in lace ruffles, and a Lady Macbeth in a large crinoline. I can understand archæology being attacked on the ground of its excessive realism, but to attack it as pedantic seems to be very much beside the

mark However, to attack it for any reason is foolish, one might just as well speak disrespectfully of the equator. For archæology, being a science, is neither good nor bad, but a fact simply. Its value depends entirely on how it is used, and only an artist can use it. We look to the archæologist for the materials, to the artist for the method.

In designing the seenery and costumes for any of Shakespeare's plays, the first thing the artist has to settle is the best date for the drama. This should be determined by the general spirit of the play, more than by any actual historical references which may occur in it. Most Hamlets. I have seen were placed far too early. Hamlet is essentially a scholar of the Revival of Learning, and if the allusion to the recent invasion of England by the Danes puts it back to the ninth century, the use of foils brings it down much later. Once, however, that the date has been fixed, then the archwologist is to supply us with the facts which the artist is to convert into effects.

It has been said that the anachronisms in the plays themselves show us that Shakespeare was indifferent to historical accuracy, and a great deal of capital has been made out of Hector's indiscreet quotation from Aristotle. Upon the other hand, the anachronisms are really few

in number, and not very important, and, had Shakespeare's attention been drawn to them by a brother artist, he would produbly have corrected them For, though they can haidly be called blemishes, they are certainly not the great beauties of his work, or, at least, if they are, their anachronistic charm cannot be emphasised unless the play is accurately mounted according to its proper date. In looking at Shakespeare's plays as a whole, however, what is really remarkable is their extraordinary fidelity as regards his personages and his plots. Many of his dramatis personæ are people who had ictually existed, and some of them might have been seen in real life by a portion of his audience Indeed the most violent attack that was mide on 5hrl espenre in his time was for his supposed caricature of Lord Cobham. As for his plots, Shakespeare constantly draws them either from authentic history, or from the old billads and triditions which served as history to the I hadb than public, and which even now no scientific lu tori in would diemiss as absolutely untrue And not merch did he select fact instead of tiner or the basic of much of his imaginative work, but he always cares to each play the general character, the social atmosphere in a vord, of the age in question. Stupidity he recognises as being one of the permanent

characteristics of all European civilisations, so he sees no difference between a London mob of his own day and a Roman mob of pagan days, between a silly watchman in Messina and a silly Justice of the Peace in Windsor But when he deals with higher characters, with those exceptions of each age which are so fine that they become its types, he gives them absolutely the stamp and seal of their time Virgilia is one of those Roman wives on whose tomb was written 'Domi mansit, lanam fecit,' as surely as Juliet is the romantic girl of the Renaissance even true to the characteristics of race. Hamlet has all the imagination and irresolution of the Northern nations, and the Princess Katharine is as entirely French as the heroine of Divorçons Harry the Fifth is a pure Englishman, and Othello a true Moor

Again when Shakespeare treats of the history of England from the fourteenth to the sixteenth centuries, it is wonderful how careful he is to have his facts perfectly right—indeed he follows Holinshed with curious fidelity. The incessant wars between France and England are described with extraordinary accuracy down to the names of the besieged towns, the ports of landing and embarkation, the sites and dates of the battles, the titles of the commanders on each side, and the lists of the killed and wounded. And as

regards the Civil Wars of the Roses we have many elaborate genealogies of the seven sons of Edward the Third, the claims of the rival Houses of York and Lancaster to the throne are discussed at length, and if the English aristocracy will not read Shakespeare is a poet, they should certainly read him as a sort of early Peerage. There is hardly a single title in the Upper House, with the exception of course of the uninteresting titles assumed by the law lords, which does not appear in Shakespeare along with many details of family history, creditable and discreditable. Indeed if it be really necessary that the School Board children should know all about the Wars of the Poses they could learn their lessons just as well out of Shal espeare as out of shilling primers, and learn them I need not say, far more pleasurably I ven in Shakerpeare's own day this use of his

is independent of facts always, inventing or selecting them at pleasure But still Shakespeare's ase of facts is a most interesting part of his method of work, and shows us his attitude towards the stage, and his relations to the great art of illusion . Indeed he would have been very much surprised at any one classing his plays with 'fairy tales,' as Lord Lytton does, for one of his aims was to create for England a national historical drama, which should deal with incidents with which the public was well acquainted, and with heroes that lived in the memory of a people. Patriotism, I need hardly say, is not a necessary quality of art, but it means, for the artist, the substitution of a universal for an individual feeling, and for the public the presentation of a work of art in a most attractive and popular form. It is worth noticing that Shakespeare's first and last successes were both historical plays

It may be asked, what has this to do with Shakespeare's attitude towards costume? I answer that a dramatist who laid such stress on historical accuracy of fact would have welcomed historical accuracy of costume as a most important adjunct to his illusionist method. And I have no hesitation in saying that he did so The reference to helmets of the period in the prologue to Henry the Fifth may be considered

kings, and in old St Paul's the very banner that had waved on Bosworth field was hung up by Richmond himself

In fact, everywhere that Shakespeare turned in London, he saw the apparel and appurtenances of past ages, and at is impossible to doubt that he made use of his opportunities employment of lance and shield, for instance, in actual warfare, which is so frequent in his plays, is drawn from archeology, and not from the military accourrements of his day, and his general use of armour in battle was not a characteristic of his age, a time when it was rapidly disappearing before firearms. Again, the crest on Warwick's helmet, of which such a point is made in Henry the Sixth, is absolutely correct in a fifteenth-century play when crests were generally worn, but would not have been so in a play of Shakespeare's own time, when feathers and plumes had taken their place-a fashion which, as he tells us in Henry the Eighth, was borrowed from France For the historical plays, then, we may be sure that archæology was employed, and as for the others I feel certain that it was the case also The appearance of Jupiter on his eagle, thunderbolt in hand, of Juno with her peacocks, and of Iris with her many-coloured bow, the Amazon masque and the masque of the Five Worthies, may all be regarded as

archeological, and the vision which Posthumus sees in prison of Sicilius Leonatus-an old man, attired like a warrior, leading an ancient anatron' -is clearly so Of the 'Athenian dress' by which Lysander is distinguished from Oberon I have already spoken, but one of the most marked instruces is in the case of the dress of Coriolanus, for which Shakespeare goes directly to Plutarch That historian, in his Life of the great Roman, tells us of the oak-wreath with which Caius Marcius was crowned, and of the curious kind of dress in which, according to uncient fishion, he had to canvass his electors, and on both of these points he enters into long disquisitions, investigating the origin and meaning of the old customs Shakespeare, in the spirit of the true artist, accepts the facts of the antiquarian and converts them into dramatic and picturesque effects indeed the gown of humility, the 'woolvish gown,' as Shakespeare calls it, is the central note of the play There are other cases I might quote, but this one is quite sufficient for my purpose, and it is evident from it at any rate that, in mounting a play in the accurate costume of the time, according to the best authorities, we are carrying out Shakespeare's own wishes and method

Even if it were not so, there is no more reason that we should continue any imperfections which

may be supposed to have characterised Shakespeare's stage mounting than that we should have Juliet played by a young man, or give up the advantage of changeable scenery A great work of dramatic art should not merely be made expressive of modern passion by means of the actor, but should be presented to us in the form most suitable to the modern spirit. Racine produced his Roman plays in Louis Quatorze dress on a stage crowded with spectators, but we require different conditions for the enjoyment of his art Perfect accuracy of detail, for the sake of perfect illusion, is necessary for us What we have to see is that the details are not allowed to usurp the prin-They must be subordinate always to the general motive of the play But subordination in art does not mean disregard of truth, it means conversion of fact into effect, and assigning to each detail its proper relative value

Les petits détails d'histoire et de vie domestique (says Hugo) doivent etre scrupuleusement étudies et reproduits par le poete, mais uniquement comme des moyens d'accroître la realite de l'ensemble, et de faire pénétrer jusque dans les coins les plus obscurs de l'œuvre cette vie generale et puissante au milieu de laquelle les personnages sont plus vrais, et les catastrophes, par conséquent, plus poignantes. Tout

doit être subordonné à ce but. L'Homme sur le premier plan, le reste au fond '

This passage is interesting as coming from the first great French dramatist who employed archæology on the stage, and whose plays, though absolutely correct in detail, are known to all for their passion, not for their pedantry -for their life, not for their learning. It is true that he has made certain concessions in the case of the employment of curious or strange expressions Ruy Blas talks of M de Priego as 'sujet du roi' instead of 'noble du roi,' and Angelo Malipieri speaks of 'la croix rouge' instead of 'la croix de gueules' But they are concessions made to the public, or rather to a section of it. 'J'en offre ici toute mes excuses aux spectateurs intelligents,' he says in a note to one of the plays, 'esperons qu'un jour un seigneur vénitien pourra dire tout bonnement sans péril son blason sur le théâtre. C'est un progrès qui viendra' And, though the description of the crest is not couched in accurate language, still the crest itself was accurately It may, of course, be said that the public do not notice these things, upon the other hand, it should be remembered that Art has no other aim but her own perfection, and proceeds simply by her own laws, and that the play which Hamlet describes as being caviare to

the general is a play he highly praises Besides, in England, at any rate, the public have undergono a transformation, there is far more appreciation of beauty now than there was a few years ago, and though they may not be familial with the authorities and archaelogical data for what is shown to them, still they enjoy whatever loveliness they look at And this is the important thing Better to take pleasure in a rose than to put its root under a microscope Archeological accuracy is merely a condition of illusionist stage effect, it is not its quality. And Lord Lytton's proposal that the dresses should merely be beautiful without being accurite is founded on a misapprehension of the nature of costume, and of its value on the stage This value is twofold, picturesque and dramatic, the former depends on the colour of the dress, the latter on its design and character But so interwoven are the two that, whenever in our own day historical accuracy has been disregarded, and the various dresses in a play taken from different ages, the result has been that the stage has been turned into that chaos of costume, that carrenture of the centuries, the Tanex Dress Ball, to the entire ruin of all dramatic and picturesque effect. For the dresses of one age do not artitically harmonise with the dresses of another, and, as fur as dramatic value goes, to confuse

the costumes is to confuse the play Costume is a growth, an evolution, and a most important, perhaps the most important, sign of the franners, customs and mode of life of each century The Puritan dislike of colour, adornment and grace in apparel was part of the great revolt of the middle classes against Beauty in the seventeenth century A historian who disregarded it would give us a most inaccurate picture of the time, and a dramatist who did not avail himself of it would miss a most vital element in producing an illusionist effect. The effeminacy of dress that characterised the reign of Richard the Second was a constant theme of contemporary authors Shakespeare, writing two hundred years after, makes the king's fondness for gay apparel and foreign fashions a point in the play, from John of Gaunt's reproaches down to Richard's own speech in the third act on his deposition from the throne And that Shakespeare examined Richard's tomb in Westminster Abbey seems to me certain from York's speech -

See, see, King Richard doth himself appear
As doth the blushing discontented sun
From out the fiery portal of the east,
When he perceives the envious clouds are bent
To dim his glory

For we can still discern on the King's robe his

favourite badge—the sun issuing from a cloud In fact, in every age the social conditions are so exemplified in costume, that to produce a sixteenth-century play in fourteenth-century attire, or vice versa, would make the performance seem unreal because untrue, And, valuable as beauty of effect on the stage is, the highest beauty is not merely comparable with absolute accuracy of detail, but really dependent on it To invent an entirely new costume is almost impossible except in burlesque or extriviganza, and as for combining the dress of different centuries into one, the experiment would be dangerous, and Shakespeare's opinion of the artistic value of such a medley may be gathered from his incessant satire of the Elizabethan dandles for imagining that they were well dressed because they got their doublets in Italy, their hats in Germany, and their hose in France And it should be noted that the most lovely scenes that have been produced on our stage have been those that have been characterised by perfect accuracy, such as Mr and Mrs Bancroft's eighteenth century revivals at the Haymarket, Mr Irving's superb production of Much Ado About Nothing, and Mr. Birrett's Claudian Besides, and this is perhaps the most complete answer to Lord I vtton's theory, it must be remembered that neither in costume nor in dialogue is beauty the dramatist's

primary aim at all The true dramatist aims first at what is characteristic, and no more desires that all his personages should be beautifully attired than he desires that they should all have beautiful natures or speak beautiful English The true dramatist, in fact shows us life under the conditions of art not art in the form of life. The Greek dress was the loveliest dress the world has ever seen, and the English dress of the last century one of the most monstrous; yet we cannot costume a play by Sheridan as we would costume a play by Sophokles For, as Polonius savs in his excellent lecture, a lecture to which I am glad to have the opportunity of expressing my obligations, one of the first qualities of apparel is its expressiveness. And the affected style of dress in the last century was the natural characteristic of a society of affected manners and affected conversation-a characteristic which the realistic dramatist will highly value down to the smallest detail of accuracy, and the materials for which he can get only from rgologulous

But it is not enough that a dress should be accurate, it must be also appropriate to the stature and appearance of the actor, and to his supposed condition, as well as to his necessary action in the play. In Mr. Hare's production of As You Lile It at the St. James's Theatre,

for instance, the whole point of Orlando's complaint that he is brought up like a peasant, and not like 3 gentleman, was spoiled by the gorgeousness of his dress, and the splendid apparel worn by the banished Duke and his friends was quite out of place Mr. Lewis Wingfield's explanation that the sumptuary laws of the period necessitated their doing so, is, I am afraid, hardly sufficient. Outlaws, durking in a forest and living by the chase, are not very likely to care much about ordinances of dress They were probably attired like Robin Hood's men, to whom, indeed, they are compared in the course of the play And that their dress was not that of wealthy noblemen may be seen by Orlando's words when he breaks in upon them He mistakes them for robbers, and is amazed to find that they answer him in courteous and gentle terms Lady Archibald Campbell's production, under Mr E W Godwin's direction, of the same play in Coombe Wood was, as regards mounting, far more artistic At least it seemed so to me The Duke and his companions were dressed in serge tunics, leathern jerkins, high boots and gauntlets, and wore bycocket hats and hoods And as they were playing in a real forest, they found, I am sure, their dresses extremely convenient. To every character in the play was given a perfectly appropriate attire, and the brown and green of

their costumes harmonised exquisitely with the ferns through which they wandered, the trees beneath which they lay, and the lovely English landscape that surrounded the Pastoral Players. The perfect naturalness of the scene was due to the absolute accuracy and appropriateness of everything that was worn. Nor could archæology have been put to a severer test, or come out of it more triumphantly. The whole production showed once for all that, unless a dress is archæologically correct, and artistically appropriate, it always looks unreal, unnatural, and theatrical in the sense of artificial

Nor, agun, is it enough that there should be accurate and appropriate costumes of beautiful colours, there must be also beauty of colour on the stage as a whole, and as long as the background is painted by one artist, and the foreground figures independently designed by another, there is the danger of a want of harmony in the scene as a picture For each scene the colourscheme should be settled as absolutely as for the decoration of a room, and the textures which it is proposed to use should be mixed and re-mixed in every possible combination, and what is discordant removed. Then, as regards the particular kinds of colours, the stage is often too glaring, partly through the excessive use of hot, violent reds, and partly through the costumes

looking too new Shabbiness, which in modern life is merely the tendency of the lower orders towards tone, is not without its artistic value. and modern colours are often much improved by being a little faded Blue also is too frequently used 10 is not merely, a dangerous colour to wear by raslight, but it is really difficult in England to get a thoroughly good blue fine Chinese blue, which we all so much admire, takes two years to dye, and the English public will not wait so long for a colour Peacock blue. of course, has been employed on the stage, notably at the Lyceum, with great advantage, but all attempts at a good light blue, or good dark blue, which I have seen have been failures The value of black is hardly appreciated, it was used effectively by Mr Irving in Hamlet as the central note of a composition, but as a tonegiving neutral its importance is not recognised And this is curious, considering the general colour of the dress of a century in which, as Baudelaire says, 'Nous célebrons tous quelque enterrement.' The archæologist of the future will probably point to this age as the time when the beauty of black was understood, but I hardly think that, as regards stage-mounting or house decoration, it really is Its decorative value is, of course, the some as that of white or gold, it can separate and harmonise colours In modern

plays the black frock-coat of the hero becomes important in itself, and should be given a suitable background But it rarely is Indeed the only good background for a play in modern dress which I have ever seen was the darkegrey and cream-white scene of the first act of the Princesse Georges in Mrs Langtry's production As a rule, the hero is smothered in bric-a-brac and pulm-trees, lost in the gilded abyss of Louis Quatorze furniture, or reduced to a mere midge in the midst of marqueterie, whereas the background should always be kept as a background, and colour subordinated to effect course, can only be done when there is one single mind directing the whole production. The facts of art are diverse, but the essence of artistic effect is unity Monarchy, Anarchy, and Republicanism may contend for the government of nations, but a theatre should be in the power of a cultured despot. There may be division of labour, but there must be no division of mind Whoever understands the costume of an age understands of necessity its architecture and its surroundings also, and it is easy to see from the chairs of a century whether it was a century of ermolines or not. In fact, in art there is no specialism, and a really artistic production should bear the impress of one master, and one master only, the not merely should design and arrange

everything, but should have complete control over the way in which each dress is to be worn

Mademoiselle Mars, in the first production of Hernani, absolutely refused to call her lover 'Mon Lon' unless she was allowed to wear a little fishionable toque, then much in vogue on the Boulavards, and many young ladies on our own stage insist to the present day on wearing stiff starched petticoats under Greek dresses, to the entire ruin of all delicacy of line and fold, but these wicked things should not be allowed And there should be far more dress rehearsals than there are now Actors such as Mr Forbes-Robertson, Mr Conway, Mr George Alexander, and others, not to mention older artists, can move with ease and elegance in the attire of any century, but there are not a few who seem dreadfully embarrassed about their hands if they have no side pockets, and who always wear their dresses as if they were costumes Costumes, of course, they are to the designer, but dresses they should be to those that wear them it is time that a stop should be put to the idea, very prevalent on the stage, that the Greeks and Romans always went about bareheaded in the open air-a mistake the Elizabethan managers did not fall into, for they gave hoods as well as gowns to the r Roman senators

More dress rehearsals would also be of value

in explaining to the actors that there is a form of gesture and movement that is not merely appropriate to each style of dress, but really conditioned by it. The extravagant use of the arms in the eighteenth century, for instance, was the necessary result of the large hoop, and the solemn dignity of Burleigh owed as much to his ruff as to his reason. Besides until an actor is at home in his dress, he is not at home in his pirt.

Of the value of beautiful costume in creating an artistic temperament in the audience, and producing that joy in beauty for beauty's sake without which the great masterpieces of art can never be understood, I will not here speak, though it is worth while to notice how Shakespeare appreciated that side of the question in the production of his tragedies, acting them always by artificial light, and in a theatre hung with black, but what I have tried to point out is that archeology is not a pedantic method, but a method of artistic illusion, and that costume is a means of displaying character without description, and of producing dramatic situations and dramatic effects And I think it is a pity that so many critics should have set themselves to attack one of the most important movements on the modern stage before that movement has at all reached its proper perfection. That it will

do so, however, I feel as certain as that we shall require from our dramatic critics in the future higher qualification than that they can remember Macready or have seen Benjamin Webster, we shall-require of them, indeed, that they cultivate a sense of beauty Pour être plus difficule, ta tache den est que plus glorieuse. And if they will not encourage, at least they must not oppose, a movement of which Shakespeare of all dramatists would have most approved, for it has the illusion of truth for its method, and the illusion of beauty for its result Not that I agree with everything that I have said in this essay There is much with which I entirely disagree. The essay simply represents an artistic standpoint, and in æsthetic criticism attitude is everything For m art there is no such thing as a universal truth A Truth in art is that whose contradictory is also true And just as it is only in artcriticism, and through it, that we can apprehend the Platonic theory of ideas, so it is only in artcriticism, and through it, that we can realise Hegel's system of contraries The truths of metaphysics are the truths of masks.